

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:

February 1, 2011

SUBJECT:

Social Services Block Grant Allocation Recommendations

SUMMARY:

Through a partnership with the Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES), the MAG Human Services Coordinating Committee prioritizes services to receive funding with locally planned Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) dollars. Services funded by SSBG support assistance to the most vulnerable people in the region, including four target groups of Older Adults; People with Disabilities; People with Developmental Disabilities; and Adults, Families, and Children. Upon completion of research and a service ranking exercise, it is proposed to move \$58,946 to the highest priority services. On January 26, 2011, the MAG Human Services Coordinating Committee voted unanimously to recommend approval of the FY 2012 SSBG allocation recommendations. The MAG Human Services Technical Committee voted to recommend approval of the SSBG recommendations on January 13, 2011.

PUBLIC INPUT:

Opportunities for public input were made available at the January MAG Human Services Technical and Coordinating Committee meetings. No input was offered at those meetings.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: As needs increase and funding becomes uncertain, it is more important than ever to strategically assess and define priorities in order to maximize the existing resources. The SSBG allocation recommendations combines the expertise of the member agencies and the benefit of detailed research to recommend strategies that will directly impact regional human services.

CONS: No cons are anticipated.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: The SSBG allocation recommendations include a shift from basic needs to crisis management services. The shift in funding came as a result of a funding formula developed by the committee that takes into account rankings of the services supported by this funding source. The funding formula may be refined each year as needs and demographics of the people served changes. SSBG funding low priority services was moved to high priority services in order to ensure people in crisis have assistance when they need it the most.

POLICY: The revision to the SSBG allocation recommendations will ensure that the most vulnerable populations receive assistance when they need it the most. Nearly \$59,000 is proposed to be shifted to high ranking services that will impart the most benefit to vulnerable residents in the region.

ACTION NEEDED:

Recommend approval of the Social Services Block Grant (SSBG) allocation recommendations for FY 2012 to be forwarded to the Arizona Department of Economic Security.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

On January 26, 2011, the MAG Human Services Coordinating Committee voted unanimously to recommend approval of the MAG FY 2012 Social Services Block Grant allocation recommendations.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

- Councilmember Trinity Donovan, Chandler, Chair
- Vice Mayor Michael Nowakowski, Phoenix, Vice Chair
- * Arleen Chin, Tempe Community Council
- * Councilmember Dennis Kavanaugh, Mesa

- Mayor Marie Lopez Rogers, Avondale
- # Councilmember Manuel Martinez, Glendale
- * Councilmember JoAnne Osborne, Goodyear
- Councilmember John Sentz, Gilbert
- * Supervisory Mary Rose Wilcox, Maricopa Co.
- Councilmember Mike Woodard, Surprise

#Those members present by audio/videoconferencing.
 * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

On January 13, 2011, the MAG Human Services Technical Committee voted unanimously to the MAG FY 2012 Social Services Block Grant allocation recommendations.

COMMITTEE MEMBERS

- Sylvia Sheffield, Avondale, Chair
- Keith Burke, Tempe
- * Kyle Bogdon, DES/ACYF
- Laura Guild, DES
- Brande Howard, Mesa
- Jeffery Jamison, Phoenix
- * Deanna Jonovich, Phoenix
- Jim Knaut, Area Agency on Aging
- #Margarita Leyvas, Maricopa County

- * Joyce Lopez-Powell, Valley of the Sun United Way
- Steven MacFarlane, Phoenix
- #Jayson Matthews, Tempe Community Council
- * Joy McClain, Tolleson
- #Leah Powell, Chandler
- Carol Sherer, DES/DDD

Those members present by audio/videoconferencing.
 * Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

CONTACT PERSON:

Amy St. Peter, MAG Human Services Manager, (602) 254-6300

FY 2012 DRAFT Funding Allocations
(Without Transportation Funding Option)

Target Group	Service Rank Group	Magnitude of Change	Service Title & Service Ranking Across Target Group	FY2011 Funding		% Change	\$ Amount of Change	FY2012 Funding
AFC	A	++	Case Mgt: Basic Needs	\$1,019,855.48		62%	\$24,499.33	\$1,044,354.81
AFC	A	++	Case Mgt: Homeless, Emergency Shelter	\$180,710.80		11%	\$4,341.10	\$185,051.90
AFC	A	++	Shelter: Homeless Families and Individuals	\$86,397.82		5%	\$2,075.48	\$88,473.30
AFC	A	++	Crisis Shelter Svcs.: Domestic Violence	\$348,909.79	2/3	21%	\$8,381.63	\$357,291.42
				\$1,635,873.89	\$39,297.54		\$39,297.54	\$1,675,171.43
AFC	B	+	Case Mgt: Homeless, Transitional Housing	\$63,448.95		9%	\$1,771.37	\$65,220.32
AFC	B	+	Case Mgt: Pregnant/Parenting Youth	\$37,731.70		5%	\$1,053.39	\$38,785.09
AFC	B	+	Crisis Shelter Svcs.: Children and Runaway Children	\$72,277.42		10%	\$2,017.84	\$74,295.26
ELD	B	+	Home Delivered Meals	\$413,941.62		59%	\$11,556.44	\$425,498.06
PwD	B	+	Home Delivered Meals	\$19,230.72		3%	\$536.88	\$19,767.60
AFC	B	+	Shelter: Transitional housing for elderly homeless people who have disabilities	\$97,171.64		14%	\$2,712.84	\$99,884.48
ELD	B	+	Transportation	\$0.00	1/3	0%	\$0.00	\$0.00
				\$703,802.05	\$19,648.77		\$19,648.77	\$723,450.82
ELD	C	0	Adult Day Care/Adult Day Health Care: Homeless, Emergency Shelter	\$203,322.00			\$0.00	\$203,322.00
PwD	C	0	Congregate Meals	\$12,849.96			\$0.00	\$12,849.96
DD	C	0	Ext Supported Empl Svcs: Individuals with DD in need of work training opps.	\$329,405.18			\$0.00	\$329,405.18
ELD	C	0	Home Care: Housekeeping/Homemaker, Chore, Home Health Aid, Personal Care, Respite and Nursing Svcs.	\$354,510.22			\$0.00	\$354,510.22
AFC	C	0	Supportive Intervention/Guidance Counseling: High Risk Children	\$54,858.93			\$0.00	\$54,858.93
AFC	C	0	Supportive Intervention/Guidance Counseling: Outpatient Domestic Violence Victims	\$40,332.00			\$0.00	\$40,332.00
DD	C	0	Transportation Service	\$0.00			\$0.00	\$0.00
AFC	C	0	Transportation: Homeless/Unemployed	\$0.00			\$0.00	\$0.00
				\$995,278.29				\$995,278.29
PwD	D	-	Adult Day Care/Adult Day Health Care	\$13,144.48		-10%	-\$1,314.45	\$11,830.03
DD	D	-	Attendant Care Svcs.	\$27,053.35		-10%	-\$2,705.34	\$24,348.02
DD	D	-	Ext. Supported Empl. Svcs.: Individuals with DD who reside in the family home and are in need of work training \ opps.	\$71,558.72		-10%	-\$7,155.87	\$64,402.85
PwD	D	-	Home Care	\$37,539.17		-10%	-\$3,753.92	\$33,785.25
DD	D	-	Respite Service	\$38,041.21		-10%	-\$3,804.12	\$34,237.09
PwD	D	-	Supported Empl., Ext.	\$234,448.65		-10%	-\$23,444.87	\$211,003.79
ELD	D	-	Supportive Intervention/Guidance Counseling	\$0.00			\$0.00	\$0.00
				\$421,785.58				\$379,607.02
PwD	E	--	Adaptive Aids/Devices	\$15,424.43		-20%	-\$3,084.89	\$12,339.54
DD	E	--	Habilitation Svcs.	\$30,728.78		-20%	-\$6,145.76	\$24,583.02
PwD	E	--	Rehabilitation Instructional Svcs.	\$16,111.03		-20%	-\$3,222.21	\$12,888.82
PwD	E	--	Supportive Intervention/Guidance Counseling	\$21,574.53		-20%	-\$4,314.91	\$17,259.62
	E	--	* Other: ELD: CASE Mgt.	\$0.00			\$0.00	\$0.00
				\$83,838.77				\$67,071.02
				\$3,840,578.58				\$3,840,578.58

\$ Amount Reallocated \$58,946.31