

May 6, 2013

TO: Members of the MAG Human Services Technical Committee

FROM: Deanna Jonovich, City of Phoenix, Chair

SUBJECT: MEETING NOTIFICATION AND TRANSMITTAL OF AGENDA

Meeting - 1:30 p.m.
Thursday, May 9, 2013
MAG Office, Second Floor, Chaparral Room
302 North 1st Avenue, Phoenix

* Please note new meeting start time.

The next MAG Human Services Technical Committee (HSTC) meeting will be held at the time and place noted above. Members of the Human Services Technical Committee may attend either in person or by phone. Supporting information is enclosed for your review.

The meeting agenda and resource materials are also available on the MAG website at www.azmag.gov. In addition to the existing website location, the agenda packet will be available via the File Transfer Protocol (FTP) site at: <ftp://ftp.azmag.gov/HumanServicesTechnicalCommittee>. This location is publicly accessible and does not require a password.

Please park in the garage underneath the building. Bring your ticket to the meeting, parking will be validated. For those using transit, the Regional Public Transportation Authority will provide transit tickets for your trip. For those using bicycles, please lock your bicycle in the bike rack in the garage.

In 1996, the Regional Council approved a simple majority quorum for all MAG advisory committees. If the Human Services Technical Committee does not meet the quorum requirement, members who have arrived at the meeting will be instructed a legal meeting cannot occur and subsequently be dismissed. Your attendance at the meeting is strongly encouraged.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

If you have any questions, please call the MAG office.

MAG HUMAN SERVICES TECHNICAL COMMITTEE
TENTATIVE AGENDA
May 9, 2013

COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED

1. Call to Order

2. Call to the Audience

An opportunity will be provided to members of the public to address HSTC on items not scheduled on the agenda that fall under the jurisdiction of MAG, or on items on the agenda for discussion but not for action. Citizens will be requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for the Call to the Audience agenda item, unless HSTC requests an exception to this limit. Please note that those wishing to comment on agenda items posted for action will be provided the opportunity at the time the item is heard.

3. Approval of the March 14, 2013 Meeting Minutes

The draft minutes for the March 14, 2013 meeting are posted with the meeting materials.

4. Regional Economic Outlook

MAG Staff will present on the potential economic impacts and effects of sequestration on Arizona's economy and the upcoming congressional activities that might influence how sequestration unfolds in FY2013.

5. Heath Relief Network

Brande Mead, MAG, will update the Committee on plans for the 2013 Heat Relief Network efforts.

2. Information.

3. Approve the HSTC March 14, 2013 Meeting Minutes.

4. Information and discussion.

5. Information and discussion.

6. Regional Aging in Place Network Update

Amy St. Peter, MAG, will present an update on activities undertaken as part of the Enhancing Age-Friendly Cities Initiative. The update will include information on the pilot sites and the Connecting Caring Communities Conference.

6. Information and discussion.

7. City Leaders Institute on Aging in Place Presentation

Amy St. Peter, MAG will offer an update on the region's participation in the second round of the City Leaders Institute on Aging in Place.

7. Information and discussion.

8. Request for Future Agenda Items

Topics or issues of interest that the MAG Human Services Technical Committee would like to have considered for discussion at a future meeting will be requested.

8. Information and discussion.

9. Comments from the Committee

An opportunity will be provided for HSTC members to present a brief summary of current events. HSTC is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action at the meeting on any matter in the summary, unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

9. Information.

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
HUMAN SERVICES TECHNICAL COMMITTEE
MEETING MINUTES – MARCH 14, 2013

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

+Rob Schweitzer for Mary Berumen,
City of Mesa
+Kyle Bogdon, DES/ACYF
+Jan Cameron, City of Scottsdale
*Krista Cornish, Town of Buckeye
+Naomi Farrell, City of Tempe
*Jessica Fierro, Town of Gilbert
*Janeen Gaskins, City of Surprise
*Laura Guild, Arizona Department of
Economic Security
Jeffrey Jamison, City of Phoenix
Tim Cole for Deanna Jonovich, City of
Phoenix, Chair
Jim Knaut, Area Agency on Aging
*Margarita Leyvas, Maricopa County, Vice
Chair
Joyce Lopez-Powell, Valley of the Sun
United Way
Steven MacFarlane, City of Phoenix
*Leah Powell, City of Chandler
*Cindy Saverino, Arizona Department of
Economic Security

+Carol Sherer, Arizona Department of
Economic Security/DDD
Stephanie Small, City of Avondale

OTHERS PRESENT

Brandi Coffland, Arizona Rehabilitation
Services Administration
Adrienne Decker, Maricopa County
Department of Public Health
Robert Forrest, Valley Metro
Lisa Procknow, Valley Metro

Rachel Brito, MAG
Nathan Pryor, MAG
Amy St. Peter, MAG

+Those members present by
audio/videoconferencing.

*Those members neither present nor
represented by proxy.

1. Call to Order
Steve MacFarlane, City of Phoenix, called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.
Introductions ensued.
2. Call to the Audience
An opportunity was provided for members of the public to address the Committee.
No public comments were made.
3. Approval of the January 10, 2013 HSTC Meeting Minutes
A motion to approve the January 10, 2013, meeting minutes was requested. Jeffrey
Jamison, City of Phoenix, motioned to approve the minutes. Stephanie Small, City of
Avondale, seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.
4. Legislative Update.
Mr. MacFarlane invited Nathan Pryor, MAG Government Relations Manager, to
offer a legislative update. The state legislative process continues and is expected to
last another 45 to 50 days. There are numerous challenges in terms of starting the

budget discussion with the Office of the Governor. The Governor's proposal for the Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System has been released and is expected to be a key talking point in terms of budget negotiations.

A handout on *The Economic Impacts of Sequestration* was distributed. It offered an overview of potential impacts of sequestration. Mr. Pryor noted the sequestration is mandatory reductions imposed by a failed negotiation a few years ago. The full impacts of the budget reductions are still unknown. Federal agencies are preparing for the reductions in terms of potential furloughs and reduced travel however the effects on programmatic aid remain to be seen.

The handout was prepared at the request of Mayor Marie Lopez Rogers, Chair, MAG Regional Council, and President of the National League of Cities. Using data developed last July by George Mason University, the document attempts to define potential impacts. Mr. Pryor advised that the American Tax Relief Act (ATRA) was signed by the President on January 2, 2012. The bill addresses the revenue side of sequestration. He noted due to tax revenue increases the impacts are not going to be as severe as noted in the handout. Federal agencies are sorting through where programmatic reductions will be made. Reductions to health and human services, education and labor impacts will be significant in terms of programmatic levels.

Staff is monitoring potential impacts of sequestration to the state and region focusing primarily at the state level so as not to make increasing assumptions on the regional impact. The federal government has been operating under a continuing resolution that has funded this federal fiscal year from October 1, 2012 through March 27, 2013. If by March 27th the federal government does not come up with continuing resolution, there will be a Government shut-down. He noted a continuing resolution would be operating under sequestration levels for the remainder of the year. Mr. Pryor noted this could be challenging for agencies that have budget above the sequestration levels. Beyond that, the next challenge is appropriations for following fiscal year. Staff will continue to monitor the impacts and provide updates as necessary.

Jim Knaut, Area Agency on Aging, raised a question on whether reductions will be administered proportionally between the Department of Economic Services and the community. Additionally, he questioned if there is anything that can be done preemptively to ask the question. Mr. Pryor suggested being in contact with decisions makers sooner rather than later can only help. Mr. Knaut questioned whether a letter on behalf of the Committee can be sent to DES to inquire further information to help with planning efforts. Amy St. Peter, MAG, offered to follow-up with DES on an informal basis.

5. [FindHelpPhoenix.org](http://www.FindHelpPhoenix.org)

Mr. MacFarlane welcomed Adrienne Decker Delgado, Maricopa County Department of Public Health, to offer a presentation to the Committee. Ms. Delgado advised www.FindHelpPhoenix.org is an online community resource website. Currently, the site provides information on resources in the West Valley and Phoenix. Additional resources for the East Valley are soon to be added.

The site is funded by First Things First. The bilingual site assists clients and patients in finding resources for themselves and offers more than 1,000 resources. Ms. Delgado shared a brief overview on how to use the website. The site offers information in 20 different categories, such as mental health, education, veterans, from which to choose. Once a category is selected, a list of resources is provided. Clients can then see the agency name, address, phone number and a brief description and link to the website. All resources listed are nonprofit agencies. Ms. Delgado advised an option is also available for human service providers to add or update resource information.

Ms. Delgado advised presentations are being offered to agencies with high client populations such as public schools, family service centers, boys and girls clubs. Agencies such as the Mexican Consulate are also assisting by distributing business cards with the website address to their clients to help direct people to additional resources on the site. Additionally, funding received from the Arizona Department of Health Services will be used for an East Valley expansion, mobile compatibility, and pilot projects. Ms. Delgado noted her availability to offer presentations for anyone interested.

Mr. MacFarlane inquired about the number of hits on the site. Ms. Delgado advised the site launched October 1st and has had more than 12,000 hits. Mr. MacFarlane expressed support of the project. Stephanie Small, City of Avondale, advised the site has been added to all of the City's computers as the home page and the website address has been added to the backside of their business cards. She noted much feedback has been received in terms of how helpful the site has been and has been very useful in helping staff link clients to other resources.

6. Title VI Fare and Service Equity Policies

Mr. MacFarlane invited Robert Forrest and Lisa Procknow, Valley Metro, to discuss proposed changes to the Title VI Fare and Service Equity Policies. Mr. Forrest advised Title VI of the Civil Rights Act of 1964 is intended to ensure the fair delivery of public services to each individual. It also serves as the bases for development of the policies presented. In late 2012, the Federal Transit Administration issued new guidance to all transit agencies to develop their Title VI program and Fare policies for major service and fare changes.

Valley Metro, along with the City of Phoenix, worked to develop draft policies. Input has been solicited from the community and various organizations to build consensus, and gather suggestions on the proposed policies. The guidelines require that for any service or fare changes that are going to be implemented after April 1, 2013, the policies must be in place prior to that time. Therefore Valley Metro is working to obtain approval of the proposed policies prior to April 1, 2013. Mr. Forrest reviewed an example of the three step process used to determine if there is a major service change. The steps include 1) Determining if analysis is required; 2) Conducting low-income and minority impact analysis; 3) Impact determination.

Mr. Forrest advised the proposed threshold for major service change is anything greater than 25 percent of the route's existing service level. Service level may include anything from how frequent the bus runs, hours of service, or a change in the length of the overall route, or an alignment change. He noted 25 percent is consistent with local and national norms across the country. He walked the Committee through two separate examples of impact determination. It was noted that a Title VI Impact occurs when the percentage of minority ridership on a particular route is greater than the system minority ridership.

The Fare Equity Policy is a two-step process that includes an analysis and impact determination. All fare changes, regardless of whether it is an increase or a decrease, must undergo an analysis. The Fare Equity Policy compares the impact a proposed fare change has on riders and ensures riders are being charged equitably based on the ridership demographics of the services they use. Mr. Forrest advised the proposed threshold is an increase of three to five percent. Three percent potentially requires more frequent fare adjustments whereas five percent provides more flexibility in managing future fare adjustments with a greater range between fare types. The goal is to select one number. Five percent is consistent with FTA practice.

Mr. Forrest walked through an example of proposed fare increases to local fares and express fares to show how Title VI Impact is determined. Mr. Forrest concluded his presentation and requested input from the committee on the 25 percent threshold that identifies a major service change policy and the three to five percentage thresholds for the fare equity policy. He stressed the three to five percent does not signify the increase in fare, but rather a threshold the difference between fares for local ridership versus express ridership.

Mr. MacFarlane commented that he favored five percent so as not to have to repeat the process multiple times as a result of having a lower threshold. He used the example of the cost of a stamp repeatedly increased one cent at a time over several years. Additionally, he noted the model is simplified and clear cut in terms of its application and whether or not there is a Title VI Impact. A question was raised on the cost of local fare and express route fares. Mr. Forrest advised fares increased March 1st. Lisa Procknow, Valley Metro advised the cost is \$3.25 one-way and \$6.50 all-day for Express and Rapid; \$2.00 one-ride on local bus and \$4.00 for an all-day pass. She noted there is a distinction in cost for buying an all-day pass on-board. The cost is raised to \$6.00 when buying the pass onboard.

Joyce Lopez-Powell, Valley of the Sun United Way, discussed concerns for people living in Central Phoenix South. She noted difficulty finding access to kiosks, such as in a Walgreens store, to purchase tickets. Kiosks and drug stores are not available in South Phoenix. She noted many people only have access to purchasing tickets on the bus; resulting in a higher cost. Ms. Lopez-Powell inquired what is being done about this situation as it was going to be researched. Mr. Forrest noted an analysis was done last year to research the distribution of fare vending machines. Additional locations are being implemented and evaluation continues through the Title VI

program. He noted other methods include purchasing tickets on-line. However, it was noted the same groups of people generally do not have access to the internet. Mr. Forrest advised Valley Metro is continually researching where additional vending machines need to be installed.

Ms. Lopez-Powell inquired what the total number of ridership is in relation to the stated 50 percent minority ridership. Mr. Forrest advised the exact number varies from month to month and route to route. The exact number was unknown at the time. He added that Valley Metro is required to conduct an on-board survey of the entire system every three to five years. The questions are similar to census data and offer information on the demographics of ridership, where they travel to/from and how long they spend to get from point A to point B. He noted the 50 percent is based on survey results.

Ms. Lopez-Powell commented that while she appreciated the simplicity of model, it appears to not take into consideration a certain portion of the population that already struggles. She inquired whether there is any methodology to apply a tiered system. She noted zip code 85009 in the Maryvale neighborhood has a high number of minorities and the highest number of Supplemental Nutrition Assistance Program (SNAP) participants. In addition, Indian School Road has the highest ridership. These are communities that are already struggling. She noted it feels as though the people who use the system are being penalized whereas people who use it to get from their suburban homes into town it is essentially more of a luxury; including the more luxurious busses. Ms. Lopez-Powell questioned how this issue can be addressed and if a tiered method could be implemented to offer struggling communities a break. Mr. Forrest advised staff will research this issue further. He noted the presentation is much more simplified however the policies are very detail oriented. He shared further information on the steps taken to complete an analysis by utilizing the survey data and/or census data to ensure minority or low income populations are not being disproportionately impacted.

A question was raised on when the last survey was conducted. Mr. Forrest advised it was in either 2009-10 or 2010-11. He noted another survey is due in the near future. An inquiry was made on whether or not Valley Metro has reviewed the census data to see how it compares to the survey data. Mr. Forrest advised census data is based on either a large census tract or block area. The surveys look at ridership of the system rather than where they live and noted there could be discrepancy if ridership does not match the census data. He noted Valley Metro has not researched how survey data compares to the census. A question was raised on whether there was resistance from riders to complete the survey. Mr. Forrest advised survey input was high and statistically valid therefore the outcome was very good. He added that there were Spanish speaking individuals on the bus conducting the survey to be able to assist those who needed assistance. This concluded the presentation. Mr. MacFarlane thanked Mr. Forrest and Ms. Procknow for their report.

7. Regional Aging in Place Network Update

Mr. MacFarlane welcomed Ms. St. Peter to provide a report on the Regional Aging in Place Network. Ms. St. Peter reported that MAG staff has been conducting focus groups with people from the community to seek input on website development. Approximately 100 people have attended the focus groups. Additionally, Tempe Community Council has conducted eight focus groups; the City of Phoenix has plans to complete 15 focus groups; and the Northwest Valley currently has plans for seven focus group. Ms. St. Peter noted the Northwest Valley has been conducting briefings for their leadership and has been very successful in these efforts. In addition, Sun Health and Benevilla will be distributing 20,000 surveys to people either age 55+ or 65+ (details are being confirmed). Surveys are due back by mid-April. Ms. St. Peter noted all community engagement will conclude by mid to end of April.

As a reminder, Ms. St. Peter provided an overview of the pilot sites:

- Phoenix: Dunlap to Bethany Home from 16th Street to 35th Avenue.
- Tempe: South of US 60 from Price to I-10; from Warner to Elliott.
- Northwest Valley: Includes Sun City, Sun City West, El Mirage Peoria and Youngtown.
- Scottsdale: A geographic area has not yet been determined. Scottsdale is opting to develop their business plan in the second round.

Ms. St. Peter advised staff is speaking with other communities that may want to be involved in a second round as well. Anyone interested in discussing this option may contact Ms. St. Peter.

Staff has been approached by two funders with potential interest in funding implementation of the pilot sites in round one, but also supporting pilot projects in round two. Ms. St. Peter advised the goal is to continue developing and implementing pilot projects to be able to build up a network that enables every community have some sort of intervention that supports efforts already in place, and also helps to identify new partners, alignment and outreach.

Three internal staff meetings have been held to discuss efforts for the website development. The first external meeting will be held on March 28, 2013 at 1:30 p.m. at the MAG office. Ms. St. Peter advised staff is focusing on developing interactive features to connect people with other people and not duplicating efforts already in place. Additionally, staff is reaching out to communities with lower incomes to partner with agencies that receive donate computers and can offer computer training. Features identified that will be included in the website include forums, blogs, a calendar of events, services, activities, and links to other search engines such as Community Information and Referral, www.findhelpphoenix.org, and others.

An important feature of the website will be the presence of “champions”. Champions are identified as people age 65 and more who live in their own homes and may be receiving some support but are living active life styles, are very connected, engaged, and are giving back to their communities. A profile will be featured on the site for

each champion to allow them to talk about what they are doing to stay engaged. The Call for Stories deadline has been extended to April 15. Ms. St. Peter advised the submissions will help in terms of putting people's stories on-line and also for development of the outreach video.

The video is being planned as a community outreach video to raise awareness about how to support people aging in place, to identify older adults as the experts, and to help with planning for one's own aging in place. Mayor Lopez Rogers, City of Avondale, Mayor Stanton, City of Phoenix, and Carol Kratz, Virginia G. Piper Charitable Trust have all been confirmed to be in the outreach video.

Staff is in the process of identifying two to three champions who may be receiving services or volunteering, or connected and well engaged, to also be included in the video. It was noted the Call for Stories has been submitted in three languages: English, Spanish, and Chinese.

Mr. Knaut commended Ms. St. Peter and staff for their efforts on this project. He noted a lot of excitement in the community and that these efforts have brought all areas of valley together. Ms. St. Peter extended her gratitude to the Leadership Team which has increased from five to more than 30. She shared plans for an Aging Services conference to be held on May 14, 2013 and advised the Save the Date is forthcoming.

8. City Leaders Institute on Aging in Place Presentation

Mr. MacFarlane requested Ms. St. Peter offer an update on the City Leaders Institute on Aging in Place (CLI). The region has been invited to participate in a second CLI round. Ms. St. Peter noted the first round was a precursor to the work being done now in terms of identifying Villages as a key model to replicate and identifying the pilot project sites.

Round two will focus on transportation needs of older adults in the pilot project areas. The Leadership Team comprises human services and transportation representatives from each pilot site as well as Valley Metro and Virginia G. Piper Charitable Trust. Ms. St. Peter expressed gratitude for the Leadership Team's efforts. Their goal is to develop strategies unique for each area. Ms. St. Peter noted the pilot sites are all very diverse in terms of travel needs. The team will be discussing what types of strategies to implement for each sites' specific needs. Staff will be able to use research gathered from subsequent efforts including Reinvent Phoenix, Valley Metro's transit regional development efforts and the Northwest Valley Rural Transit Study. Round two will be shared with nine other regions. Four team members will be traveling to Washington, D.C. for a round two orientation the week on March 19, 2013.

Mr. MacFarlane requested a motion from the Committee. Carol Sherer, Arizona Department of Economic Security, made a motion to recommend approval of the background paper for the region's participation in the City Leaders Institute on Aging

in place and the Leadership Team roster. Mr. Knaut seconded the motion. The motion passed.

9. Community Offer Appointments

Mr. MacFarlane invited Ms. St. Peter to provide an overview of the process for appointing a new chair and vice chair for the Human Services Technical Committee. Ms. St. Peter acknowledged Deanna Jonovich, City of Phoenix, Chair, and Margarita Leyvas, Maricopa County Human Services Department, Vice Chair, for their leadership.

She noted the normal succession plan calls for the vice chair to ascent to the chair. However, given other responsibilities, Ms. Leyvas is not able to assume the role of chair. Therefore, letters of interest are being solicited for new officers to fill the chair and vice chair positions. Committee officers are required to work for a MAG member agency. Letters of interest will be forwarded to the MAG Executive Committee. New officers will be appointed in June 2013 for a two-year term.

10. Request for Future Agenda Items

Committee members were given an opportunity to suggest topics or issues of interest they would like to have considered for discussion at a future meeting. No requests were made.

11. Comments from the Committee

Committee members were given the opportunity to share comments or information related to community events. A question was raised on whether or not MAG conducts outreach with programs at Arizona State University. Ms. St. Peter advised MAG does work with programs at ASU such as the School of Social Work, Urban Planning and the CARE program. She also noted Rick Knopf, Director, Partnership for Community Development, ASU, has recently joined the Greater Phoenix Leadership Team.

12. Adjourn

The meeting adjourned at 2:34 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for Thursday, May 9, 2013 at 1:30 p.m.

Sequestration – Its Implementation and Potential Effects on Arizona’s Economy



Human Services Technical committee
Maricopa Association of Governments
May 9, 2013

Sequestration



Automatic, across-the-board spending reduction

- Budget Control Act (BCA) of 2011
 - Caps on discretionary spending, FY2012 - FY2021
Effective October 1, 2011
 - Congressional Joint Select Committee (JSC)
Automatic spending cuts
Effective March 1, 2013

JSC Sequestration

Table 1: Original Estimates of Annual Reductions by Function

	(in billions of dollars)
Joint Committee savings target	1,200.00
Deduct debt service savings (18%)	<u>-216</u>
Net programmatic reductions	984
Divide by 9 to calculate annual reductions	109.333
Split 50/50 between defense and non-defense functions	54.667

FY2013 Sequestration Timeline



Pre ATRA - December 31, 2012

Table 2: Estimates of Economic Impact of Sequestration, FY2013*

	DoD	non-DoD	Total Impacts
United States			
<i>Jobs</i>	1,090,359	1,047,349	2,137,708
<i>Labor Income (\$b)</i>	\$ 46.5	\$ 62.9	\$ 109.4
<i>Gross Domestic Product (\$b)</i>	\$ 94.5	\$ 120.5	\$ 215.0
Arizona			
<i>Jobs</i>	35,248	13,941	49,189
<i>Labor Income (\$b)</i>	\$ 1.5	\$ 1.0	\$ 2.5
<i>Gross State Product (\$b)</i>	\$ 3.1	\$ 1.9	\$ 4.9

*Effect of sequestration is for FY, 2013

Source: George Mason University, 2012

Economic Impact on Arizona (12 months)

Approximately 2.0 percent drop in Arizona's economic
activity*

Real Gross State Product (GSP)

*"This could slow down Arizona's economy
during FY 2013"*

* Assuming GSP for FY2013 is at 2011 levels of \$222 billion

Arizona's Defense Sectors

Market Intelligence Analysis (GPEC)



Pre-sequestration Status Aerospace and Defense

- Report released February 26th 2013
- Findings:
 - 24 percent expanding
 - 52 percent stable
 - 26 percent contracting (mostly Tier 2)

“Arizona had the 6th largest share of DOD contracts in 2012 ~ \$13 billion”

Post ATRA - March 1, 2013

Table 3: Potential Effects on Defense- FY2013 (7 months)

Civilian job furloughs	Gross pay reduction	Army funding cuts	Air Force funding cuts
10,000	\$52.5 million	\$43.0 million	\$6.0 million

- Reduced spending in FY2013 (6 months):
 - *Procurement and defense contracts*
 - *Research and development*



Potential Effects on Non-Defense - FY2013

(7 months, Select programs)

Arizona's Teachers and Schools

<i>Decrease in funding for</i>	
Primary and secondary education	\$17.7 million
Education for children with disabilities	\$10.0 million
<i>Decrease in number of</i>	
Students served	19,000
Schools receiving funding	70
Staff for education for children with disabilities	120
Teachers and aides jobs at risk	240

Source: White House

Potential Effects on Non-Defense - FY2013

(7 months, Select programs)

Public Health

<i>Decrease in funds for, or grants to</i>	
public health threat response	\$ 611,000
prevent and treat substance abuse	\$ 1,900,000
Department of public health losses	\$ 186,000
<i>Decrease in number of</i>	
admissions to substance abuse programs	4,500
number of HIV tests	4,600

Potential Effects on Non-Defense - FY2013

(7 months, Select programs)

STOP Violence Against Women

<i>Decrease in funds for or grants to</i>	
Stop Violence Against Women Program	\$ 132,000
<i>Decrease in number of</i>	
victims served	500

Potential Effects on Non-Defense - FY2013

(7 months, Select programs)

Arizona's Children and Elderly

<i>Decrease in funding for</i>		<i>Programs</i>
providing meals for seniors	\$ 1,000,000	← <i>Meals on Wheels</i>
Vaccines funding	\$ 176,000	
<i>Decrease in number of</i>		
children with access to early education	1,000	← <i>Head Start</i>
children with access to child care	500	← <i>Child Care</i>
children receiving vaccines	2,570	

Source: White House

Fixes to Sequestration

(FY2013 Continuing Resolution)

- Provided flexibility to Defense
 - \$3.5 billion in transfer authority to higher priority needs
 - Reduces furloughs from 22 to 14 days among others*
- Provided additional funds to Agriculture Department's
 - \$35 million to food inspectors
 - Prevents U.S. meat production 11 days shut down*

Fixes to Sequestration

(Reducing Flight Delays Act, 2013)

- Allows Secretary of Transportation to transfer
 - \$253 million from the FAA's Airport Improvement Program account to the FAA's Operations account to:
 1. *Ensure safe and effective transportation system*
 2. *Prevent reduced staffing and operations*

Conclusion



- FY 2013 Sequestration uncertainty remains
- FY 2014 Budget will maintain Budget Control Act, 2011
 - Discretionary spending caps
 - \$109 billion congressionally managed sequestration
- May 19, 2013 debt ceiling limit discussions may address future fiscal tightening
- Effects of sequestration difficult to estimate

Lessons Learnt so Far



*“It is those who make the most noise that sometimes succeed,”
said Sen. Jerry Moran (R-Kan.), ”*

** In response to his failure to see small air traffic control towers exempted in contrast to the success of the meat lobby*

** “Beef with the sequester? At least one federal program was able to beat it” ,[Washington Post](#),
March 31st 2013*



For More Information Contact:

Lora Mwaniki-Lyman

lmlyman@azmag.gov

(602) 452-5003

www.azmag.gov

City Leaders Institute on Aging in Place- Second Round

The following outcomes were identified by the Tempe team attending the orientation. These goals may be considered and adapted for the other pilot sites.

Long-Range Outcome: Transportation will support older adults remaining in their homes, maintaining their independence, and being connected to services, amenities, and each other.

Medium Term outcome: Integrated approach that accommodates all modes of getting people to where they need to go, will result in a mode shift to a more sustainable and cost effective local system.

Short Term Outcome: Leveraging older adults' assets by serving as ambassadors, advocates and volunteers... will enable them to maintain their independence and contribute to the community.

Working backwards from short term to long term, how do we meet these incomes?

How can we meet short term outcomes?

Use customer satisfaction surveys to inform decision makers and users to promote and shape program goals.

Potential outreach options:

- MAG committee system.
- Water bill inserts and other community partners such as Chambers of Commerce.
- Individuals who participated in focus group meetings.
- Volunteer 101 trainings and neighborhood associations.

Questions from surveys will help identify where there is need and where there are neighborhoods with people who could be volunteer drivers.

Potential options for vehicles:

- Retired vehicles from other programs.
- Existing volunteer driver programs.
- Focus volunteer recruitment in areas where people would be served.

Events and community gathering places could be opportunities or venues to talk about the program:

- Neighborhood events such as Getting Active in Neighborhoods (GAIN Nights).
- Local businesses such as Changing Hands Bookstore.
- Local nonprofits.

How can we meet medium term outcomes?

- Explore the feasibility of a volunteer circulator route by researching local demand and national best practices.
- Promote alternative modes of transportation such as walking and bicycling.

Challenges

- Getting enough stakeholders and leaders involved may be difficult.
- Funding may be difficult to obtain.
- Volunteer-based driving programs sometimes have strict programs about what physical contact drivers can have with volunteers. Research villages that have effectively addressed this such as Capitol Hill village.