

March 2, 2015

TO: Members of the MAG Human Services Technical Committee

FROM: Naomi Farrell, City of Tempe, Chair

SUBJECT: MEETING NOTIFICATION AND TRANSMITTAL OF AGENDA

Meeting - 1:30 p.m.
Thursday, March 12, 2015
MAG Office, Second Floor, Chaparral Room
302 North 1st Avenue, Phoenix

The next MAG Human Services Technical Committee (HSTC) meeting will be held at the time and place noted above. Members of the Human Services Technical Committee may attend either in person or by phone. Supporting information is enclosed for your review.

The meeting agenda and resource materials are also available on the MAG website at www.azmag.gov. In addition to the existing website location, the agenda packet will be available via the File Transfer Protocol (FTP) site at: <ftp://ftp.azmag.gov/HumanServicesTechnicalCommittee>. This location is publicly accessible and does not require a password.

Please park in the garage underneath the building. Bring your ticket to the meeting, parking will be validated. For those using transit, the Regional Public Transportation Authority will provide transit tickets for your trip. For those using bicycles, please lock your bicycle in the bike rack in the garage.

In 1996, the Regional Council approved a simple majority quorum for all MAG advisory committees. If the Human Services Technical Committee does not meet the quorum requirement, members who have arrived at the meeting will be instructed a legal meeting cannot occur and subsequently be dismissed. Your attendance at the meeting is strongly encouraged.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

If you have any questions, please call the MAG office.

MAG HUMAN SERVICES TECHNICAL COMMITTEE
TENTATIVE AGENDA
March 12, 2015

COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED

1. Call to Order

2. Call to the Audience

An opportunity will be provided to members of the public to address HSTC on items not scheduled on the agenda that fall under the jurisdiction of MAG, or on items on the agenda for discussion but not for action. Citizens will be requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for the Call to the Audience agenda item, unless HSTC requests an exception to this limit. Please note that those wishing to comment on agenda items posted for action will be provided the opportunity at the time the item is heard.

3. Approval of the January 8, 2015 Meeting Minutes

The draft minutes for the January 8, 2015 meeting are posted with the meeting materials.

4. Legislative Update

Samuel Richard, Protecting Arizona's Family Coalition (PAFCO), will provide a report on state and federal legislation that affects human services funding and programs.

5. Social Services Block Grant

The Committee will review the process used to develop the Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) SFY 2016 funding recommendations and develop a proposed time line and action steps for developing the SFY 2017 funding recommendations.

6. Committee Officer Appointments

Per MAG policy, MAG Committee officers may serve one-year terms. At the end of the first

2. Information.

3. Approve the HSTC January 8, 2015 Meeting Minutes.

4. Information and discussion.

5. Social Services Block Grant

Recommend approval of the process for developing the SSBG SFY 2017 funding recommendations.

6. Information and discussion.

year, officers may serve a second one-year term with appointment by the MAG Executive Committee. The Chair of the Committee has served two one-year terms. The Vice Chair will ascend to the Committee Chair. Letters of interest are being sought for a new Vice Chair. The letters of interest will be mailed to the Chair of the MAG Regional Council, Mayor Michael LeVault, and will be appointed by the MAG Executive Committee in June 2015. Please refer to the memorandum posted with the meeting materials.

7. Spring Retreat Planning

A joint meeting of the MAG Human Services Coordinating Committee, Human Services Technical Committee, and key stakeholders is being planned for April 22nd. Committee members will have an opportunity to provide input for the agenda on topics to be addressed in 2015.

8. Arizona Age-Friendly Network

An update will be offered on activities of the Arizona Age-Friendly Network including plans for the Going Places spring conference, the Arizona Age-Friendly Community Competition, and the Arizona Indiana Rural Collaborative site visit.

9. Request for Future Agenda Items

Topics or issues of interest that the MAG Human Services Technical Committee would like to have considered for discussion at a future meeting will be requested.

10. Comments from the Committee

An opportunity will be provided for HSTC members to present a brief summary of current events. HSTC is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action at the meeting on any matter in the summary, unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

7. Information and discussion.

8. Information and discussion.

9. Information and discussion.

10. Information.

Adjournment

MINUTES OF THE
MAG HUMAN SERVICES TECHNICAL COMMITTEE
January 8, 2015
MAG Office Building, Chaparral Room
Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Riann Balch, City of Phoenix
*Kristina Blea, City of Phoenix
#Jan Cameron, City of Scottsdale
Naomi Farrell, City of Tempe, Chair
#Jessica Fierro, Town of Gilbert
#Janeen Gaskins, City of Surprise
Jessica Gonzalez, City of Phoenix
Laura Guild, Arizona Department of
Economic Security
#Ilene Herberg, Arizona Department of
Economic Security / Division of
Developmental Disabilities
Jeffrey Jamison, City of Phoenix
Jim Knaut, Area Agency on Aging

Jacqueline Edwards for Bruce Liggett,
Maricopa County Human Services
Department
Joyce Lopez-Powell, Valley of the Sun
United Way
*Caterina Mena, Tempe Community
Council
Debbie Pearson, City of Peoria
Christina Plante, City of Goodyear
#Leah Powell, City of Chandler
#Cindy Saverino, Arizona Department of
Economic Security
*Stephanie Small, City of Avondale, Vice
Chair

*Neither present nor represented by proxy.
#Attended by telephone conference call.
+Attended by videoconference.

OTHERS PRESENT

Rachel Brito, MAG
Amy St. Peter, MAG

1. Call to Order

Naomi Farrell, City of Tempe, Chair, called the meeting to order at 1:30 p.m.
Introductions ensued.

2. Call to the Audience

An opportunity was provided for members of the public to address the Committee.
No public comments were made.

3. Approval of the December 11, 2014 HSTC Meeting Minutes

A motion to approve the December 11, 2014, meeting minutes was requested.
Jacqueline Edwards, Maricopa County Human Services Department, motioned to

approve the minutes. Debbie Pearson, City of Peoria, seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

4. Draft Social Services Block Grant Funding Recommendations

Chair Farrell welcomed Amy St. Peter to offer a report on the Social Services Block Grant service ranking and draft funding recommendations. Ms. St. Peter provided an overview of the revised process, noting in years past, the community and the Committee have completed the same service exercise ranking for all services across the board. This year, community partners were invited to complete the service ranking based on services they directly work with. Results were then used to help inform the Committee about how practitioners would prioritize services within specific target groups. The Human Services Technical Committee and Coordinating Committee completed the service ranking exercise taking into consideration community service ranking results. Ms. St. Peter noted the process fully integrates the public's feedback.

Additionally, the Committee was asked to recommend how much funding should be allocated for each target group. Based on the funding amounts and service ranking exercise results, Adults, Families and Children (AFC) received an increase in funding while the remaining target groups, Elderly, Persons with Disabilities (PWD), and Developmental Disabilities (DD), each received decreases. Ms. St. Peter advised the funding recommendations did not support using the funding formula utilized in previous years. Therefore, AFC services received increases based on the funding amount recommended by the Committee. Decreases in funding were applied to the remaining target groups starting with the lowest ranked services which received a greater decrease. Ms. St. Peter thanked everyone for their input noting 13 service rankings were received from Committee members and 31 from community members.

A question was raised on how the Elderly services would be impacted given the proposed decreases. It was noted from previous discussion that services including home care, housing support services, and adult day care/adult day health care were in need of funding. Jim Knaut, Area Agency on Aging, noted in terms of home care and adult day care, a reduction in funding will move people who are receiving services to the existing wait list. Home delivered meals, while not adequately funded, will only be able to add additional clients as others are removed from the list. Ms. St. Peter noted that funding for home delivered meals would not be impacted by the funding proposal.

Ms. St. Peter advised the state supplements the local recommendations with state funding, however it is unknown whether state funding would offset the decrease in local funding. Mr. Knaut discussed the challenges in developing funding recommendations and expressed concern with the draft funding recommendations. He requested the Committee begin the process of developing SSBG funding recommendations sooner in the year to allow adequate opportunity to thoroughly review the process and the outcome of proposed recommendations. Ms. St. Peter

advised the Committee has the opportunity to make additional changes and/or recommendations to the process now as well.

Jacqueline Edwards, Maricopa County Human Services, suggested for future processes, the Committee should include a review of funding available for each specific line item. She noted many of the services have dedicated funding sources aside from SSBG and the ability to review existing dedicated funding sources would inform the process. A question was raised on whether timing would allow for the opportunity to review the dedicated funding sources as suggested. Ms. St. Peter advised doing so would depend on whether the information was currently available taking into consideration time needed to request, obtain, and analyze the data. Janeen Gaskins, City of Surprise, recommended moving forward with the current recommendations given the time frame and amount of additional work that would be required to conduct thorough analyses of additional data. She further suggested making a continuous effort moving forward to develop a more efficient process.

Laura Guild, Arizona Department of Economic Security, advised SSBG is a federal fund designated for specific purposes. She noted whether or not an organization has the ability to garner other funds should not be how the Committee evaluates the use of prescribed funds. She noted a review of organizational funds is not the appropriate direction, but added the committee can take steps to review and improve the process for developing the funding recommendations.

Ms. Edwards noted there are limited funds for social services across the board. She added that the Committee should take into account how best to fund each individual service by taking into consideration all other dedicated funding sources. Ms. Gaskins advised many agencies create reports that would help inform the process and identify the real needs of the community.

Jeffrey Jamison, City of Phoenix, discussed the concurrent ranking and budgeting process noting inadequate time to determine how services will be impacted. He suggested allowing more time for a review of the service ranking exercise before determining how to proceed with budget recommendations. This would offer greater control over how services are impacted by the Committee's decisions. Ms. St. Peter emphasized the Committee's ability to make changes to the process and proposed draft funding recommendations.

Mr. Knaut noted services within AFC would receive additional funding while all other target groups would receive reductions. He recommended maintaining the increases for the first four services within AFC and redistributing the funds that had been applied to the four remaining AFC services. Doing so would leave the remaining AFC services at level funding and would offer greater balance in the distribution of funds among target groups. Additionally, Mr. Knaut suggested starting the process of developing SSBG funding recommendations much earlier. A question was raised on when the process begins. Ms. St. Peter advised the process begins when staff receives approval from DES to move ahead with developing the draft

recommendations. Discussion ensued noting the need to begin the preliminary work early on.

Ms. Edwards expressed agreement with Mr. Knaut's suggestion and the need to begin the process earlier. She also suggested funding levels should be reviewed from a zero based level rather than on prior funding levels, adding this could offer an opportunity to perhaps revamp the process and dollar amounts for each service level.

Chair Farrell inquired if there was consensus among the Committee to apply the changes suggested by Mr. Knaut. Having no opposition, Ms. St. Peter reviewed the changes applied per the Committee's recommendations to hold harmless the four bottom ranked services for AFC and redistribute \$41,428 to the previously reduced services. This would lower the impact of reductions applied to services for DD, ELD and PwD. Ms. St. Peter noted next steps would include community input and a presentation to the HSCC with subsequent action taken by the MAG Regional Council. A motion was requested to recommend approval of the draft Social Services Block Grant funding recommendations. Ms. Edwards motioned to recommend approval. Jim Knaut, Area Agency on Aging, seconded the motion. The motion passed.

5. Engaging Experienced Older Adults in the Greater Phoenix Global Economy

Ms. St. Peter offered a report on an economic impact analyses conducted with a team from Thunderbird School of Global Management. The purpose of the study was to determine the economic impact of older adults on the economy. It was noted older adults are healthier, more active, and better educated than ever before and on average are working longer than ever before. In Maricopa County the older adult population is growing at an unprecedented rate from 463,000 in 2012 to 1.4 million in 2050. In addition, many of these retiring adults are choosing to extend their work careers or engage in encore careers.

An overview of the Maricopa adults 65+ demographic profile and their economic contribution to the greater Phoenix economy was provided. It was noted that greater Phoenix will experience a 476 percent increase in the workforce of adults 65+ by 2050. Ms. St. Peter discussed efforts of agencies such as Experience Matters that work to engage older adults in the workforce and provided an overview of two surveys conducted to inform the study.

The surveys focused on demand and supply with a focus on not only keeping older adults in the workforce longer, but also facilitating knowledge transfer from older adults to a younger workforce. Ms. St. Peter noted response to the survey was very positive and indicates older adults want to mentor and younger adults are eager for this type of mentoring.

Ms. St. Peter concluded the presentation with a brief overview of key recommendations of the study for the private, public, and education sectors. A

question was raised on next steps. Ms. St. Peter welcomed input from the Committee on steps or programs they would like to implement, or currently have in place. She noted no formal plans for MAG to implement the recommended strategies, but offered assistance for others who may be in a position to do so.

6. FY 2016 Committee Outcome Measures

Ms. St. Peter advised the Human Services Technical and Coordinating Committee have oversight of the work for Age-Friendly communities as well as helping to advise on Title VI and Human Services Transportation. The majority of focus throughout the year is on the Age-Friendly Network and Human Services Transportation.

Ms. St. Peter provided an overview of the human services major regional goals/work emphasis for FY 2015 and proposed work emphasis areas for FY 2016. Input was requested on the proposed topics and feedback on efforts to address for next year. Having no further input, a motion was requested to recommend approval of the FY 2016 outcome measures to be included in the FY 2016 MAG Unified Planning Work Program. Mr. Knaut motioned to recommend approval of the FY 2016 outcome measures. Ms. Gaskins seconded the motion. The motion passed.

7. Arizona Age-Friendly Network

Ms. St. Peter advised there are currently nine pilot sites across the state. The initial pilot sites include Central Village, Tempe Neighbors Helping Neighbors, and Northwest Valley Connect. New pilot sites include City of Surprise, City of Scottsdale and Town of Wickenburg in addition to City of Maricopa, Bullhead City, and Town of Quartzsite. A brief update on current activities for all sites was provided.

Ms. St. Peter advised work is progressing on the Connect60plus website, training opportunities, and planning for the annual conference. The conference is being planned for late April or early May and would offer workshops on topics ranging from transportation, arts, economic development, and more. Another focus this year will be to increase the intergenerational aspect and an age-friendly community competition. Ms. St. Peter encouraged the Committee to share their ideas and help promote these efforts.

Ms. St. Peter requested the Committee's input on charging a fee for the conference. She noted conferences have been free in past years but added scholarships would be available. It was noted nonprofits have limited funding available for training. A recommendation was made to offer sponsorships and a sliding scale fee based on categories such as professional or nonprofit. Another recommendation was made to increase costs for late registrations.

Ms. St. Peter offered a brief overview of the Rural Age-Friendly Collaborative site visit with colleagues from Indiana. The site visit is planned for January 14-16, 2015.

The Collaborative is a partnership among the Arizona Age-Friendly Network, the Indiana Philanthropy Alliance, and the Indiana University Public Policy Institute, with support from Grantmakers in Aging and the Pfizer Foundation.

8. Request for Future Agenda Items

Committee members were given an opportunity to suggest topics or issues of interest they would like to have considered for discussion at a future meeting. A request was made for an update on the new Governor's budget plan.

9. Comments from the Committee

Committee members were given the opportunity to share comments or information related to community events. No additional information was shared.

The meeting adjourned at 2:46 p.m. The next meeting is scheduled for February 12, 2015, at 1:30 p.m.

**Maricopa Association of Governments
Social Services Block Grant
Allocation Recommendation Development
2013 Process**

October 2013

- MAG Human Services Technical Committee (HSTC) votes to recommend research and service ranking process followed in previous years.
- HSTC will review and update fact sheets for each target group.
- MAG Human Services Coordinating Committee (HSCC) will approve process to develop allocation recommendations.

November 2013

- HSTC will vote on final target group fact sheets and initiate service ranking exercise.

December 2013

- HSTC will review results of the service ranking and identify any additional activities.
- The allocation recommendations will be released for public comment.

January 2014

- HSTC will review public comment and vote on allocation recommendations.
- HSCC will review public comment and vote on the HSTC recommendations.

February 2014

- MAG Management Committee will vote on the allocation recommendations.
- MAG Regional Council will receive the allocation recommendations for approval.

March 2014

- MAG will forward allocation recommendations to the Arizona Department of Economic Security.

**Maricopa Association of Governments
Social Services Block Grant
Allocation Recommendation Development
DRAFT 2014 Process**

| | |
|---------------|---|
| November 2014 | |
| 11/13 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MAG Human Services Technical Committee (HSTC) votes to recommend research and service ranking process followed in previous years. • HSTC will initiate service ranking exercise. • Staff will gather input from Service Ranking Exercise. |
| December 2014 | |
| 12/11 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HSTC will review results of the service ranking and identify any additional activities. • Service ranking to be approved for public comment. |
| January 2015 | |
| 01/08 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HSTC will review results of the service ranking and public comment and recommend approval of draft recommendations. |
| 01/28 | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • HSCC will approval HSTC recommendations for SSBG allocations. |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MAG will forward pending allocation recommendations to the Arizona Department of Economic Security. |
| February 2015 | |
| | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • MAG Management Committee will vote on the allocation recommendations • MAG Regional Council will receive the allocation recommendations for approval |

March 2, 2015

TO: Members of the MAG Human Services Technical Committee

FROM: Amy St. Peter, Human Services and Special Projects Manager

SUBJECT: CHAIR AND VICE CHAIR APPOINTMENTS ENDING JUNE 2015

The MAG Committee Operating Policies and Procedures were approved by the MAG Regional Council on July 22, 2009. On January 25, 2012, the Regional Council approved updating the Policies and Procedures, Section 5.05 - Terms of Officers, to two-year terms for the technical and other policy committees. The chair and vice chair appointments of the Human Services Technical Committee are due to expire on June 30, 2015.

According to the MAG Committee Operating Policies and Procedures the vice chair will ascend to the chair position and a new vice chair will be approved by the Executive Committee. Letters of interest are being solicited for a new vice chair. The letters of interest are requested to be submitted by June 1, 2015 to Mayor Michael LeVault, MAG Chair, at the MAG Office located at 302 N. 1st Avenue, Suite 300, Phoenix, Arizona 85003. It is anticipated that the Executive Committee will approve the chair and vice chair appointments at their June 15, 2015 meeting.

If you have any questions, please contact Amy St. Peter at the MAG Office at (602) 254-6300 or astpeter@azmag.gov.

cc: MAG Management Committee
MAG Intergovernmental Representatives

Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG)
Human Services Coordinating Committee (HSCC) and
Human Services Technical Committee (HSTC)
DRAFT Advance Agenda and Timeline
April 22, 2015

8:30 a.m. Welcome and Introductions Councilmember Osborne, HSCC Chair
Naomi Ferrell, HSTC Chair

9:00 a.m. Speaker/Panel TBD

9:45 a.m. Q&A All

10:00 a.m. Facilitated Exercise Amy St. Peter, MAG

- What would it take for HSCC and HSTC to have a greater impact on human services in the region?

11:30 a.m. Next Steps

11:45 a.m. Adjourn



Framing Question:

What would it take for HSCC and HSTC to have a greater impact on human services in the region?

Discussion question #1:

What stories or examples can you share about the committees' performance?

Discussion question #2:

What insights can be drawn from these stories?

Discussion #3:

What goals can be pursued to put these insights into action? How can each program (or proposed activity) better contribute to the committees' success?

(If we do xxxx, then xxxx will happen.)

Discussion question #4:

What opportunities do we have, or can we create, to act on these ideas? Who is the lead and what is the timeframe? What resources or people are needed?



The Arizona Age-Friendly Network and the
Maricopa Association of Governments
Transportation Ambassador Program Present:



GOING PLACES

*An intergenerational, statewide conference about the transportation that connects us,
the places that define us, and the progress that sustains us.*

Date: May 6, 2015

Location: Ina Levine Jewish Community Campus
12701 N. Scottsdale Road #120, Scottsdale, AZ 85254

Time:

- Registration and Networking: 8:30 a.m.
- Formal Program: 9:30 a.m. until 3:30 p.m. (Meals will be provided.)

Cost:

- Free

Sponsors:

- Virginia G. Piper Charitable Trust
- Grantmakers In Aging
- The Pfizer Foundation

Partners:

- AARP of Arizona
- Arizona Commission on the Arts
- Arizona Department of Economic Security
- Arizona Department of Health Services
- Maricopa Association of Governments
- Western Arizona Council of Governments

Features:

- Curated intergenerational art show and performance
- Best Age-Friendly Community Award Ceremony
- Virtual Dementia Tour by Western Arizona Council of Governments
- Twelve workshops in Transportation, Art/Economic Development, Data and Technology, and Communities in Action Tracts

Audience:

- Leaders from throughout Arizona
- Local governments
- Nonprofit agencies
- Transportation agencies
- Residents and civic groups
- Youth

For more information please visit:
www.Connect60Plus.com

CONNECT 60+





2015 Arizona Age-Friendly Community Competition

About the Network and Competition:

The Arizona Age-Friendly Network promotes meaningful connections among all generations. These connections support each person sharing their skills and time with their community. Since 2011, the network has worked with communities to enhance and create opportunities to bring together people of all ages. The age-friendly community competition began in 2014 as a way to celebrate the great work already underway. This year, the competition will offer one \$5,000 grant and one \$2,500 grant to support the awardees in becoming even more age-friendly. The awards will be presented at the Going Places conference on May 6, 2015 at the Ina Levine Jewish Community Campus, 12701 N. Scottsdale Road, Scottsdale, AZ, from 9:30 a.m. to 3:30 p.m. A press conference will be held for the winners of the competition as well.

Submission Information:

Please submit all nomination materials, including the narrative for the questions (required), a three month budget for the \$5,000 and \$2,500 grants (required), and any additional material (optional) by the deadline of March 31, 2015, 5:00 p.m. MST. Send nominations to the Maricopa Association of Governments, 302 North 1st Avenue, #300, Phoenix, AZ 85003 or online at www.Connect60Plus.com. No late submissions will be accepted.

A panel of national and local experts will evaluate the submissions. Additional information may be requested to make the final recommendation. There are no appeals.

For more information:

Please visit www.Connect60Plus.com, email humanservices@azmag.gov or call (602) 254-6300.

These efforts are possible thanks to support received from the following sponsors:



Name and geographic boundaries of community: _____
(Please note a community may be a city or town, a block, or another geographic designation).

Name and affiliation (if any) of person submitting nomination: _____

Contact information for person submitting nomination: _____

- 1. How does your community create opportunities for people of all ages to be meaningfully connected to each other and to contribute to the community?** Please give examples of what makes these opportunities possible, who is involved, and what is the impact.

- 2. How do people of all ages shape your community?** Who are in leadership positions? Who has a voice in your community? How do you reach out to your residents to encourage their participation? Who hears them? What action has your community taken to incorporate diverse voices?

3. What would enhance the opportunities people of all ages have to connect with each other and with their community? Please include a three-month plan and a line item budget showing how you would spend the \$5000, or \$ 2,500 grant in moving toward that goal. A letter of agreement will be signed with all awardees with specific deliverables. The funding will be provided on a reimbursement basis for approved activities. All funds must be spent by July 31, 2015. Please include any matching or in-kind funds that will be part of the project. Matching and in-kinds funds are not required but are encouraged.

4. What programs and infrastructure in your community support connections among all generations? Please rate and describe your community in providing the age-friendly components below. What is the impact of your activities? What reflects this impact? Please provide a narrative (required) and attach

images or recordings (optional, but encouraged) of local art that communicates the community's progress in these categories.

| Categories | Very Good: this supports connections among people of all ages | Good: some work has been done and enhancements are underway | Okay: there has been some activity but more needs to be done and a plan is being developed | Needs Work: there has been minimal activity and we lack the resources | Not Good: we have not addressed this yet and improvements need to be made |
|--|---|---|--|---|---|
| Outdoor Spaces and Buildings <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, do outdoor spaces and buildings encourage positive intergenerational experiences? Can people of all ages fully utilize the spaces and buildings?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |
| Transportation <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, can residents of all ages walk safely in the community or use a community transportation option to get to a get to a grocery store, doctors office etc.?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |
| Social Inclusion <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, are there collaborations among entities that serve people of different ages (such as schools and senior centers)?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |
| Employment <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, do older adults and youth receive job training and assistance in locating employment? Are there opportunities for intergenerational mentors?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Community Health Services <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, are there policies and practices in place that promote healthy lifestyles and enable family caregiving by employees and provide access to onsite adult/child daycare?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |
| Arts and Culture <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, do art programs in community and senior centers bring together people of different ages?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |
| Housing <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, are practices such as universal design standards and accessory dwelling units encouraged and available? Is there distribution of educational materials that teach homeowners about potential health issues relating to homes?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |
| Communication <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, do promotional materials include photos and references to youth and older adults? Are local community assessments of neighborhoods to determine age-friendliness conducted and communicated?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |
| Nutrition <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, do local neighborhoods provide farmers markets, community gardens or other opportunities to obtain fresh foods or options to purchase food online?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |

| | | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|--|
| Lifelong Learning <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, are there partnerships and programs among community and senior centers with libraries, museums, and universities?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |
| Volunteering <i>(please put an X in one box)</i> | | | | | |
| <i>For example, do opportunities exist for people of all ages to volunteer with each other?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |
| Other Information | | | | | |
| <i>Is there other information you would like to submit?</i> | | | | | |
| Please provide your narrative to describe your answer here: | | | | | |

CALL FOR ART

What does it feel like to be 60, 70, 80?

In partnership with the Arizona Commission on the Arts, the **Arizona Age-Friendly Network** invites artists of all ages, mediums and skill-levels to share work in response to this question. Selected works will be presented at the *Going Places Conference*, May 6, 2015. One piece will be chosen for the People's Choice Award.

Submission Deadline: April 15, 2015

For more details and to submit your work, visit our website at: www.connect60plus.com



These efforts made possible thanks to support from the following sponsors

