



302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300 ▲ Phoenix, Arizona 85003
Phone (602) 254-6300 ▲ FAX (602) 254-6490
E-mail: mag@azmag.gov ▲ Web site: www.azmag.gov

August 21, 2014

TO: Members of the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Philip McNeely, Phoenix, Chair

SUBJECT: CANCELLATION OF THE AUGUST 28, 2014 MEETING

The August 28, 2014 meeting of the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee has been canceled since it does not appear to be necessary. If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact Lindy Bauer at (602) 254-6300.

August 21, 2014

TO: Members of the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Lindy Bauer, Environmental Director

SUBJECT: ARIZONA CENTER FOR LAW IN THE PUBLIC INTEREST PETITION FOR REVIEW
OF THE EPA APPROVAL OF THE MAG 2012 FIVE PERCENT PLAN FOR PM-10

On August 20, 2014, the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) notified MAG that the Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest filed a petition for review of the EPA approval of the MAG 2012 Five Percent Plan for PM-10 in the U.S. Ninth Circuit Court of Appeals. According to the mediation questionnaire, the Center for Law in the Public Interest indicated that the most significant issue is the reliance upon the EPA Exceptional Events Rule to demonstrate attainment of the standard. The Center for Law in the Public Interest contends that the EPA concurrence in excluding the exceptional event exceedances is an abuse of discretion. The Center's opening brief is due on October 17, 2014.

The petition for review and the mediation questionnaire are attached (see Attachments A and B). If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me at (602) 254-6300.



Office of the Clerk
United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit
Post Office Box 193939
San Francisco, California 94119-3939
415-355-8000

Molly C. Dwyer
Clerk of Court

July 29, 2014

No.: 14-72327
Short Title: Sandra Bahr, et al v. USEPA, et al

Dear Petitioners/Counsel

Your Petition for Review has been received in the Clerk's office of the United States Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit. The U.S. Court of Appeals docket number shown above has been assigned to this case. You must indicate this Court of Appeals docket number whenever you communicate with this court regarding this case.

The due dates for filing the parties' briefs and otherwise perfecting the petition have been set by the enclosed "Time Schedule Order," pursuant to applicable FRAP rules. These dates can be extended only by court order. Failure of the petitioner to comply with the time schedule order will result in automatic dismissal of the petition. 9th Cir. R. 42-1.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

FILED

JUL 29 2014

MOLLY C. DWYER, CLERK
U.S. COURT OF APPEALS

SANDRA L. BAHR; DAVID
MATUSOW,

Petitioners,

v.

U.S. ENVIRONMENTAL
PROTECTION AGENCY; GINA
MCCARTHY, Administrator, United
States Environmental Protection Agency;
JARED BLUMENFELD, Regional
Administrator, EPA Region IX,

Respondents.

No. 14-72327

Environmental Protection Agency

TIME SCHEDULE ORDER

The parties shall meet the following time schedule.

- | | |
|--------------------------------|---|
| Tue., August 5, 2014 | Mediation Questionnaire due. If your registration for Appellate ECF is confirmed after this date, the Mediation Questionnaire is due within one day of receiving the email from PACER confirming your registration. |
| Fri., October 17, 2014 | Petitioners' opening brief and excerpts of record shall be served and filed pursuant to FRAP 32 and 9th Cir. R. 32-1. |
| Mon., November 17, 2014 | Respondents' answering brief and excerpts of record shall be served and filed pursuant to FRAP 32 and 9th Cir. R. 32-1. |

The optional petitioners' reply brief shall be filed and served within fourteen days of service of the respondents' brief, pursuant to FRAP 32 and 9th Cir. R. 32-1.

Failure of the petitioners to comply with the Time Schedule Order will result in automatic dismissal of the appeal. See 9th Cir. R. 42-1.

FOR THE COURT:

Molly C. Dwyer
Clerk of Court

Holly Crosby
Deputy Clerk

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

SANDRA L. BAHR, and DAVID
MATUSOW,

Petitioners,

v.

GINA McCARTHY, Administrator
United States Environmental Protection
Agency; JARED BLUMENFELD,
Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX;
and UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY,

Respondents.

Case No.: _____

PETITION FOR REVIEW

Sandra L. Bahr and David Matusow hereby petition the Court for review of the final action of the above-named respondents, published at 79 Fed. Reg. 33107-33116 (June 10, 2014) entitled “Approval and Promulgation of Implementation Plans—Maricopa County PM–10 Nonattainment Area; Five Percent Plan for Attainment of the 24-Hour PM–10 Standard.”

Dated this 29th day of July, 2014.

s/Joy E. Herr-Cardillo
Joy E. Herr-Cardillo (Arizona Bar #009718)
Arizona Center for Law in the Public Interest
2205 E. Speedway.
Tucson, Arizona 85719
(520)529-1798
Counsel for Petitioners

**IN THE UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT**

SANDRA L. BAHR, and DAVID
MATUSOW,

Petitioners,

v.

GINA McCARTHY, Administrator
United States Environmental Protection
Agency; JARED BLUMENFELD,
Regional Administrator, EPA Region IX;
and UNITED STATES
ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION
AGENCY,

Respondents.

Case No.: _____

**CERTIFICATE OF
SERVICE OF PETITION
FOR REVIEW**

As counsel for Petitioners, I hereby certify that copies of the above-
captioned petition for review were mailed via first class mail, postage prepaid, this
29th day of July 2014 to the following:

Gina McCarthy
Administrator
Environmental Protection Agency
Office of the Administrator 1101A
1200 Pennsylvania Avenue, N.W.
Washington, DC 20460

Jared Blumenfeld
Regional Administrator
EPA Region IX
75 Hawthorne Street
San Francisco, CA 94105

Kara Christenson
Senior Counsel
U.S. EPA Region 9
75 Hawthorne Street, 16th Floor
San Francisco, CA 94105

Henry R. Darwin
Director
Arizona Department of Environmental Quality
1110 W. Washington St.
Phoenix, AZ 85007.

s/Joy E. Herr-Cardillo
Joy E. Herr-Cardillo

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS
FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

ALEX KOZINSKI
Chief Judge

(626) 229-7140
FAX: 229-7444

Dear Counsel:

I write to introduce you to the court's mediation program.

The court offers you and your clients professional mediation services, at no cost, to help resolve disputes quickly and efficiently and to explore the development of more satisfactory results than can be achieved from continued litigation. Each year the mediators facilitate the resolution of hundreds of cases, from the most basic contract and tort actions to the most complex cases involving multiple parties, numerous pieces of litigation and important issues of public policy.

The nine circuit mediators, all of whom work exclusively for the court, are highly experienced attorneys from a variety of practices; all have extensive training and experience in negotiation, appellate mediation, and Ninth Circuit practice and procedure. Although the mediators are court employees, they are well shielded from the rest of the court's operations. The court has adopted strict confidentiality rules and practices to ensure that what goes on in mediation stays in mediation. See Circuit Rule [33-1](#).

The first step in the mediation process is case selection. To assist the mediators in the case selection process, appellants/petitioners must file a completed Mediation Questionnaire within 7 days of the docketing of the case. See Circuit Rules [3-4](#), and [15-2](#). Appellees may also fill out and file a questionnaire. The questionnaire with filing instructions accompanies this letter and is also available at www.ca9.uscourts.gov/mediation/forms.php. All counsel are also invited to submit, by e-mail to ca09_mediation@ca9.uscourts.gov, additional, confidential information that might assist the mediator in the case selection process.

In most cases, the mediator will schedule a settlement assessment conference, with counsel only, to determine whether the case is suitable for mediation. Be assured that participation in the mediation program will not slow down disposition of your appeal. Mediation discussions are not limited to the issues on appeal. The discussions can involve other cases and may include

Page Two

individuals who are not parties to the litigation, if doing so enables the parties to reach a global settlement.

Further information about the mediation program may be found on the court's website: www.ca9.uscourts.gov/mediation. Please address questions directly to the Mediation Program at 415-355-7900 or ca09_mediation@ca9.uscourts.gov.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Alex Kozinski', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Alex Kozinski

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Mediation Office
Phone (415) 355-7900 Fax (415) 355-8566
<http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/mediation>

MEDIATION QUESTIONNAIRE

The purpose of this questionnaire is to help the court's mediators provide the best possible mediation service in this case; it serves no other function. Responses to this questionnaire are *not* confidential. Appellants/Petitioners must electronically file this document within 7 days of the docketing of the case. 9th Cir. R. 3-4 and 15-2. Appellees/Respondents may file the questionnaire, but are not required to do so.

9th Circuit Case Number(s):	<input type="text"/>
District Court/Agency Case Number(s):	<input type="text"/>
District Court/Agency Location:	<input type="text"/>
Case Name:	<input type="text"/> v. <input type="text"/>
If District Court, docket entry number(s) of order(s) appealed from:	<input type="text"/>
Name of party/parties submitting this form:	<input type="text"/>

Please briefly describe the dispute that gave rise to this lawsuit.

Briefly describe the result below and the main issues on appeal.

(Please continue to next page)

Describe any proceedings remaining below or any related proceedings in other tribunals.

Provide any other thoughts you would like to bring to the attention of the mediator.

Any party may provide additional information *in confidence* directly to the Circuit Mediation Office at ca09_mediation@ca9.uscourts.gov. Please provide the case name and Ninth Circuit case number in your message. Additional information might include interest in including this case in the mediation program, the case's settlement history, issues beyond the litigation that the parties might address in a settlement context, or future events that might affect the parties' willingness or ability to mediate the case.

CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL

I certify that:

- a current service list with telephone and fax numbers and email addresses is attached (see 9th Circuit Rule 3-2).
- I understand that failure to provide the Court with a completed form and service list may result in sanctions, including dismissal of the appeal.

Signature

("s/" plus attorney name may be used in lieu of a manual signature on electronically-filed documents.)

Counsel for

Note: Use of the Appellate ECF system is mandatory for all attorneys filing in this Court, unless they are granted an exemption from using the system. **File this document electronically** in Appellate ECF by choosing Forms/Notices/Disclosure > File a Mediation Questionnaire.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS for the NINTH CIRCUIT**Office of the Clerk****After Opening a Case – Counseled Non-Immigration Agency Cases**
*(revised December 2013)***Court Address – San Francisco Headquarters**

<i>Mailing Address for U.S. Postal Service</i>	<i>Mailing Address for Overnight Delivery (FedEx, UPS, etc.)</i>	<i>Street Address</i>
Office of the Clerk James R. Browning Courthouse U.S. Court of Appeals P.O. Box 193939 San Francisco, CA 94119-3939	Office of the Clerk James R. Browning Courthouse U.S. Court of Appeals 95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103-1526	95 Seventh Street San Francisco, CA 94103

Court Addresses – Divisional Courthouses

<i>Pasadena</i>	<i>Portland</i>	<i>Seattle</i>
Richard H. Chambers Courthouse 125 South Grand Avenue Pasadena, CA 91105	The Pioneer Courthouse 700 SW 6th Ave, Ste 110 Portland, OR 97204	William K. Nakamura Courthouse 1010 Fifth Avenue Seattle, WA 98104

Court Website – www.ca9.uscourts.gov

The Court's website contains the Court's Rules and General Orders, information about electronic filing of documents, answers to frequently asked questions, directions to the courthouses, forms necessary to gain admission to the bar of the Court, opinions and memoranda, recordings of oral arguments, links to practice manuals, and an invitation to join our Pro Bono Program.

Court Phone List

Main Phone Number	(415) 355-8000
Attorney Admissions.....	(415) 355-7800
Calendar Unit.....	(415) 355-8190
Docketing.....	(415) 355-7840
Death Penalty.....	(415) 355-8197
Electronic Filing – Appellate ECF.....	Send email to cmecf_ca9help@ca9.uscourts.gov
Library.....	(415) 355-8650
Mediation Unit.....	(415) 355-7900
Motions Attorney Unit.....	(415) 355-8020
Procedural Motions Unit.....	(415) 355-7860
Records Unit.....	(415) 355-7820
Divisional Court Offices:	
Pasadena.....	(626) 229-7250
Portland.....	(503) 833-5300
Seattle.....	(206) 224-2200

Electronic Filing - Appellate ECF

The Ninth Circuit’s Appellate ECF (Electronic Case Files) system is mandatory for all attorneys filing in this Court, unless they are granted an exemption. All non-exempted attorneys who appear in an ongoing case are required to register for and to use the Appellate ECF system. [Registration](#) and information about ECF is available on the Court’s website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Electronic Filing–ECF*. Read the [Circuit Rules](#), especially Ninth Circuit Rule 25-5, for guidance on Appellate ECF, including which documents can and cannot be filed electronically.

Rules of Practice

The Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure (Fed. R. App. P.), the Ninth Circuit Rules (9th Cir. R.) and the General Orders govern practice before this Court. The rules are available on the Court's website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Rules*.

Practice Resources

The Court has prepared a practice guide video entitled *Perfecting Your Appeal*. The video may be viewed for free on the Court's website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *FAQs, Forms and Instructions -> Guides and Legal Outlines*, and may be purchased through the Clerk's office in San Francisco for \$15.00. Continuing legal education credit for viewing this videotape is available in most jurisdictions.

Admission to the Bar of the Ninth Circuit

All attorneys practicing before the Court must be admitted to the Bar of the Ninth Circuit. Fed. R. App. P. 46(a); 9th Cir. R. 46-1.1 & 46-1.2.

To apply for admission, obtain an application on the Court's website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms* or by calling (415) 355-7800. If you are registered for the 9th Circuit's Appellate ECF system, upload your application and pay the \$230.00 fee with a credit card by logging into Appellate ECF and clicking on Utilities > Attorney Admission. Otherwise, mail the application to the Clerk's Office in San Francisco with the admission fee of \$230.00. Your check or money order must be included with the application.

Notice of Change of Address

Counsel who are registered for Appellate ECF must update their personal information, including street addresses and/or email addresses, online at: <https://pacer.psc.uscourts.gov/psco/cgi-bin/cmecf/ea-login.pl> 9th Cir. R. 46-3.

Counsel who have been granted an exemption from using Appellate ECF must file a written change of address with the Court. 9th Cir. R. 46-3.

Payment of Fees

The \$500.00 filing fee or a motion to proceed in forma pauperis shall accompany the petition for review. 9th Cir. R. 3-1.

A motion to proceed in forma pauperis must be supported by the affidavit of indigency found at [Form 4](#) of the Federal Rules of Appellate Procedure, available at the Court's website, www.ca9.uscourts.gov, under *Forms*.

Failure to satisfy the fee requirement or to apply to proceed without payment of fees will result in the petition's dismissal. 9th Cir. R. 42-1.

Motions Practice

Following are some of the basic points of motion practice, governed by Fed. R. App. P. 27 and 9th Cir. R. 27-1 through 27-13.

- Neither a notice of motion nor a proposed order is required. Fed. R. App. P. 27(a)(2)(C)(ii), (iii).
- Motions may be supported by an affidavit or declaration. 28 U.S.C. § 1746.
- Each motion should provide the position of the opposing party. Circuit Advisory Committee Note to Rule 27-1(5); 9th Cir. R. 31-2.2(b)(6).
- A response to a motion is due 10 days from the service of the motion. Fed. R. App. P. 27(a)(3)(A). The reply is due 7 days from service of the response. Fed. R. App. P. 27(a)(4); Fed. R. App. P. 26(c).
- A response requesting affirmative relief and/or relief by a date certain must include that request in the caption. Fed. R. App. P. 27(a)(3)(B).
- A motion filed after a case has been scheduled for oral argument, has been argued, is under submission or has been decided by a panel, must include on the initial page and/or cover the date of argument, submission or decision and, if known, the names of the judges on the panel. 9th Cir. R. 25-4.

Emergency or Urgent Motions

All emergency and urgent motions must conform with the provisions of 9th Cir. R. 27-3. Note that a motion requesting procedural relief (e.g., an extension of time to file a brief) is *not* the type of matter contemplated by 9th Cir. R. 27-3. Circuit Advisory Committee Note to 27-3(3).

Prior to filing an emergency motion, the moving party *must* contact an attorney in the Motions Unit in San Francisco at (415) 355-8020.

When it is absolutely necessary to notify the Court of an emergency outside of standard office hours, the moving party shall call (415) 355-8000. Keep in mind that this line is for true emergencies that cannot wait until the next business day (e.g., an imminent execution or removal from the United States).

Briefing Schedule

The Court sets the briefing schedule at the time the petition for review is docketed.

Certain motions (e.g., a motion for dismissal) automatically stay the briefing schedule. 9th Cir. R. 27-11.

The opening and answering brief due dates (and any other deadline set for a date certain by the Court) are not subject to the additional time described in Fed. R. App. P. 26(c). The early filing of petitioner's opening brief does not advance the due date for respondent's answering brief. 9th Cir. R. 31-2.1.

Extensions of Time to file a Brief

Streamlined Request

If you have not yet asked for any extension of time to file the brief, you may request one streamlined extension of up to 30 days from the brief's existing due date. Submit your request via the Appellate ECF system using the "File a Streamlined Request to Extend Time to File Brief" event on or before your brief's existing due date. No form or written motion is required.

Written Extension

Requests for extensions of more than 14 days will be granted only upon a written motion supported by a showing of diligence and substantial need. This motion shall be filed at least 7 days before the due date for the brief. The motion shall be accompanied by an affidavit or declaration that includes all of the information listed at 9th Cir. R. 31-2.2(b).

The Court will ordinarily adjust the schedule in response to an initial motion. Circuit Advisory Committee Note to Rule 31-2.2. The Court expects that the brief

will be filed within the requested period of time. *Id.*

Contents of Briefs and Record

The required components of a brief are set out at Fed. R. App. P. 28 and 32, and 9th Cir. R. 28-2, 32-1 and 32-2.

The content and filing of the record are governed by Fed. R. App. P. 16 and 17. If respondent does not file the record or certified list by the specified date, petitioner may move to amend the briefing schedule.

Excerpts of Record

The Court requires Excerpts of Record rather than an Appendix. 9th Cir. R. 17-1.1. Please review 9th Cir. R. 17-1.3 through 17-1.6 to see a list of the specific contents and format. For Excerpts that exceed 75 pages, the first volume must comply with 9th Cir. R. 17-1.6 and 30-1.6(a). Excerpts exceeding 300 pages must be filed in multiple volumes. 9th Cir. R. 30-1.6(b).

Respondent may file supplemental Excerpts, and petitioner may file further Excerpts. 9th Cir. R. 17-1.7; 17-1.8; 30-1.7 and 30-1.8. If you are a respondent responding to a pro se brief that did not come with Excerpts, then your Excerpts need only include the contents set out at 9th Cir. R. 30-1.7.

Excerpts must be submitted in PDF format on Appellate ECF on the same day the filer submits the brief, unless the Excerpts contain sealed materials. If the Excerpts contain sealed materials, please electronically submit only the unsealed volumes. The filer shall serve a paper copy of the Excerpts on any party not registered for Appellate ECF.

After electronic submission, the Court will direct the filer to file 4 separately-bound excerpts of record with white covers in paper copy.

Mediation Program

Mediation Questionnaires are required in all counseled cases seeking review of an agency decision except those cases seeking review of a Board of Immigration Appeals decision. 9th Cir. R. 15-2.

The Mediation Questionnaire is available on the Court's website at www.ca9.uscourts.gov under *Forms*. The Mediation Questionnaire should be filed within 7 days of the docketing of the Petition for Review. The Mediation Questionnaire is used only to assess settlement potential.

If you are interested in requesting a conference with a mediator, you may call the Mediation Unit at (415) 355-7900, email ca09_mediation@ca9.uscourts.gov or make a written request to the Chief Circuit Mediator. You may request conferences confidentially. More information about the Court's mediation program is available at <http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/mediation>.

Oral Hearings

Notices of the oral hearing calendars are distributed approximately 4 to 5 weeks before the hearing date.

The Court will change the date or location of an oral hearing only for good cause, and requests to continue a hearing filed within 14 days of the hearing will be granted only upon a showing of exceptional circumstances. 9th Cir. R. 34-2.

Oral hearing will be conducted in all cases unless all members of the panel agree that the decisional process would not be significantly aided by oral argument. Fed. R. App. P. 34.

Ninth Circuit Appellate Lawyer Representatives APPELLATE MENTORING PROGRAM

1. Purpose

The Appellate Mentoring Program is intended to provide mentoring on a voluntary basis to attorneys who are new to federal appellate practice or would benefit from guidance at the appellate level. In addition to general assistance regarding federal appellate practice, the project will provide special focus on two substantive areas of practice - immigration law and habeas corpus petitions. Mentors will be volunteers who have experience in immigration, habeas corpus, and/or appellate practice in general. The project is limited to counseled cases.

2. Coordination, recruitment of volunteer attorneys, disseminating information about the program, and requests for mentoring

Current or former Appellate Lawyer Representatives (ALRs) will serve as coordinators for the Appellate Mentoring Program. The coordinators will recruit volunteer attorneys with appellate expertise, particularly in the project's areas of focus, and will maintain a list of those volunteers. The coordinators will ask the volunteer attorneys to describe their particular strengths in terms of mentoring experience, substantive expertise, and appellate experience, and will maintain a record of this information as well.

The Court will include information about the Appellate Mentoring Program in the case opening materials sent to counsel and will post information about it on the Court's website. Where appropriate in specific cases, the Court may also suggest that counsel seek mentoring on a voluntary basis.

Counsel who desire mentoring should contact the court at mentoring@ca9.uscourts.gov, and staff will notify the program coordinators. The coordinators will match the counsel seeking mentoring with a mentor, taking into account the mentor's particular strengths.

3. The mentoring process

The extent of the mentor's guidance may vary depending on the nature of the case, the mentee's needs, and the mentor's availability. In general, the mentee should initiate contact with the mentor, and the mentee and mentor should determine together how best to proceed. For example, the areas of guidance may range from

basic questions about the mechanics of perfecting an appeal to more sophisticated matters such as effective research, how to access available resources, identification of issues, strategy, appellate motion practice, and feedback on writing.

4. Responsibility/liability statement

The mentee is solely responsible for handling the appeal and any other aspects of the client's case, including all decisions on whether to present an issue, how to present it in briefing and at oral argument, and how to counsel the client. By participating in the program, the mentee agrees that the mentor shall not be liable for any suggestions made. In all events, the mentee is deemed to waive and is estopped from asserting any claim for legal malpractice against the mentor.

The mentor's role is to provide guidance and feedback to the mentee. The mentor will not enter an appearance in the case and is not responsible for handling the case, including determining which issues to raise and how to present them and ensuring that the client is notified of proceedings in the case and receives appropriate counsel. The mentor accepts no professional liability for any advice given.

5. Confidentiality statement

The mentee alone will have contact with the client, and the mentee must maintain client confidences, as appropriate, with respect to non-public information.

UNITED STATES COURT OF APPEALS FOR THE NINTH CIRCUIT

Circuit Mediation Office

Phone (415) 355-7900 Fax (415) 355-8566

<http://www.ca9.uscourts.gov/mediation>**MEDIATION QUESTIONNAIRE**

The purpose of this questionnaire is to help the court's mediators provide the best possible mediation service in this case; it serves no other function. Responses to this questionnaire are *not* confidential. Appellants/Petitioners must electronically file this document within 7 days of the docketing of the case. 9th Cir. R. 3-4 and 15-2. Appellees/Respondents may file the questionnaire, but are not required to do so.

9th Circuit Case Number(s):	14-72327
District Court/Agency Case Number(s):	EPA-R09-OAR-2013-0762; FRL-9912-01- Region 9
District Court/Agency Location:	EPA Region 9, San Francisco, CA
Case Name:	Bahr et al v. McCarthy et al
If District Court, docket entry number(s) of order(s) appealed from:	n/a
Name of party/parties submitting this form:	Sandra Bahr and David Matusow, Petitioners

Please briefly describe the dispute that gave rise to this lawsuit.

This is a Petition for Review challenging EPA's approval of a revision to the Arizona State Implementation Plan under the Clean Air Act. Because the Phoenix metropolitan nonattainment area failed to attain the National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) for PM-10 by December 31, 2006, pursuant to section 189(d) of the CAA the state was required to submit "plan revisions which provide for attainment of the PM-10 air quality standard and, from the date of such submission until attainment, for an annual reduction in PM-10 or PM-10 precursor emissions within the area of not less than 5 percent of the amount of such emissions as reported in the most recent inventory prepared for such area." Arizona initially submitted a 5% plan in 2007, but withdrew it in January 2011 when EPA proposed disapproving it. The state then submitted a substitute plan in May 2012, which EPA has now approved.

Briefly describe the result below and the main issues on appeal.

Although Petitioners have raised several issues with the Plan, the most significant issue is the state's reliance upon the exceptional events rule to demonstrate "attainment." According to the monitors, the area continues to violate the NAAQS, particularly during the monsoon season. Consequently, the state has sought to have those violations excluded as "exceptional events." An exceptional event is defined as "an event that affects air quality; is not reasonably controllable or preventable; is an event caused by human activity that is unlikely to recur at a particular location or a natural event." In the case of the 5% plan, the state can only demonstrate "attainment" if 127 exceedances that occurred over 25 days are excluded from the data as "exceptional events." If these exceedances were not excluded, 14 of the 16 monitoring sites that reported exceedances would be violating the standard by a significant measure. We believe EPA's concurrence in excluding these data is an abuse of discretion.

(Please continue to next page)

Describe any proceedings remaining below or any related proceedings in other tribunals.

There were no proceedings below. There was a public comment period during which Petitioners submitted extensive comments.

Provide any other thoughts you would like to bring to the attention of the mediator.

Petitioners in this case were also plaintiffs in two district court actions brought to compel EPA to take action on the 5% plan as required under the CAA. Under the Act, EPA had a nondiscretionary duty to act on the plan by June 2009, and then when the state withdrew and submitted a substitute plan, on February 14, 2013. In both instances, when EPA failed to act by the nondiscretionary deadline, petitioners sued in federal district court for the district of Arizona. Both lawsuits resulted in negotiated consent judgments with agreed upon dates for EPA to act. In both cases, the negotiated deadlines gave EPA significantly more time to take action than is required under the Act.

Any party may provide additional information *in confidence* directly to the Circuit Mediation Office at ca09_mediation@ca9.uscourts.gov. Please provide the case name and Ninth Circuit case number in your message. Additional information might include interest in including this case in the mediation program, the case's settlement history, issues beyond the litigation that the parties might address in a settlement context, or future events that might affect the parties' willingness or ability to mediate the case.

CERTIFICATION OF COUNSEL

I certify that:

a current service list with telephone and fax numbers and email addresses is attached (see 9th Circuit Rule 3-2).

I understand that failure to provide the Court with a completed form and service list may result in sanctions, including dismissal of the appeal.

Signature

("s/" plus attorney name may be used in lieu of a manual signature on electronically-filed documents.)

Counsel for

Note: Use of the Appellate ECF system is mandatory for all attorneys filing in this Court, unless they are granted an exemption from using the system. **File this document electronically** in Appellate ECF by choosing Forms/Notices/Disclosure > File a Mediation Questionnaire.