

Evaluation Criteria for Bicycle/Shared Use Projects TIP 2014

Project Name (include city): _____

Member Name (include city): _____

CATEGORY	DESCRIPTION	POINTS POSSIBLE	POINTS EARNED
Transportation Improvement 10%	Project enhances the local and/or regional transportation system	10	
Safety and Convenience Improvements 30%	Project addresses: existing safety concern = 6-10 pts; potential safety concern 0-5 pts	10	
	Types of safety improvements included in project: three or more = 6-10 pts; two = 3-5 pts; one = 0-2 pts	10	
	Types of convenience improvements included in project: three or more = 6-10 pts; two = 3-5 pts; one = 0-2 pts	10	
Linkages 40%	Projects links with: regional facility = 6-8 pts; multi-jurisdictional facility = 4-5 pts; local facility only = 1-3 pts	10	
	Links with one or more activity centers, parks or community, senior, recreation, or adult day care centers within: 1/4 mile = 6-10 pts; 1/2 mile = 3-5 pts; 1 mile = 0-2 pts	10	
	Links with one or more commercial destinations (<i>malls, retail centers, business parks, etc.</i>) or transit (bus/rail route/stops/station) within: 1/4 mile = 6-10 pts; 1/2 mile = 3-5 pts; 1 mile = 0-2 pts	10	
	Links with one or more schools (elementary, middle, or high schools, colleges, or universities) within: 1/4 mile = 6-10 pts; 1/2 mile = 3-5 pts; 1 mile = 0-2 pts	10	
Demographics 10%	Housing density (dwelling units per acre) is: 15+ = 5 pts; 5 - 15 = 2 pts; < 5 = 0 pts	5	
	Project is located within an area with an average income < \$26k/yr: yes = 3 pts; no = 0 pts	3	
	Project is located within an area with higher elderly (age > 60 years) population: 25%+ = 2 pts; < 25% = 0 pts	2	
Policies and Plans 10%	Project is: identified in the General Plan, Council adopted policy, or CIP = 3-5 pts; consistent with general policy/practices = 1-2 pts; not addressed by jurisdiction's plans, policies, or practices = 0 pts	5	
	Jurisdiction has policies for improved bicycle/shared use facilities that are: required = 3-5 pts; recommended = 1-2 pts; not emphasized or do not exist = 0 pts	5	
		TOTAL SCORE	

FOR COMMITTEE DISCUSSION

Highly Recommended	Project consistently exceeds most measured goals of a Bicycle/Shared Use project. It is highly ranked for: safety & convenience; and linkages to identified facilities, destinations and schools are clear and identifiable. The project benefits underserved populations and more densely populated areas. Jurisdictional support is exhibited through existing plans and policies.
Recommended	Project meets most measured goals of a Bicycle/Shared Use project. It demonstrates a minimum commitment to safety and convenience; linkages to facilities, destinations and schools may be identified. The project may benefit underserved populations and somewhat dense populated areas. There may be support for the project in a jurisdiction's existing plans and policies.
Not Recommended	Project does not consistently meet the measured goals of a Bicycle/Shared Use project. Application exhibits deficiencies in most measured areas.