



302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300 ▲ Phoenix, Arizona 85003
Phone (602) 254-6300 ▲ FAX (602) 254-6480
E-mail: mag@mag.maricopa.gov ▲ Web site: www.mag.maricopa.gov

June 22, 2011

TO: Members of the MAG POPTAC Ad Hoc Subcommittee

FROM: Charlie McClendon, Avondale, Chair

SUBJECT: TRANSMITTAL OF MEETING NOTICE AND TENTATIVE AGENDA

Tuesday, June 28, 2011 - **9:30 a.m.**
Maricopa County Flood Control District
Flood Control Administration Building
Buckhorn Mesa Room
2801 West Durango Street, Phoenix

PLEASE NOTE THAT THE MEETING WILL BE HELD OFFSITE

A meeting of the MAG Population Technical Advisory Committee (POPTAC) Ad Hoc Subcommittee will be held at the time and place noted above.

Members of the subcommittee may attend either in person or by telephone conference call. If you are attending via audio conference please contact Steve Gross at (602) 254-6300 at least one day prior to the meeting.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting Anubhav Bagley at the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

Please be advised that under procedures approved by the MAG Regional Council on June 26, 1996, all MAG committees need to have a quorum to conduct business. A quorum is a simple majority of the membership or 4 people for the MAG POPTAC Ad Hoc Subcommittee. If you are unable to attend the meeting, please make arrangements for a proxy from your jurisdiction to represent you. If you have any questions or need additional information, please contact Anubhav Bagley at (602) 254-6300.

TENTATIVE AGENDA

1. Call to Order

2. Call to the Audience

An opportunity will be provided to members of the public to address the MAG POPTAC Ad Hoc Subcommittee on items not scheduled on the agenda that fall under the jurisdiction of MAG, or on items on the agenda for discussion but not for action. Members of the public will be requested to limit their comments to three minutes. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for this agenda item, unless the Chair of the Subcommittee provides for an exception to this limit. Those wishing to comment on action agenda items will be given an opportunity at the time the item is heard.

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes of March 22, 2011

4. July 1, 2010 Maricopa County and Municipality Resident Population Updates and Methodology

Arizona State Demographer's Office (SDO) has prepared July 1, 2010 resident population updates based on the Census 2010 results for April 1. These updates were developed by utilizing 2010 County level birth and death data. 2010 Municipality level birth and death data for 2010 along with annexations by jurisdictions has been utilized by MAG staff to create Municipality Population updates for July 1, 2010.

The Draft July 1, 2010 Maricopa County and MAG municipality resident population updates are attached for your review. The Ad Hoc Subcommittee is requested to recommend approval of these updates to the

COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED

2. For information.

3. For information, discussion and approval of the minutes of March 22, 2011.

4. For information, discussion and possible recommendation to the MAG POPTAC to approve the July 1, 2010 Maricopa County and MAG Municipality Resident Population Updates.

MAG Population Technical Advisory Committee. Please see Attachment One.

5. 2010 Census Data Review Activities

Now that Census results are available, MAG staff will work with the member agencies to assist in the review of Census results in preparation for the Count Question Resolution (CQR) program. The Census Bureau established the 2010 CQR Program by which State, local and Tribal area elected officials may challenge their jurisdiction's 2010 Census counts. On June 1, 2011 the CQR Program began accepting 2010 Census challenge submissions. Challenges must be received no later than June 1, 2013. An update and overview of the CQR program will be provided.

To support this effort, MAG staff has been performing analysis to compare census data with the County Assessor data, MAG group quarters data, and residential completions. MAG staff will discuss the status and process of current Census 2010 data review activities. Ideas on review data sets and methods will be solicited.

5. For information, and discussion.

MINUTES OF THE
MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
POPULATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE

March 22, 2011
MAG Office, Suite 200, Saguaro Room
302 North 1st Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE

Charlie McClendon, Avondale, Chair
Wahid Alam, Mesa
*Lisa Collins, Tempe
David de la Torre, Chandler
Eddie Lamperez, Scottsdale

Thomas Ritz, Glendale
John Verdugo for Matt Holm, Maricopa County
Chris DePerro, Phoenix

*Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

Jami Garrison, MAG
Jesse Ayers, MAG
Anubhav Bagley, MAG

Jason Howard, MAG
Peter Burnett, MAG
Max Enterline, Phoenix
Eric Morgan, Avondale

1. Call to Order

Chair Charlie McClendon called the meeting to order at 9:34 a.m.

2. Call to the Audience

There were no requests from the audience to address the MAG POPTAC Ad Hoc Subcommittee.

3. Approval of Minutes of November 2, 2010

It was moved by Thomas Ritz, seconded by Wahid Alam and unanimously recommended to approve the meeting minutes of November 2, 2010.

4. July 1, 2010 Maricopa County and Municipality Resident Population Updates and Methodology

Anubhav Bagley said the Arizona Department of Commerce (DOC) has prepared the July 1, 2010 resident population based on the Census 2010 results for April 1, 2010. He reported that these updates were developed by utilizing 2010 County level birth and death data and 2009 Municipality level birth and death data. Mr. Bagley also reported that MAG staff will create Municipality Population updates for July 1, 2010 utilizing 2010 Municipality level data when it becomes available in May.

5. 2010 Census Data Review Activities

Anubhav Bagley reported that MAG staff will work with member agencies to assist in the review of the newly available 2010 Census results in preparation for the Count Question Resolution (CQR) program. Peter Burnett described the three types of challenges that can be made (boundary, geocoding, and coverage). Mr. Burnett described that the CQR program is not a process or mechanism to revise the population county sent to the President by December 31, 2010. Those counts are used to apportion the U.S. House of Representatives. The CQR program will begin accepting challenge submissions from governmental units starting June 1, 2011 and ending June 1, 2013. Mr. Burnett described MAG staff's efforts in maintaining databases to assist member agencies in analyzing Census data, including residential completions, apartments, mobile homes, and major group quarters facilities. Anubhav Bagley also solicited ideas on methods to review Census data from member agencies.

Jason Howard described attachment 2 and explained that due to geocoding differences that the City numbers may not match in 2000. Mr. Howard also explained and that there were some large differences in the number of housing units as reported by the Census compared to numbers compiled and reported by MAG. Anubhav Bagley speculated that we may not be getting complete demolition data from the cities as one explanation for the discrepancy. Wahid Alam expressed a concern that the Census used address data given to them by MAG and wondered how the numbers could be so far off if they were using what was given to them by MAG. Mr. Bagley clarified that MAG started with an address file given to MAG by the Census and MAG and member agencies made attempts to update that, and that now we do not have access to those data due to licensing agreements with the Census Bureau to go back and check what kinds of mistakes may have been made. Charlie McClendon asked for clarification that the Census found more housing units than we report, Mr. Bagley confirmed that. Wahid Alam asked about Census definitions of what constitutes a housing unit. Jami Garrison said she would contact the Census Bureau for clarification and report back to POPTAC. Eddie Lamprez offered that the City of Scottsdale plans to utilize water utility data to confirm numbers. Chris DePerro cautioned that some units, specifically "mother-in-law" type units, may not have separately metered up water sources.

The meeting adjourned at 10:04 am.

MUNICIPALITY POPULATION UPDATE, JULY 1, 2010
MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
 -- DRAFT --

Jurisdiction	Total Poulation April 1, 2010 (Census)	Births (April 2010 thru June 2010)	Deaths (April 2010 thru June 2010)	Population change due to annexations (April 2010 thru June 2010)	Total Populaiton July 1, 2010
Apache Junction *1	294	0	0	0	294
Avondale	76,238	294	64	0	76,468
Buckeye	50,876	187	46	2	51,019
Carefree	3,363	0	5	0	3,358
Cave Creek	5,015	2	12	0	5,005
Chandler	236,123	800	236	0	236,687
El Mirage	31,797	144	30	0	31,911
Fort McDowell	971	5	1	0	975
Fountain Hills	22,489	21	66	0	22,444
Gila Bend	1,922	12	2	0	1,932
Gila River *1	2,994	15	5	0	3,004
Gilbert	208,453	769	174	0	209,048
Glendale	226,721	833	337	0	227,217
Goodyear	65,275	193	64	0	65,404
Guadalupe	5,523	26	9	0	5,540
Litchfield Park	5,476	12	21	0	5,467
Mesa	439,041	1,662	774	0	439,929
Paradise Valley	12,820	12	22	0	12,810
Peoria *1	154,058	433	327	0	154,164
Phoenix	1,445,632	5,669	2,059	0	1,449,242
Queen Creek *1	25,912	100	14	0	25,998
Salt River	6,289	43	33	0	6,299
Scottsdale	217,385	401	421	0	217,365
Surprise	117,517	359	188	0	117,688
Tempe	161,719	455	200	0	161,974
Tolleson	6,545	34	6	0	6,573
Wickenburg *1	6,363	8	18	0	6,353
Youngtown	6,156	21	23	0	6,154
Balance of County	274,150	541	951	-2	273,738
Total	3,817,117	13,051	6,110	0	3,824,058

*1 Maricopa County portion only.

Sources: U.S. Bureau of the Census 2010, Arizona State Demographer's Office, Arizona Department of Health Services, Maricopa Association of Governments

updated 6/24/2011 to include Indian Communities in Maricopa County.



Flood Control District Location and Surrounding County Facilities

- 3 Flood Control Administration
- 4 Flood Control Operations
- 5 Transportation Administration
- 6 Transportation Operations
- 7 Transportation Traffic Operations
- 8 Distribution Center

