MARICOPA ASSOCIATION of GOVERNMENTS

302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300 ▲ Phoenix, Arizona 85003
Phone (602) 254-6300 ▲ FAX (602) 254-6490
E-mail: mag@azmag.gov ▲ Web site: www.azmag.gov

March 14, 2011

TO: Members of the MAG Regional Council Executive Committee

FROM: Mayor Thomas Schoaf, City of Litchfield Park, Chair

SUBJECT: MEETING NOTIFICATION AND TRANSMITTAL OF TENTATIVE AGENDA FOR THE MAG REGIONAL COUNCIL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

Monday, March 21, 2011 - 12:00 p.m. (or immediately following the Regional Community Partners Meeting)
MAG Office, Suite 200 - Cholla Room
302 North 1st Avenue, Phoenix

A meeting of the MAG Regional Council Executive Committee has been scheduled for the time and place noted above. Members of the Committee may attend the meeting either in person, by telephone conference, or by videoconference.

Please park in the garage under the building. Bring your ticket to the meeting, parking will be validated. For those using transit, the Regional Public Transportation Authority will provide transit tickets for your trip. For those using bicycles, please lock your bicycle in the bike rack in the garage.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting Denise McClafferty at the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

If you have any questions regarding the Executive Committee agenda items, please contact me at (623) 935-5033. For MAG staff, please contact Dennis Smith, MAG Executive Director, at (602) 254-6300.

MAG EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
TENTATIVE AGENDA
MARCH 21, 2011

	COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED
1. Call to Order The meeting of the Executive Committee will be called to order.	
2. Call to the Audience An opportunity will be provided to members of the public to address the Executive Committee on items not scheduled on the agenda that fall under the jurisdiction of MAG, or on items on the agenda for discussion but not for action. Members of the public will be requested not to exceed a three-minute time period for their comments. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for the Call to the Audience agenda item, unless the Executive Committee requests an exception to this limit. Please note that those wishing to comment on action agenda items will be given an opportunity at the time the item is heard.	2. Information and discussion.
3. Approval of Executive Committee Consent Agenda Prior to action on the consent agenda, members of the audience will be provided an opportunity to comment on consent items that are being presented for action. Following the comment period, Committee members may request that an item be removed from the consent agenda. Consent items are marked with an asterisk (*).	3. Approval of Executive Committee Consent Agenda.

ITEMS PROPOSED FOR CONSENT
BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

*3A. Approval of the February 14, 2011 Executive Committee Meeting Minutes	3A. Review and approval of the February 14, 2011 Executive Committee meeting minutes.
*3B. Amendment to the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to Include Funding for Two Public/Business Forums in Coordination With the Sustainable Transportation Study As part of the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget approved by MAG Regional Council in May 2010, MAG is	3B. Approval to amend the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to include $48,650 to conduct two (2) public and business forums in support of the Sustainable Transportation and Land Use Integration Study.

currently conducting a Sustainable Transportation and Land Use Integration Study. To increase participation in the planning process, MAG is requesting that the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget be amended to provide MAG federal planning funds in the amount of $48,650 to conduct two (2) public and business outreach forums. The forums will be conducted by the Urban Land Institute (ULI), a national leader in promoting best practices in all aspects of the real estate industry. On March 9, 2011, the MAG Management Committee recommended approval to amend the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to include the $48,650. Please refer to the enclosed material.

*3C. Amendment to the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to Accept Funding from Virginia G. Piper Charitable Trust to Support Aging Services Planning

The FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget (UPWP) was approved by the MAG Regional Council on May 26, 2010. A grant for Human Services was awarded on February 14, 2011 to Regional Community Partners (RCP) for work on the MAG Municipal Aging Services Project. This project work includes an inventory of municipal aging services, community engagement to determine the needs of older adults, and the development of an aging services model for local governments. This item is to accept this grant and approve an amendment to the MAG 2011 Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget (UPWP) increasing the budget for RCP by $110,800. Please refer to the enclosed material.

3C. Approval of the budget amendment to the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to include grant funding in the amount of $110,800 from the Virginia G. Piper Charitable Trust to Support Aging Service Planning.

ITEMS PROPOSED TO BE HEARD BY THE EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE

4. Development of the FY 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget

Each year, the MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget is developed incrementally in conjunction with member agency

4. Information and input on the development of the fiscal year (FY) 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget.

and public input. The Work Program is reviewed each year by the federal agencies and approved by the Regional Council in May. This presentation and review of the draft FY 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget represent the budget document development to date. The elements of the budget document are about 70 percent complete. Please refer to the enclosed material.

5. Update on the MAG Five Percent Plan for PM-10

On February 14, 2011, the Environmental Protection Agency published a Finding of Failure to Submit for the withdrawal of the MAG 2007 Five Percent Plan for PM-10 that was effective on that date. If a new complete plan is not submitted within eighteen months of the finding, the Clean Air Act sanction of tighter controls on major industries (two to one offsets) would be imposed by August 14, 2012. If the plan is not submitted within twenty-four months of the finding, the loss of the federal highway funds and the imposition of a federal plan would be imposed by February 14, 2013. The submittal of a new plan and a completeness determination by EPA will stop the sanctions clocks. A plan approval action by EPA will stop the imposition of a federal plan. It is anticipated that a new plan should be submitted in January 2012 to allow EPA six months to make a completeness determination. The planning effort has been initiated to prepare a new plan. MAG and Maricopa County are working on a new revised 2008 emissions inventory to address the approvability issues identified by EPA. On a parallel track, MAG will be working with the member agencies on activities designed to prevent exceedances from occurring at the monitors. A joint effort is needed with the cities, towns, and Maricopa County. EPA has indicated informally that 2009 may be a clean year. There were no violations of the PM-10 standard in 2010. The next ten months in 2011 are critical. If three years of clean data can be obtained prior to the submission of the plan, it may be possible for EPA to issue an attainment finding under the EPA Clean Data Policy. If an attainment finding is issued, Clean

5. Information, discussion and possible motion to adjourn to executive session with MAG's attorney(s) for legal advice regarding the MAG 2007 Five Percent Plan for PM-10. A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3).

Air Act requirements would be suspended for reasonable further progress, attainment demonstration, and contingency measures, as long as the area remains in attainment.

The Executive Committee may vote to recess the meeting and go into executive session with MAG's attorney(s) for legal advice regarding the MAG Five Percent Plan for PM-10. The authority for such an executive session is in A.R.S. § 38-431.03(A)(3).

The Executive Committee may reconvene the meeting to provide direction to staff if needed.

6. Amendment to the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to Provide More Timely Air Quality Monitoring Resources for Maricopa County

Maricopa County operates the majority of regional air quality monitoring network. The present network does not have the ability to capture more timely monitoring information. More timely information is critical to prevent PM-10 exceedances. MAG is proposing to provide Maricopa County software and related equipment in the amount of $31,664 to enable Maricopa County to provide more timely monitor information to prevent exceedances from occurring. MAG Federal Highway Administration Planning funds would be used for this purpose. The County would conduct further evaluation to determine whether addition technology could be used to alleviate the issue. Please refer to the enclosed material.

6. Approval to amend the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to provide $31,644 to Maricopa County to acquire software and related equipment to provide more timely air quality monitoring information.

7. Amendment to the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to Develop a PM-10 Prevention Video

If the remainder of 2011 has no violations of the PM-10 standard, the region could receive an attainment finding from the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) and a Five Percent Plan for PM-10 will not be necessary. The region would need to remain clean at the monitors and a maintenance plan could then be submitted to EPA.

7. Approval to amend the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to add $12,000 to the Video Outreach Associate contract to assist MAG in developing an air quality prevention video.

To create public awareness to prevent exceedances, especially on high wind days, MAG is recommending that an air quality prevention video be created. This video would be shown on local channel 11 stations and on You Tube. To develop the video MAG is recommending that the Video Outreach Associate contract be amended to add $12,000.

8. Request for Future Agenda Items

Topics or issues of interest that the Executive Committee would like to have considered for discussion at a future meeting will be requested.

8. Information and discussion.

9. Comments from the Committee

An opportunity will be provided for the Executive Committee members to present a brief summary of current events. The Executive Committee is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action at the meeting on any matter in the summary, unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

9. Information

Adjournment

MINUTES OF THE
MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
MAG REGIONAL COUNCIL EXECUTIVE COMMITTEE
February 14, 2011
MAG Offices, Cholla Room
302 N. 1st Avenue, Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Thomas L. Schoaf, Litchfield Park, Chair
Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe, Vice Chair
#Mayor Marie Lopez Rogers, Avondale, Treasurer
#Mayor Jim Lane, Scottsdale
#Mayor Michael LeVault, Youngtown
#Councilwoman Peggy Neely, Phoenix
Mayor Scott Smith, Mesa

* Not present
# Participated by video or telephone conference call

1. Call to Order

The Executive Committee meeting was called to order by Chair Schoaf at 12:00 noon. Chair Schoaf stated that public comment cards were available for those members of the public who wish to comment. Transit tickets were available from Valley Metro for those using transit to come to the meeting. Parking validation was available from MAG staff for those who parked in the parking garage.

2. Call to the Audience

Chair Schoaf stated that, according to the MAG public comment process, members of the audience who wish to speak are requested to fill out the public comment cards. He stated that there is a three-minute time limit. Public comment is provided at the beginning of the meeting for items that are not on the agenda that are within the jurisdiction of MAG, or non-action agenda items that are on the agenda for discussion or information only. Chair Schoaf noted that no public comment cards had been received.

3. Consent Agenda

Chair Schoaf noted that prior to action on the consent agenda, members of the audience are provided an opportunity to comment on consent items that are being presented for action. Following the comment period, Committee members may request that an item be removed from the consent agenda. Chair Schoaf noted that no public comment cards had been received.

Chair Schoaf requested a motion to approve the consent agenda. Mayor Lane moved to approve items #3A through #3C. Mayor LeVault seconded the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

3A. Approval of the January 18, 2010 Executive Committee Meeting Minutes

The Regional Council Executive Committee, by consent, approved the January 18, 2010 Executive Committee meeting minutes.

3B. Consultant Selection for the Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model

The Executive Committee, by consent, approved the consultant selection of PB Americas, Inc. to complete development of the second phase of the Activity-based Travel Forecasting Model (ABM) for an amount not to exceed $500,000, and, at MAG's discretion, complete Phase 3 of the project at a cost not to exceed $500,000. The fiscal year (FY) 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, approved by the MAG Regional Council in May 2010, includes $500,000 for the second phase of development of the Activity-Based Travel Forecasting Model (ABM). The purpose of the project is to complete development of the new generation travel demand forecasting model at MAG. The ABM will allow MAG to address emerging regional planning challenges in a timely manner. On December 10, 2010, MAG issued a Request for Proposals to complete development of the MAG ABM, and implementation of the ABM at MAG. The successful respondent in this RFP process, at MAG's discretion, also may be retained to complete Phase 3 of the project at a cost not to exceed $500,000. A single proposal was received from PB Americas, Inc. A multi-agency evaluation team evaluated the proposal on February 3, 2011.

3C. Consultant Contract for AZ-SMART Support

The Executive Committee, by consent, approved the selection of Planning Technologies for AZ-SMART support for an amount not to exceed $45,000. The FY2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget approved by the MAG Regional Council in May 2010, includes a $45,000 project for AZ-SMART support. MAG is in the process of developing and implementing a statewide socioeconomic model, Arizona Socioeconomic Modeling, Analysis and Reporting Toolbox (AZ-SMART). The AZ-SMART socioeconomic modeling suite will primarily support socioeconomic activities at MAG. AZ-SMART builds upon a model that MAG currently uses, the Subarea Allocation Model (SAM). This model was developed by Planning Technologies. Since Planning Technologies is the developer of SAM and has been supporting MAG in the design of AZ-SMART, it is uniquely able to provide detailed technical guidance and support on the implementation and testing for AZ-SMART. Staff is recommending that Planning Technologies be selected to provide support for AZ-SMART in an amount not to exceed $45,000.

4. Development of the FY 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget

Becky Kimbrough thanked the Chair and Executive Committee members. She stated that this item was on the agenda for information and discussion. Ms. Kimbrough reviewed the attachments beginning with Attachment A, the Due and Assessments. She noted that a 50 percent reduction is being proposed to member dues and assessments for Fiscal Year 2012 due to the economy. She stated that Attachment B is the Draft Work Program and Annual Budget Proposed Timeline. She stated that there are two items to note on the timeline that have been updated. The MAG Webinar will be held Thursday, February 17, 2011 at 1:00 p.m. in the Palo Verde Room and the Intermodal

Planning Group (IPG) meeting was tentatively scheduled for Tuesday, March 29, 2011. This meeting includes a review of the draft Work Program by the Federal Highway Administration, the Federal Transit Administration, the Environmental Protection Agency, the Arizona Department of Transportation, Valley Metro Rail, Regional Public Transit Authority (RPTA) the City of Phoenix and other MAG partners. Ms. Kimbrough noted that comments from the IPG meeting will be brought to the Executive Committee in April. She noted that Attachment C was the Webinar invitation with the details. She stated that the proposed projects for FY2012 were presented in Attachment D, which has been revised and an updated copy was provided. Ms. Kimbrough stated that the Bus Stop Location and Amenity Study was deleted as the Joint Transit Planning Committee has determined that most of the work has been completed for this project. She then explained that the fiscal year budget development at MAG takes place over a 5-month period with portions of the draft budget introduced each month so that the MAG member agencies have an opportunity for a more in-depth review of the MAG budget. Ms. Kimbrough noted that concluded the budget presentation.

Chair Schoaf asked if there were any questions. There were none.

5. External Planning Agency Relationships

Dennis Smith stated that each year MAG prepares a Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget. He noted that for this process, the Regional Council Executive Committee serves as the fiscal committee for developing the Work Program and Annual Budget. He also noted that each month the budget moves up through the MAG committee process each year. Mr. Smith stated that staff reports on the dues and assessments in January and projects in February. The draft document then goes for review by federal agencies in April and approval of the Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget in May. Mr. Smith stated that what we are looking for today for this item is input so that we can update the Work Program, which will be reviewed by this committee next month.

Mr. Smith stated that this year, MAG was approached by the Central Arizona Association of Governments (CAAG) to have a more continuous planning relationship. He noted that this would allow CAAG to hire a professional transportation planner to interface with MAG. Mr. Smith stated that CAAG is important to the MAG planning process because the MAG travel demand model goes into Pinal County. He stated that MAG needs CAAG to provide the data collection or model development, or MAG can hire a consultant to provide this information.

Mr. Smith noted that any funding decisions discussed today will get approved at the May Executive Committee and Regional Council meetings. He noted that this was an early discussion of what will appear in the budget. He stated that currently MAG provides a total of $724,720 to two external agencies, the RPTA and Valley Metro Rail. He noted that we also provide "pass through" funding to RPTA and the County for various programs. He clarified that what is being talked about today is planning funds. Mr. Smith stated that MAG provides $224,720 to RPTA and $500,000 to Valley Metro Rail. He noted that what is being proposed is to have three instead of two agencies share in the external planning amount of $724,720 - $157,304 to RPTA, $350,000 to Valley Metro Rail, and $217,416 to CAAG. Mr. Smith stated that the $217,416, in relation to the Public Transportation Fund, represents two tenths of one percent. He noted that the issue here

is not the dollars, but the planning relationships. He noted that staff is seeking input on the idea to have three agencies share instead of two agencies. Mr. Smith provided some potential options: 1) continue the current planning funding arrangement and wait for state mandated performance audit to further sort out relationships; 2) begin to show improvement towards consolidation of planning functions and make a small reduction to funding to the transit planning agencies ($217,416); 3) do nothing with the Central Arizona Association of Governments and have MAG hire consultants to perform the work needed for data collection and model development for Pinal County, and 4) fund CAAG with other funding and leave the transit funds as presently funded. Eric Anderson added that the important point is that most of these activities have to do with the travel demand model and having resources available in Pinal County is very valuable.

Chair Schoaf asked if there were any comments. Vice Chair Hallman asked for clarification on the percentage of Public Transit Funds. Mr. Smith stated it was 2/10 of one percent (.2 percent). Mayor Hallman asked from what source of funding does this money come. Mr. Smith stated that for transit planning activities in MAG there are a couple sources of funding, including the federal funds and the sales tax funds. He noted that MAG was using the Federal Transit Administration (FTA) funds for planning internally. He also noted that the money that goes to the two external planning agencies is sales tax money. Mr. Smith clarified that RPTA receives there 50 percent of the sales tax funds and MAG also gives them additional funding from MAG's portion of the sales tax funds. Vice Chair Hallman requested that outside of this meeting, if staff could review with him the expenditures and revenues of this funding in the CAFR. He then stated that he supports the idea of suppling additional funding to CAAG because our transportation demand model needs good data. He also stated that he is uncomfortable with taking the funding for CAAG out of RPTA and Valley Metro because he does not want the impression that MAG anticipates charging them for their efforts of potentially merging and becoming more efficient. Vice Chair Hallman stated that he supports funding CAAG, but not taking the funding from RPTA and Valley Metro.

Mayor Smith stated that he agrees with Vice Chair Hallman. He noted that it is complicated and he is not comfortable with taking such a large step at this time. Mr. Smith stated that this is the member agency's staff recommendation and confirmed that it is not about the money. He stated it is about the planning responsibilities and relationships. Mr. Smith stated that this is a difficult arrangement to explain to anyone who is not involved on a daily basis. Mr. Smith stated that the liability is that people who look at this from the outside have a hard time understanding how the planning gets done in Maricopa County. He noted that this is the input staff needed on this item.

Vice Chair Hallman stated that he fully supports the funding going to CAAG to improve the planning process. He noted that MAG can find the $217,000 in their budget. He also noted that this is a big effort for policy makers to make significant changes regarding these two agencies. Mayor Smith stated that it is about money. He noted that he understands that we are shifting our resources from one place to another and understands why. He believes the intent to do that is to accelerate the shift in planning responsibilities. Mayor Smith asked if the assumption is that those activities eliminated by shifting the funding would be moved to MAG, or are there anticipated savings and efficiencies from the two agencies being housed together. Mr. Smith stated that what MAG staff is trying to do is enable a more functional planning relationship. He stated that what he is hearing is that this item should be brought back at a later date. Mayor Smith asked if the $217,000 is earmarked for certain types of studies within METRO and RPTA. Mr. Smith stated that the money could go to studies or administration. Mayor Smith stated that the intent here today

is to accelerate the process. Mr. Smith stated that the intent is to enable a more functional planning relationship.

Chair Schoaf stated that this is a small amount of money and it is important for this committee to focus on the MAG money. He noted that this is MAG money that we may decide to spend in a slightly different way next year that will provide additional data from CAAG. He also noted that this step may help to motivate a better planning relationship between MAG, METRO and RPTA. Chair Schoaf stated that MAG has the fiduciary responsibility to make certain that MAG funds are allocated in a way that is best for MAG.

Mayor Smith asked for clarification on what happens to the studies that do not get completed. He asked if they were critical studies and what impact that will have on the planning process. Chair Schoaf stated that it is his impression that this is money that has no accountability in terms of how it is used. Mayor Lane asked if reallocation was a product of combining RPTA and Valley Metro Rail. Mr. Smith replied that it is taking the existing funding going to the two agencies and carving enough out to go to CAAG. Mayor Lane asked if this step has anything to do with the combining the two agencies and the gathering efficiencies. Mr. Smith replied that it could be a product of their efficiencies because they will have more planners and more administration. He also noted that the two agencies currently have a study underway to determine these efficiencies. Mr. Smith stated that the question he heard from the committee is if this is the right time to make this change.

Vice Chair Hallman agreed that it is not about the money, but about allocating the resources. He also agreed that this is MAG money, but money that MAG has identified for specific purposes. Vice Chair Hallman then commented on the long-term vision to merge RPTA and Valley Metro and the obstacles. He noted that he believes it will enhance transit planning and operations significantly. Vice Chair Hallman stated that it is a process and a long-term vision. He confirmed that he supports working with CAAG.

Mayor Lopez Rogers agreed with Vice Mayor Hallman. She stated that if we cannot identify that these are not Proposition 400 funds, she does not support any funding going outside of Maricopa County under Proposition 400. Councilwoman Neely suggested postponing this action to clarify where the money is coming from and how it is used. Mr. Smith noted that this item is not on the agenda for action. He noted that this item could be on the agenda for next month for further discussion on the Proposition 400 and FTA money. Mayor Smith stated that at some point this will be a natural step. He noted that this is not the right time and there needs to be a better understanding of what is essential.

Chair Schoaf also suggested that there be some accountability as to how the money is spent at RPTA and Valley Metro Rail. Mr. Smith stated that MAG signs a contract that has a scope of work with each agencies. Chair Schoaf commented that the use of the money provided to RPTA and Valley Metro should be easily identified. Mr. Smith replied that $217,000, when split between two agencies, would be very minor, such as staff support. Chair Schoaf asked if there are specific things performed for the money that RPTA and Valley Metro Rail receives from MAG. Mr. Smith replied that MAG has a scope and planning tasks that are enumerated in the contact. Eric Anderson clarified that the $500,000 that MAG provides to Valley Metro Rail is used for planning staff only. He noted that Valley Metro Rail also gets another $500,000 from RPTA and our

understanding is that money is used for studies. He noted that the RPTA money of $224,000 historically has been used because RPTA was completing the long-range planning component of the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP), which MAG is now completing. Mr. Anderson stated that is generally where the money goes and more detail can be provided at the next meeting if the Committee would like. Mayor Smith stated that what he is hearing is that the scope of work under these contracts have changed. Mr. Anderson confirmed. Chair Schoaf stated that if the scope has changed then it makes sense to change the funding as well. Mr. Smith explained that the memorandum of understanding (MOU) states major plan work is to be done at MAG or an agency designated by MAG. He clarified that this does not mean that planning would not be done in the other agencies.

Vice Chair Hallman stated that this discussion highlights that the next step is looking at what the contact and scope should be between the agencies. Chair Schoaf suggested that the issues be separate. He stated that if there are changes in the contract, they should be tied to a dollar amount in the contract, and the CAAG money should be a separate issue. Mr. Smith restated what he heard from the Executive Committee and that is to get the efficiency study at RPTA and Valley Metro Rail done first and return to this committee for further discussions. Mr. Smith stated that the purpose of this item was to try to get ahead of the performance audit.

Councilwoman Neely asked to see the rationale for the performance on each agency. She asked if there has been any changes in planning responsibilities matrix since it was last reviewed. Mr. Smith replied that when Proposition 400 was passed, MAG was approached by Valley Metro Rail asking for help with their administration expenses because they were looking to do the alternatives analysis that were necessary and they did not have the money for administration. Mr. Smith noted that he proposed helping them out with the $500,000, and RPTA subsequently followed. He noted that in the beginning it was all about getting the planning done.

6. Roles and Responsibilities and Process for the Conduct of Alternative Analysis

Eric Anderson reviewed the Clarification of Transit Planning Role and Responsibilities memorandum that was part of the agenda packet. He noted the memorandum talks about the Alternatives Analyses (AA) and the Locally Preferred Alternative (LPA). Mr. Anderson stated that the memorandum of understanding (MOU) signed by MAG, RPTA, METRO and Phoenix provides an outline of the roles and responsibilities related to transit planning and programming, project development activities, and transit operations. He noted that the MOU does not address how the policy makers are actually informed about the AA process early in the process. Mr. Anderson stated that staff thought it would be beneficial to provide more detail on this process. He noted that there are three major components: the problem statement, the definition of alternatives and evaluation, and the alternative analysis review and approval. Mr. Anderson stated that the purpose is to ensure that as the Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) is implemented, project development activities are initiated to better define the projects in the RTP in terms of actual alignment, project characteristics, costs and environmental impacts, among other factors.

Chair Schoaf asked if the intent is for this memorandum to become an actual procedure. Mr. Anderson replied that would be staff's recommendation to incorporate this into the policies and procedures. Mayor Smith stated that as we move forward, it is obvious that development plans and transit oriented development (TOD) plans are playing an ever increasing role in transit decisions.

He asked how will those plans be incorporated or will they be separate from this process. Mr. Anderson replied that it is a two pronged approach. He stated that the land use element is increasingly important in the 5309 funding stream. He agreed that local land use is very important to that and it is up to the cities to implement any land use overlays. Mr. Anderson stated that two things need to happen from a MAG perspective. He noted that MAG has its sustainable land use study is underway. This will develop a suite of tools that will allow either regional studies or local studies to look at alternative land uses through simulation tools. Mr. Anderson stated that the second is an overall framework of TOD from a regional perspective. The goal here is consistency throughout the region.

Mayor Smith stated that he believes these are interdependent. He explained that a point is reached where any further extension of light rail is counter productive. He continued that if a rail line has too many stops it will fail because it is two slow. Mr. Anderson stated that was correct. He noted that what Mayor Smith actually discussed was the interface between Alternatives Analysis (AA) and the system planning side. He noted that it is necessary to look at how an AA impacts the whole system. He added that the decisions made during the AA process do impact the overall system planning, which is why MAG needs to be at the table and involved because they have such a big implication. He noted that the implication may not be seen today, but will in the next plan coming forward. Vice Chair Hallman stated that it is exactly those issue that prompt the local jurisdictions to work together. He noted that the critical piece is that the marginal changes do not impact or undermined the already existing lines. Vice Chair Hallman also stated that he is uncomfortable with MAG choosing the winners and losers. He noted that member agencies already compete over freeway dollars. He suggested that the document be reviewed and commented on by the cities' staff, as well as RPTA and Valley Metro Rail, and then hopefully we can have a signed agreement from MAG, Valley Metro Rail and RPTA. Mr. Anderson asked Mayor Hallman to elaborate on what was meant by MAG choosing the winners and losers. Vice Chair Hallman stated that when staff noted that there are five or ten cities that want to undertake a certain type of effort, such as becoming a Regional Center, there is a need for transit oriented development to be taken at a more regional level. He noted that when you say that there are 10 cities, but focus will be placed on only the successful ones so that there may be only 5 successful cities instead of 10 unsuccessful cities. Mr. Anderson stated that he did not mean to imply that MAG would pick winners and losers. He clarified that through a regional study, tools can be developed to give the cities the ability to look intelligently at transit oriented development and also the overall framework.

Mayor Smith stated that rail, by nature, is a regional system and if we develop a system that becomes only a local system, then that defeats the nature of what we define as rail. He noted that this is his concern. He stated that we now have a system that works, and we have also learned its limitations and how it has developed. Mayor Smith stated that he is concerned that as the system expands, it will not continue to be the system that was initially created, but become a series of local systems. He noted that we have to continue to create a system that maintains its regional structure.

Mr. Smith stated that when you add up the sales tax, CMAQ and MAG federal funding, that is $1.5 billion of regional funding. He noted that what is important in the memorandum presented today is that at what point, or points, do these issues come before the Regional Council. He noted that this is an attempt to get early input into the process before it comes to MAG for final approval.

Chair Schoaf summarized that this memorandum will be developed into a formal policy and procedure, which will go through the MAG process. He noted that the final product will be a process that will answer these types of issues. Vice Chair Hallman stated that all the money comes to MAG because it is the designated agency and then MAG will spend that money through the processes that have already been established. He noted that it makes him uncomfortable that there are some unhappy relationships and things did not go the direction that MAG staff or members of MAG wanted. Vice Chair Hallman stated that it is called a Locally Preferred Alternative and there is a reason for that title. He stated that MAG, as well as Mr. Smith, does an exceptional job. Mayor Smith commented that if you look inward too much, it will undermine the regional system. The system has to work as a whole. He also comment that there are some things that effect the overall integrity of the system that individual jurisdiction should not be dictating.

Chair Schoaf stated that this committee needs to give direction to MAG staff. He stated that we do need a written policy that can be reviewed and debated. Mayor Smith agreed that we need to develop a process where this can be discussed. Mr. Anderson stated that this discussion is interesting and it is a debate that is common - regional versus local. He noted that it is always a challenge. He also noted that it was implied that MAG was not supportive of the LPA process and would like to clarify that is not the case. Mayor Hallman replied that was not what he was trying to imply. He noted that MAG was very helpful and without that work, Tempe would have not gotten to the LPA stage. He also noted that MAG staff worked extremely well with Valley Metro Rail. Mr. Anderson thanked Mayor Hallman for his comments. Mr. Anderson also stated that what was put in the memorandum regarding the LPA was meant to parallel the MOU. He noted that the intent was not to create anything new. Mayor Hallman stated that he would like everyone to have the chance to review the memorandum and mark it up.

Chair Schoaf asked if staff had sufficient direction. Staff agreed that they had adequate direction. Chair Schoaf thanked the Committee for their comments.

7. Withdrawal of the MAG Five Percent Plan for PM-10

Dennis Smith stated that staff recommendation was to proceed into executive session. Mayor Hallman moved that the Executive Committee go into executive session to discuss the Five Percent Plan for PM-10. Mayor Smith seconded the motion and the motion carried unanimously.

The Executive Committee reconvened regular session at 1:59 p.m. No action was taken on this item.

8. Request for Future Agenda Items

Chair Schoaf asked if there were any requests for future agenda items. There were no requests.

9. Comments from the Committee

Chair Schoaf asked if there were any comments for the committee members. There were no comments.

Adjournment

Mayo Smith moved to adjourn the Executive Committee meeting. Mayor Hallman seconded the motion and it carried unanimously. There being no further business, the Executive Committee adjourned at 2:00 p.m.

_____
Chair

_____
Secretary

Agenda Item #3B

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:
March 14, 2011

SUBJECT:
Amendment to the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to Include Funding for Two Public/Business Forums in Coordination With the Sustainable Transportation Study

SUMMARY:
As part of the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, MAG is currently conducting a Sustainable Transportation and Land Use Integration Study. A key study consideration involves developing a series of tools to assist member agencies interested in pursuing Transit Oriented Development (TOD) opportunities along existing and potential future high capacity transit corridors. The study will build upon the Regional Transit Framework Study and the Commuter Rail System Study by identifying appropriate transportation and land use strategies to increase the effectiveness of future high capacity transit corridors. The study will provide "best practice" recommendations in the following areas: (1) overall strategies necessary to promote sustainable transportation and to enhance the land use/transportation connection; (2) development patterns and densities necessary to support high capacity transit service options; and (3) economic viability of implementing alternative land use scenarios along the targeted transit corridors. Study recommendations will identify strategies to improve transportation mobility through increased transit ridership, and to enhance economic opportunities through public and private investments around transit station areas.

To increase participation in the planning process, MAG is requesting that the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget be amended to provide MAG federal planning funds in the amount of $48,650 to conduct two (2) public and business outreach forums. The forums will be conducted by the Urban Land Institute (ULI), a national leader in promoting best practices in all aspects of the real estate industry. The forums will be structured to model the ULI Arizona Technical Advisory Panel (AzTAP) approach, providing a unique opportunity to involve both local and national experts in the overall planning process.

The forums will be divided into morning and afternoon components. The morning component will comprise a keynote speaker and one or two panel discussions. The panelists will consist of notable ULI local and potentially national members with significant experience in the development industry who, based on their unique business-sector perspectives, can clearly articulate the opportunities, challenges, and trends associated with sustainable transportation and land use integration. Members of the public in attendance will have an opportunity to ask questions during the morning panel meetings. The afternoon component will comprise a focus group of ULI experts from the morning panel discussions and will consist of a question and answer dialogue between the ULI experts, MAG, and the MAG consultant team for the Sustainable Transportation and Land Use Integration Study. ULI will prepare summary documents for both forums that will help guide the development of the Sustainable Transportation and Land Use Integration Study. It is anticipated that the first forum will be held in early June and the second forum will be held in late 2011 or early 2012.

PUBLIC INPUT:
None.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: This additional work element will enhance the Sustainable Transportation and Land Use Integration Study and will tap into ULI's extensive network of local and national expertise in the development and business community.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: The proposed public and business forums will assist the study team in crafting a set of recommendations and local planning tools that are consistent with the specific market dynamics in the MAG region.

POLICY: The proposed forums will provide early insights into the overall interest and potential development opportunities associated with both existing and potential future high capacity transit corridors in the MAG region.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend approval to amend the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to include $48,650 to conduct two (2) public and business forums in support of the Sustainable Transportation and Land Use Integration Study.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

This item was recommended for approval by the Management Committee on March 2, 2011.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Carl Swenson, Peoria, Chair
Charlie Meyer, Tempe, Vice Chair
George Hoffman, Apache Junction
Charlie McClendon, Avondale
Stephen Cleveland, Buckeye
Gary Neiss, Carefree
Wayne Anderson for Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek
Patrice Kraus for Rich Dlugas, Chandler
Spencer Isom, El Mirage
Alfonso Rodriguez for Phil Dorchester, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
Rick Davis, Fountain Hills
Rick Buss, Gila Bend
* David White, Gila River Indian Community
Collin DeWitt, Gilbert
Jamsheed Mehta for Ed Beasley, Glendale
Romina Khananisho for John Fischbach, Goodyear
Bill Hernandez, Guadalupe
Sonny Culbreth for Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park
Miranda Culver for Christopher Brady, Mesa
Jim Bacon, Paradise Valley
Karen Peters for David Cavazos, Phoenix
John Kross, Queen Creek
* Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
David Richert, Scottsdale
Michael Celaya for Mark Coronado, Surprise
Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
Gary Edwards, Wickenburg
Lloyce Robinson, Youngtown
Steve Hull for John Halikowski, ADOT
Kenny Harris for David Smith, Maricopa Co.
Paul Hodgins for David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
# Participated by telephone conference call. + Participated by videoconference call.

CONTACT PERSON:

Kevin Wallace, MAG, (602) 254-6300.

Agenda Item #3C

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION of GOVERNMENTS

302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300 ▲ Phoenix, Arizona 85003
Phone (602) 254-6300 ▲ FAX (602) 254-6490
E-mail: mag@azmag.gov ▲ Web site: www.azmag.gov

March 14, 2011

TO: Members of the MAG Regional Council Executive Committee

FROM: Amy St. Peter, Human Services Manager

SUBJECT: AMENDMENT TO THE FY 2011 MAG UNIFIED PLANNING WORK PROGRAM AND ANNUAL BUDGET TO ACCEPT FUNDING FROM VIRGINIA G. PIPER CHARITABLE TRUST TO SUPPORT AGING SERVICES PLANNING

The FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget (UPWP) was approved by the MAG Regional Council on May 26, 2010. A grant for Human Services was awarded on February 14, 2011 to Regional Community Partners (RCP) for work on the MAG Municipal Aging Services Project. This project work includes an inventory of municipal aging services, community engagement to determine the needs of older adults, and the development of an aging services model for local governments. This item is to accept this grant and approve an amendment to the MAG 2011 Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget (UPWP) increasing the budget for RCP by $110,800.

Recent budget reductions to aging services and the changing demographics of older adults make this project an important initiative. A recent survey of local governments indicates that services for older adults have sustained significant funding reductions through the past three years. Meeting the needs of older adults impacted by the recession may become a challenge for cities and towns. For example, recent research suggests that the needs of older adults may be changing. Many older adults are delaying retirement either from an economic need to continue working or from a desire to re-career. Over the next 12 months, this project will assist municipalities in identifying the services older adults will need and the most cost effective ways to meet these needs.

It is necessary to amend the FY 2011 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to reflect an increase to the RCP budget. If you have any questions regarding this amendment, please contact me at the MAG office at (602) 254-6300.

Agenda Item #4

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:
March 14, 2011

SUBJECT:
Development of the FY 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget

SUMMARY:
Each year staff develops the MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget. The Work Program is reviewed in the Spring by the federal agencies and approved by the Regional Council in May. The proposed budget information is being presented incrementally in parallel with the development of the budget information (see Prior Committee Actions below for the presentation timeline of the budget). This presentation and review of the draft FY 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget represent the budget document development to-date.

The Executive Committee reviewed the development of the Work Program and Annual Budget at its meetings on January 18, 2011, and February 14, 2011. Because of the uncertainty of economic conditions, beginning with the FY 2009 Work Program, MAG Dues and Assessments were held constant between FY 2008 and 2009. With the continuing uncertainty of economic conditions, MAG dues were reduced by fifty percent in FY 2010 and FY 2011. Staff is proposing to maintain the overall reduction to the FY 2012 draft Dues and Assessments of fifty percent with changes for individual members due to population shifts.

Each year new projects are proposed for inclusion in the MAG planning efforts. These new project proposals come from the MAG technical committees and policy committees and through discussions with members and stakeholders regarding joint efforts within the region. These projects are subject to review and input by the committees as they go through the budget process. The proposed new projects for FY 2012 were first presented at the February 14, 2011, Management Committee meeting and the February 23, 2011, Regional Council meeting. Since that time, one project, the Gila Bend Small Area Study, has been revised to add $25,000 to this project. The Maricopa County Department of Transportation is contributing $20,000 and the Town of Gila Bend is contributing $5,000 in additional funding to this project.

In addition to the detailed MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget, a summary budget document, "MAG Programs in Brief," is produced that allows our members to quickly decipher the financial implications of the MAG budget. The summary budget highlights the changes from the prior year budget in a summarized form. The summary document also includes the list of new projects with summary narrative, any changes to staff positions if necessary, and the budgeted resources needed to implement these items.

Information for this presentation of the draft budget documents is included for your early review and input. Enclosed for your information are the following documents:

- Draft of the FY 2012 "MAG Programs in Brief." The draft documents presents the newly proposed projects.
- Draft FY 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget. The program budget estimates are draft presentations.

The information is considered draft and is subject to change as the budget continues through the review process.

The draft of the FY 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget has narrative by division and associated program costs, and draft schedules in the budget appendix, including overall program allocations, allocation of funding by funding source, dues and assessments, and consultant pages for new and carryforward consultants.

The draft budget also has information on the MAG region as a Transportation Management Area and as a Metropolitan Planning Organization. MAG is required (by Federal regulations 23 CFR 450.314) to describe all of the regional transportation-related activities within the planning area, regardless of funding sources or agencies conducting activities.

PUBLIC INPUT:
None.

PROS & CONS:
PROS: In January and February proposed dues and assessments and new projects were reviewed. MAG is presenting a draft summary for the FY 2012 budget document, "MAG Programs in Brief." The format for this document is included for continuous review. The budget summary will allow our members to quickly decipher the financial implications of the MAG budget.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:
TECHNICAL: The Federal Intermodal Surface Transportation Efficiency Act of 1991 requires a metropolitan planning organization to develop a unified planning work program that meets the requirements of federal law. Additionally, the MAG By-Laws require approval and adoption of a budget for each fiscal year and a service charge schedule.

POLICY: As requested by the MAG Executive Committee and subsequently approved by the Regional Council in May 2002, the MAG Work Program and Annual Budget detail is being presented earlier to the Management Committee and there is increased notice to members on the budget. MAG is providing a budget summary that outlines new programs and presents the necessary resources to implement these programs. This summary allows member agencies to quickly decipher the financial implications of such programs prior to their approval for implementation.

ACTION NEEDED:
Information and input on the development of the fiscal year (FY) 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:
Management Committee: This item was on the March 9, 2011, Management Committee agenda for information and input.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Carl Swenson, Peoria, Chair
Charlie Meyer, Tempe, Vice Chair
George Hoffman, Apache Junction
Charlie McClendon, Avondale
Stephen Cleveland, Buckeye
Gary Neiss, Carefree
Wayne Anderson for Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek
Patrice Kraus for Rich Dlugas, Chandler
Spencer Isom, El Mirage
Alfonso Rodriguez for Phil Dorchester, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
Rick Davis, Fountain Hills
Rick Buss, Gila Bend
* David White, Gila River Indian Community
Collin DeWitt, Gilbert
Jamsheed Mehta for Ed Beasley, Glendale
Romina Khananisho for John Fischbach, Goodyear
Bill Hernandez, Guadalupe
Sonny Culbreth for Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park
Miranda Culver for Christopher Brady, Mesa
Jim Bacon, Paradise Valley
Karen Peters for David Cavazos, Phoenix
John Kross, Queen Creek
* Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
David Richert, Scottsdale
Michael Celaya for Mark Coronado, Surprise
Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
Gary Edwards, Wickenburg
Lloyce Robinson, Youngtown
Steve Hull for John Halikowski, ADOT
Kenny Harris for David Smith, Maricopa Co.
Paul Hodgins for David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
# Participated by telephone conference call. + Participated by videoconference call.

Regional Council: This item was on the February 23, 2011, Regional Council agenda for information and input.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Thomas Schoaf, Litchfield Park, Chair
Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe, Vice Chair
Councilwoman Robin Barker, Apache Junction
Mayor Marie Lopez Rogers, Avondale
Mayor Jackie Meck, Buckeye
Mayor David Schwan, Carefree
Councilman Dick Esser, Cave Creek
Mayor Jay Tibshraeny, Chandler
Mayor Lana Mook, El Mirage
* President Clinton Pattea, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
# Mayor Jay Schlum, Fountain Hills
* Mayor Ron Henry, Gila Bend
* Governor William Rhodes, Gila River Indian Community
Mayor John Lewis, Gilbert
Mayor Elaine Scruggs, Glendale
Vice Mayor Joe Pizzillo, Goodyear
* Mayor Yolanda Solarez, Guadalupe
* Supervisor Mary Rose Wilcox, Maricopa Co.
Vice Mayor Scott Somers for Mayor Scott Smith, Mesa
* Mayor Scott LeMarr, Paradise Valley
* Mayor Bob Barrett, Peoria
# Councilwoman Peggy Neely, Phoenix
# Mayor Gail Barney, Queen Creek
* President Diane Enos, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
# Mayor Jim Lane, Scottsdale
# Councilwoman Sharon Wolcott, Surprise
* Mayor Adolfo Gamez, Tolleson
* Mayor Kelly Blunt, Wickenburg
Mayor Michael LeVault, Youngtown
* Felipe Zubia, State Transportation Board
* Victor Flores, State Transportation Board
Roc Arnett, Citizens Transportation Oversight Committee

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
# Attended by telephone conference call. + Attended by videoconference call.

Executive Committee: This item was on the February 14, 2011, MAG Executive Committee agenda for information and input.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Thomas L. Schoaf, Litchfield Park, Chair
Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe, Vice Chair
Mayor Marie Lopez Rogers, Avondale
Mayor Scott Smith, Mesa
Councilwoman Peggy Neely, Phoenix
Mayor Jim Lane, Scottsdale
Mayor Michael LeVault, Youngtown

* Those members not present. # Participated by telephone conference call.

Management Committee: This item was on the February 9, 2011, MAG Management Committee agenda for information and input.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Carl Swenson, Peoria, Chair
Charlie Meyer, Tempe, Vice Chair
George Hoffman, Apache Junction
Charlie McClendon, Avondale
Stephen Cleveland, Buckeye
* Gary Neiss, Carefree
Wayne Anderson for Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek
Rich Dlugas, Chandler
Spencer Isom, El Mirage
Phil Dorchester, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
Rick Davis, Fountain Hills
Rick Buss, Gila Bend
* David White, Gila River Indian Community
Collin DeWitt, Gilbert
Brent Stoddard for Ed Beasley, Glendale
Romina Khananisho for John Fischbach, Goodyear
Bill Hernandez, Guadalupe
Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park
Christopher Brady, Mesa
* Jim Bacon, Paradise Valley
Tom Remes for David Cavazos, Phoenix
John Kross, Queen Creek
* Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
David Richert, Scottsdale
Michael Celaya for Mark Coronado, Surprise
* Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
Gary Edwards, Wickenburg
Lloyce Robinson, Youngtown
John Halikowski, ADOT
Mike Sabatini for David Smith, Maricopa Co.
David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
# Participated by telephone conference call. + Participated by videoconference call.

Regional Council: This item was on the January 26, 2011, MAG Regional Council agenda for information and input.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Thomas Schoaf, Litchfield Park, Chair
Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe, Vice Chair
* Councilwoman Robin Barker, Apache Junction
# Mayor Marie Lopez Rogers, Avondale
# Mayor Jackie Meck, Buckeye
# Mayor David Schwan, Carefree
* Councilman Dick Esser, Cave Creek
# Mayor Jay Tibshraeny, Chandler
# Mayor Lana Mook, El Mirage
* President Clinton Pattea, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
# Mayor Jay Schlum, Fountain Hills
* Mayor Ron Henry, Gila Bend
* Governor William Rhodes, Gila River Indian Community
# Mayor John Lewis, Gilbert
# Mayor Elaine Scruggs, Glendale
# Vice Mayor Joe Pizzillo, Goodyear
# Mayor Yolanda Solarez, Guadalupe
* Supervisor Mary Rose Wilcox, Maricopa Co.
# Mayor Scott Smith, Mesa
# Mayor Scott LeMarr, Paradise Valley
# Mayor Bob Barrett, Peoria
# Councilwoman Peggy Neely, Phoenix
# Mayor Gail Barney, Queen Creek
* President Diane Enos, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community

* Mayor Jim Lane, Scottsdale
# Councilwoman Sharon Wolcott, Surprise
* Mayor Adolfo Gamez, Tolleson
* Mayor Kelly Blunt, Wickenburg
# Mayor Michael LeVault, Youngtown
* Felipe Zubia, State Transportation Board
* Victor Flores, State Transportation Board
# Roc Arnett, Citizens Transportation Oversight Committee

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
# Attended by telephone conference call. + Attended by videoconference call.

This item was on the January 18, 2011, Executive Committee agenda for information and input.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Thomas L. Schoaf, Litchfield Park, Chair
Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe, Vice Chair
* Mayor Marie Lopez Rogers, Avondale
* Mayor Scott Smith, Mesa
Councilwoman Peggy Neely, Phoenix
Mayor Jim Lane, Scottsdale
Mayor Michael LeVault, Youngtown

* Those members not present. # Participated by telephone conference call.

This item was on the January 12, 2011, Management Committee agenda for information and input.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Carl Swenson, Peoria, Chair
Jeff Kulaga for Charlie Meyer, Tempe
George Hoffman, Apache Junction
Charlie McClendon, Avondale
Stephen Cleveland, Buckeye
Gary Neiss, Carefree
Wayne Anderson for Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek
Rich Dlugas, Chandler
Spencer Isom, El Mirage
Alfonso Rodriguez for Phil Dorchester, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
Julie Ghetti for Rick Davis, Fountain Hills
Rick Buss, Gila Bend
* David White, Gila River Indian Community
Michelle Gramley for Collin DeWitt, Gilbert
Ed Beasley, Glendale
John Fischbach, Goodyear
Bill Hernandez, Guadalupe
Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park
Christopher Brady, Mesa
* Jim Bacon, Paradise Valley
Karen Peters for David Cavazos, Phoenix
John Kross, Queen Creek
* Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
David Richert, Scottsdale
Michael Celaya for Mark Coronado, Surprise
* Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
# Gary Edwards, Wickenburg
Lloyce Robinson, Youngtown
Steve Hull for John Halikowski, ADOT
Kenny Harris for David Smith, Maricopa Co.
Bryan Jungwirth for David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
# Participated by telephone conference call. + Participated by videoconference call.

CONTACT PERSON:
Rebecca Kimbrough, MAG Fiscal Services Manager, (602) 452-5051

Agenda Item #6

Attached is a proposal to upgrade Maricopa County's current Data Acquisition System (DAS) to provide better "near real-time" notification of elevated air pollution data.

The county's current DAS collects hourly data from monitoring sites through modems and telephone lines. The software then pushes the data to several customers including ADEQ, EPA, and Maricopa County's own interactive pollution map. This data is typically available to customers thirty minutes after every hour. This software does not have the ability to provide automated high pollution notification.

The new DAS (Air Vision) would continue to collect hourly data from the sites but at a faster and more reliable rate. It would use wireless internet modems and a Sequel server to have data available for distribution ten minutes after the hour. This software does have the ability to provide automated high pollution notification.

The items needed for this upgrade include the following.

Items	Quantity	Price per unity	Total
Hardware cost for wireless modems	24	$600.00	$14,400.00
Modem usage fees (year)	24	$511.00	$12,264.00
Air Vision software annual maintenance cost	1	$5,000.00	$5,000.00

Total	$31,664.00