

April 15, 2015

TO: Members of the MAG Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Program Ad Hoc Committee

FROM: Matthew Dudley, City of Glendale, Chair

SUBJECT: MEETING NOTIFICATION AND TRANSMITTAL OF TENTATIVE AGENDA

Meeting - 9:00 a.m.

Tuesday and Wednesday, April 21-22, 2015

MAG Office, Suite 200 - Chaparral Room

302 North 1st Avenue, Second Floor, Phoenix

The MAG Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Program Ad Hoc Committee meeting will be held at the MAG offices at the time and place noted above. Members of the Committee may attend either in person, by videoconference or by telephone conference call.

The meeting agenda and resource materials are also available on the MAG website at www.azmag.gov. In addition to the existing website location, the agenda packet will be available via the File Transfer Protocol (FTP) site at: <ftp://ftp.azmag.gov/ElderlyandPersonswithDisabilitiesTransportationCommittee>. This location is publicly accessible and does not require a password.

Please park in the garage underneath the building. Bring your ticket to the meeting, parking will be validated. For those using transit, the Regional Public Transportation Authority will provide transit tickets for your trip. For those using bicycles, please lock your bicycle in the bike rack in the garage.

In 1996, the Regional Council approved a simple majority quorum for all MAG advisory committees. If the Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Ad Hoc Committee does not meet the quorum requirement, members who have arrived at the meeting will be instructed a legal meeting cannot occur and subsequently be dismissed. Your attendance at the meeting is strongly encouraged.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

If you have any questions, please call the MAG office at (602) 254-6300.

**MAG Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Program Committee
TENTATIVE AGENDA
April 21-22, 2015**

COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED

1. Call to Order

2. Call to the Audience

An opportunity will be provided to members of the public to address the MAG Elderly and Person with Disabilities Ad Hoc Committee on items not scheduled on the agenda that fall under the jurisdiction of MAG, or on items on the agenda for discussion but not for action. Citizens will be requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for the Call to the Audience agenda item, unless the Committee requests an exception to this limit. Please note that those wishing to comment on agenda items posted for action will be provided the opportunity at the time the item is heard.

3. Approval of the FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation (EPDT) Ad Hoc Committee March 25, 2015 Meeting Minutes

4. FY 2015 Section 5310 2015 Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Transportation Program Application Update

DeDe Gaisthea, MAG, will provide an update on the 2015 Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Individuals with Disabilities Transportation Program application process for the MAG region. An overview of the 2015 Section 5310 Phoenix-Mesa Urbanized Area applicant presentations and evaluation process will be provided. Committee members will have an opportunity to discuss the Section 5310 applications, the preliminary scores, and to clarify questions for the agency presentations.

2. Information.

3. Approve the FTA Elderly and Persons with Disabilities Transportation Ad Hoc Committee March 25, 2015 meeting minutes.

4. Information and discussion.

5. Agency Presentations

All applicants of eligible projects for the 2015 Section 5310 Phoenix-Mesa Urbanized Area will have the opportunity to present to the Committee for ten minutes. If time permits, to also include questions from the Committee. All presentations will be verbal in nature only and will not include any handouts or power point presentations. To accommodate the number of agency's applying, applicant presentations will take place over a two day time period.

- Tuesday, April 21, 2015, will consist of presentations for Traditional Capital and Mobility Management requests.

- Wednesday, April 22, 2015, will conclude presentations for Traditional Capital and New Freedom project requests.

Please refer to the enclosed schedule for a listing of agencies and scheduled presentation times.

*The following agenda items will take place on Wednesday, April 22, 2015, at the conclusion of the agency presentations,

6. Development of the Priority Listing for the FY 2015 Section 5310 Enhance Mobility of Seniors and Person with Disabilities Program for the Phoenix-Mesa Urbanized Area

At the conclusion of the Section 5310 applicant presentations, the Committee will develop a priority listing for the 2015 Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Person with Disabilities Program for the Phoenix-Mesa Urbanized Area. Evaluation components in developing the priority listing will include Committee's preliminary scores, applicant presentations, and Committee discussion. The 2015 Section 5310 Enhanced Mobility of Seniors and Person with Disabilities Program for the Phoenix-Mesa Urbanized Area priority listing will be presented through MAG Committee process for recommendation of approval. Upon approval the priority listing will be forwarded to the City of Phoenix Public Transit Department to submit to the Federal Transit Administration.

5. Information and discussion.

6. Recommend the priority listing of applicants for the FY 2015 Section 5310 Enhance Mobility of Seniors and Person with Disabilities Program for the Phoenix-Mesa Urbanized Area for approval to be forwarded to the City of Phoenix Public Transit Department to submit to the Federal Transit Administration.

7. Update of the Chair and Vice Chair Appointment Process

On July 22, 2009, the MAG Regional Council approved the MAG Committee Operating Policies and Procedures. On January 25, 2012 the MAG Regional Council approved updating the Policies and Procedure for Terms of Officers , to two-year terms for technical and other policy committees. According to the MAG Committee Operating Policies and Procedures the Vice Chair will ascend to the Chair position and a new Vice Chair will be approved by the Executive Committee. The Chair and Vice Chair appointments of the MAG EPDT Ad Hoc Committee are due to expire on June 30, 2015. Letters of Interest for Vice Chair are now being solicited. Interested Committee members can submit letters to the Mayor Michael LeVault, MAG Regional Council Chair, to the MAG office. Please refer to the enclosed memo.

7. Information and discussion.

8. Request for Future Agenda Items

Topics or issues of interest that Committee members would like to have considered for discussion at a future meeting will be requested.

8. Information and discussion.

9. Comments from the Committee

An opportunity will be provided for Committee members to present a brief summary of current events. The Committee is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action at the meeting on any matter in the summary, unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

9. Information.

Adjournment

MINUTES OF THE
MAG ELDERLY PERSONS AND PERSONS WITH DISABILITIES
TRANSPORTATION AD HOC COMMITTEE

March 25, 2015

MAG Office Building, Chaparral Room
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Abhishek Dayal, Valley Metro
Matt Dudley, City of Glendale, Chair
Janeen Gaskins, City of Surprise
Wendy Miller, City of Phoenix
Kristin Myers, Town of Gilbert
*Christina Plante, City of Goodyear

Ann Marie Riley, City of Chandler
Kristen Sexton, City of Avondale
Jeff Tourdot, Maricopa County Human
Services Department
Robert Yabes, City of Tempe
Cydney DeModica, ADOT MVD, Ex-
Officio Member

*Neither present nor represented by proxy.

#Attended by telephone conference call.

+ Attended by videoconference

OTHERS PRESENT

Kathy Chandler, Northwest Valley Connect
Mary Kellogg, Civitan Foundation
Aaron L. Whipple SAAVI
Jayne Hubbard, Foothills Caring Corps.

Celina Brun, MAG
DeDe Gaisthea, MAG
Teri Kennedy, MAG

1. Welcome and Introductions

Chair Matt Dudley, City of Glendale, called the meeting to order at 10:06 a.m. Introductions ensued. Chair Dudley welcomed new member Jeff Tourdot, Maricopa County Human Services Department and Celina Brun, MAG Intern.

2. Call to the Audience

Audience members were given an opportunity to address the Committee on items that were not on the agenda that are within the jurisdiction of MAG, or non-action agenda items that are on the agenda for discussion or information only.

Wayne Davis, Terros Inc., addressed the Committee stating he is one of four sub-regional mobility managers for MAG region. He noted that Terros, Inc., is a nonprofit agency providing services to behavioral health consumers located in Central Phoenix. He advised one of the responsibilities as a sub-regional mobility manager is to assist in the coordination of efforts to improve transportation for older adults and people with disabilities. The opportunity has offered an opportunity to engage with other nonprofit agencies as well as public transit companies. He commented some clients are seriously mentally ill and cannot

function as average citizen needing constant supervision. Mr. Davis noted many cannot utilize public transportation, and it would simply not be appropriate to do so. Mr. Davis noted nonprofit organizations applying for Section 5310 funds that will be considered by the Committee, provide services such as transportation to day programs, doctor appointments, counseling session and recreational opportunities.

Ms. Davis noted many of the programs provided by nonprofit agencies are very critical, and can be a lifeline for the client. Trained counselors and clinicians respond to the requests of family members, schools, police or fire personnel to help evaluate and transport individuals as needed to hospitals and other safe havens. Many nonprofit organizations like Terros exist in Maricopa County. Agencies seek funding streams in order to continue to serve the community. Government grant programs such as Section 5310 help these agencies provide transportation options when public transportation isn't appropriate. The funding allows agencies to prepare clients to become a bus or train passenger when commuting to a job. The results for clients is to move from being dependent and fearful to being independent and empowered. Nonprofits help providers of mass transit bridge the gap by providing services larger agencies may not be equipped to deal with. In these situations, nonprofits want to be transportation partners. Thank you for the service you provide and for the assistance you will provide to the older adults and disabled citizens of Maricopa County.

Jayne Hubbard, Foothills Caring Corps addressed the Committee. Ms. Hubbard advised she is also a mobility manager. Foothills Caring Corps serves primarily older adults and also persons with disabilities. She agreed with Mr. Davis' comments about being a nonprofit that coordinates with transit and cities and opportunities afforded as a small nonprofit. She noted the MAG Transportation Ambassador Program (TAP) meetings have been a venue for networking with many different agencies. A recent presentation on the Valley Metro's van pool program was informative and provided a resource to share with her agency. Many smaller nonprofit work in areas of the region where there is limited to no transit services. She noted if there wasn't transportation services provided by smaller nonprofits in the outlying areas of the region, there wouldn't be transportation services for the elderly and disabled. Ms. Hubbard expressed gratitude for the Committee and that they see the importance of coordination and the role of nonprofits.

3. Approval of the MAG EPDT Ad Hoc Committee January 22, 2015 Meeting Minutes

Chair Dudley requested a motion to approve the January 22, 2015 meeting minutes. Dayal Abhishek, Valley Metro, noted a spelling error on his name and requested a correction. Kristin Meyers, Town of Gilbert, made a motion to approve the meeting minutes. Robert Yabes, City of Tempe, seconded the motion. The motion passed.

4. Programming Guidelines Update

Chair Dudley welcomed Teri Kennedy, MAG Transportation Improvement Program Manager, who provided an update on Section 5310 programming guidelines. Ms. Kennedy provided an overview of the 2012 MAP 21 updates regarding large and non-urbanized areas as it relates to the Designated Recipient (DR). She advised that in 2013 the MAG Regional Council approved recommendation for the City of Phoenix to become the DR for the

Phoenix-Mesa Urbanized Area (UZA). In 2015, in close coordination with the City of Phoenix Public Transit Department, MAG will now undertake the programming responsibility to align with other federal grant programs in the region. Ms. Kennedy advised Sections 5310 and Section 5317 New Freedom were merged into the current Section 5310 program with funding apportionments reflecting both programs.

Ms. Kennedy noted applicants will apply for funding either through MAG, with City of Phoenix serving as the DR; or directly through the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) depending on the urbanized area boundaries and agency service areas. The exact amount of funding available is currently unknown but estimated to be at the 2014 level of \$2.9 million. The notice of full funding award is anticipated by May or June. Ms. Kennedy noted the importance of moving forward with the application and award process to evaluate projects and establish a priority list. Ms. Kennedy noted projects are to be funded will be based on the priority listing. She noted should an agency withdraw a project, or a less or greater amount of funding apportionment is received, recommendation of project funding will be based on how applicants are prioritized.

Ms. Kennedy provided an overview of the funding allocation. She noted the federal apportionment includes 55 percent for capital request, up to 45 percent for public transportation projects that exceed the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) minimum requirements and ten percent administrative costs. She noted highlights of the federal and statutory authority for Section 5310 grant funds including eligibility criteria and program measures. Ms. Kennedy noted one scenario from the Federal Transit Administration for maximizing benefits is to focus on sub-allocated area that has little transportation infrastructure to meet the needs of the area. Ms. Kennedy noted this option has not been discussed in detail, but is something to consider for future planning.

Ms. Kennedy reviewed the addition of a questions to section four on the application that inquires when funds will be expended and the request for further detail on how the project request will meet the needs of seniors and disabled persons. The intent of the Section 5310 program is for funding to be targeted for a one-year period and should be utilized within that timeframe. Ms. Kennedy noted the other important components for consideration when evaluating projects such as the budget, the needs of the agency, and the ability to implement the project. Ms. Kennedy noted the importance of whether the applicant understands and has the ability to explain the project effectively to other funding bodies. Ms. Kennedy briefly noted other MAG transportation programs such as congestion mitigation air quality programs; information technology; paving of unpaved road; transportation alternatives for bicycle and pedestrian projects that offer additional opportunities for funding.

Chair Dudley noted a point of consideration during evaluation is whether the applicant has other funding sources available that can meet the needs of their targeted population area for public transit service. Ms. Kennedy noted an application may not be a strong application if service is already being provided that meets the needs of the elderly and disabled population within that area. Considerations would be whether the agency application goes above and beyond to add additional value. It is also important to know of other potential annual funding streams or gaps that would affect the project. Wendy Miller, City of Phoenix, advised there is no question on the application that addresses other funding sources adding

that the Committee does not have access to this information. Ms. Gaisthea noted the application includes a question on whether the agency receives federal funds. Ms. Miller noted the intent of the question is to determine if the agency is doing an A133 audit however, it does not specifically address additional funding sources for the project being submitted. Chair Dudley noted the question is subjective adding that as the Committee evaluates projects, additional funding sources should be taken into consideration as Section 5310 does not negate the ability for agencies to apply for other funding sources.

Janeen Gaskins, City of Surprise, requested clarification on program measures related to physical infrastructure and sidewalks. Ms. Kennedy noted for many transportation programs, sidewalks and pedestrian facilities are an eligible activity including in the 5310 program. She noted other available programs eligible to fund these types of programs. Marvin Rochelle, Citizen, addressed the Committee noting ADA federal law now allows electric wheelchairs to ride in bike ways. Robert Yabes, City of Tempe, inquired if an applicant has other funding sources and whether it should be viewed as a positive or negative. He noted agencies applying for other funding sources can viewed as more encompassing. Ms. Kennedy recommended focusing on the percentage of elderly and disabled being served through the project. She noted an application serving a small percentage of the targeted population may fit better under a different funding program.

Chair Dudley requested Committee members to take into consideration the elements discussed keeping in mind the intent of the program and what is allowed by law in their evaluation of project requests. He recommended reviewing the circular to determine what is applicable and making a determination based on what the Committee members determines will be the best funding project. Ms. Miller noted the selection evaluation criteria was provided to the applicants in the workshop training materials. Ms. Gaisthea noted the evaluation criteria was also emailed to applicants who had submitted applications. Chair Dudley noted further discussion regarding the selection criteria will be discussed further in the agenda.

5. FY 2015 Section 5310 Overview of Application and Program Update

Chair Dudley introduced DeDe Gaisthea, MAG, to provide an overview of the Section 5310 application and program update. Ms. Gaisthea reported Section 5310 applications for the Phoenix-Mesa UZA for were due to MAG on March 13, 2015. She advised 41 projects were received from 28 agencies. Ms. Gaisthea advised one application was not accepted due to be submitted past the deadline. Deadlines were noted in the training workshop and the Application Handbook and Guidelines. She noted MAG staff and sub-regional mobility managers will follow-up with the agency to discuss opportunities for coordination efforts.

Ms. Gaisthea noted Committee members have the opportunity to review applications for the MAG region rural areas submitted through ADOT. She advised the ADOT Section 5310 application deadline is April 15, 2015. Applications will be forwarded to Committee members when MAG staff receives access to submitted application in the region. Ms. Gaisthea advised ADOT will prioritizes projects state-wide utilizing each region's Coordination Plan and taking into consideration region's prioritized listing. Ms. Gaisthea reviewed ADOT's tentative schedule for reviewing applications. She estimated the

Committee to receive the ADOT application for review in early May 2015. The Committee inquired on the potential of working with ADOT staff to insure the grant application process are coordinated given they are from the same funding source. Ms. Gaisthea advised MAG staff will continue coordination efforts to be part of the dialogue in ADOT's process. Ms. Miller commented MAG staff has attempted to make the process seamless noting only two applications were received from ADOT last year.

Ms. Gaisthea provided an overview of the application process and revisions to the application. Ms. Gaisthea advised MAG and the City of Phoenix conducted an internal review of the applications to ensure they meet federal requirements. ADOT staff also conducts a review to ensure all federal requirements are met and supplemental information is provided. Ms. Gaisthea acknowledged the City of Phoenix and Ms. Miller for her efforts regarding procuring of additional cutaway vehicles. Ms. Miller noted receiving additional information on the availability of sizes for cutaway vehicles that are available to applicants. The variety of cutaway vehicles and the specifications has been communicated to all applicants. Ms. Gaisthea advised the question of equivalent standard service was required by all applicants. Ms. Gaisthea advised the question was not listed in the section for all applicants and staff will follow-up with applicants to ensure a response is received. Ms. Miller advised the change defines equivalent services using language from the circular to ensure each agency is providing service to persons with disabilities at the same level as everyone else. She noted a question about training requirements per the FTA is also included to determine if applicants are meeting the requirements.

Ms. Gaisthea advised the Committee in the Coordination section regarding coordination activities. She noted the purpose of the questions are used to identify the gaps and barriers to coordinate on the listed items and to assist in developing tools to overcome these barriers. She noted at this time the region is not set-up to coordinate the listed activities. She requested for the Committee to take this into consideration should application respond "no" to the question of coordination. Ms. Miller noted additional barriers may be identified by allowing the applications to respond open-endedly. She noted discussion to leave the question open-ended selection rather than limiting applicants by providing a list from which to choose. Ms. Gaisthea discussed opportunities for the Committee to debrief and evaluate the application process each year. She noted information pulled from the applications also helps to inform the next coordination plan. No further discussion ensued.

6. FY 2015 Section 5310 Evaluation Process

Ms. Gaisthea offered a review of the FY 2015 Section 5310 evaluation process. She advised 41 projects were submitted by 28 agencies for the Section 5310 Phoenix-Mesa UZA. Ms. Gaisthea reviewed the coordination participation matrix included the Committees evaluation packet. She noted the matrix includes applicant's participation in coordination activities such as attending TAP meetings and returning data requests. The Committee had recommended a minimum of three points for agencies with a minimum of five points for sub-regional mobility managers. Ms. Gaisthea noted many agencies did not attend the quarterly TAP meeting in September 9, 2014 due to extreme weather conditions. Ms. Miller advised many City of Phoenix employees were not penalized for not attending work on that day. Ms.

Miller recommended for the Committee to allow all applicants to receive credit for that day. Chair Dudley requested the change be added to the final approval process.

Ms. Gaisthea advised Committee members had requested historic information on an agency's previous year's application responses to participating in regional coordination efforts. Information from the past three years has been included in the evaluation matrix. Ms. Gaisthea noted at the request from the Committee, additional evaluation information has been provided on all previous unspent Section 5310 funding. Information provided includes awarded amounts, spent funding, and unspent funding by project and by year. Ms. Gaisthea noted the unspent funds in 2014 are for capital projects/vehicles are in the process to be procured by the City of Phoenix. Ms. Miller clarified some vehicles have yet to be delivered to the applicants.

Ms. Gaisthea proceeded with an overview of the applications, scoring spreadsheet, and timeline. She noted while full funding apportionment has not been announced it is anticipated to be at the 2014 level of \$2.9 million. She noted the overall request for funding was more than \$4 million which is greater than the potential funding available. The Committee proceeded to discuss the timeline for submitting questions and applicant interviews. Ms. Gaisthea advised deadline for Committee members to submit their questions for the applicants is on Monday, April 13 by 12:00 p.m. Committee member's preliminary scores are due to MAG by Friday, April 17 by 12:00 p.m. She advised committee members will have an opportunity to fill in the score for applicant interviews. Ms. Gaisthea noted the tentative application presentation schedule is included in the handouts. Presentation will take place over two days with traditional requests on the first day and New Freedom requests on the second.

Ms. Miller requested clarification on whether each of the mobility manager requests were listed as one project. She noted some mobility management requests are for positions and others are for other requests that fall within mobility management. Ms. Miller wanted to ensure mobility management projects are scored in a consistent manner. Discussion ensued on differences in mobility management projects. Ms. Gaisthea noted there will be an opportunity for discussion during development of the priority listing to further define the methodology that will be utilized. The Committee inquired for an overview of changes made to the selection criteria. Ms. Gaisthea advised there were no changes to the selection criteria. The evaluation form was revised per the Committee's request to include suggested points to offer more guidance. Ms. Gaisthea noted the evaluation process consists of four levels. MAG and the City of Phoenix's staff first conducted an internal review to ensure federal guidelines are met; the second is the Committee's evaluation of the projects; the third is the applicant interviews; and the fourth is a culmination of all information and development of a prioritized listing.

Ms. Gaisthea requested guidance on the scheduling for applicants with multiple projects, also time allocated for mobility management projects. Discussion ensued on whether to group applicants with multiple projects or to interview them separately based on the project. Kristin Myers, Town of Gilbert, noted that from a consistency standpoint and for a transparent process each project request should be allotted the same amount of time. Ms. Miller requested a modification to the time allocated to applicants with multiple projects. She

noted multiple requests to be scheduled later in the day with each applicant determining how much time they will speak on each project. Jeff Tourdot, Maricopa County Human Services Department, noted to ensure fairness for an organization that only has one need and presents one project to the Committee versus an applicant with multiple projects and multiple opportunities to present. He noted from prior experience the difficulty in comparing a person or group who is able to present to the Committee multiple times versus the applicant who only has one need and presents a single time. He noted the importance to look at the fairness in the selection process.

Ms. Gaskins noted providing equal time per applicant based on project requests ensures fairness and a consistent transparent process. Vice Chair, Ann Marie Riley, City of Chandler, expressed agreement with consistent timing allowed per project. Chair Dudley advised general consensus to fully allocate equal time for each project request. He noted the schedule will reflect applicants with multiple request scheduled later in day. Capital requests will present on Tuesday, April 21, 2015, with New Freedom projects on Wednesday, April 22, 2015. Ms. Miller commented if an additional day will be needed to conduct deliberations should the Committee use the two full days for conducting applicant interviews in the future. Chair Dudley noted the timeline to return applicant question and preliminary scores are also listed on the evaluation matrix provided by MAG.

Chair Dudley hearing no further discussion, requested a motion. Ms. Miller motioned to approve the process, including modification that allows for all applicants to receive credit for the September 9, 2014, TAP meeting and providing equal time for application presentations. Janeen Gaskin, seconded the motion. The motion passed. Chair Dudley requested public comment. There were no comments from the public. Ms. Gaisthea distributed the applications and confidentiality form. She advised applications are being provided on a flash drive.

7. Request for Future Agenda Items

Ms. Miller noted additional discussion on potential funding limits given the competitiveness of the process. She noted the point scale is very high and the Committee may want to consider revising to a ten point scale to see the greater differences. Additionally, she noted the Committee may want to discuss standards for the mobility manager positions versus mobility management projects. She noted there were great differences in the requests and amount of funding this year.

8. Comments from the Committee

Ms. Myers noted ADOT received two applications last year and inquired on ADOT's funding limit or Section 5310 apportioned funding for the MAG region. Ms. Gaisthea advised the Phoenix-Mesa UZA receives 60 percent of the state's apportionment. ADOT receives 40 percent state-wide for small urban and rural areas. Ms. Myers advised that ADOT has their five year program online for public comment through the end of May. She noted it is worthwhile for the public to comment on ADOT's application process and how they are distributing the 5310 funds.

Ms. Gaisthea extended an invitation to the MAG Age-Friendly Conference “Going Places” conference hosted by the Arizona Age-Friendly Network and Transportation Ambassador Program scheduled for May 6, 2015. She noted conference information was available and to contact Ms. Gaisthea or Amy St. Peter, MAG Human Services and Special Projects Manager for more additional information.

9. Adjourn

Chair Dudley thanked the City of Phoenix and MAG for the efforts. The meeting adjourned at 11:41 a.m.