

MEETING MINUTES FROM THE
MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS AND DETAILS COMMITTEE

March 3, 2004

Maricopa Association of Governments Office, Cholla Room
302 North First Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona

AGENCY MEMBERS

David Fern, Chandler	Keith Kesti, Peoria
Mark Weiner, Gilbert	Jeff Van Skike, Phoenix (St. Trans.)
* Pat Thurman, Glendale	*Troy Hayes, Phoenix (Water)
* David Ramirez, Goodyear	*Rod Ramos, Scottsdale
Ted Collins, MCDOT	*Brett Huskey, Surprise
Steven Borst, MCESD	James Bond, Tempe
Doug Davis, Mesa	

ADVISORY MEMBERS

John Ashley, ACA	Brian Gallimore, AGC
* Baird Fullerton, ACEC	Peter Kandaris, SRP
Jeff Benedict, ARPA	Paul Nebeker, NUCA
* Don Green, ARPA	*Tom Domizi, NUCA
Jim Grose, AGC	

MAG ADMINISTRATIVE STAFF

Paul Ward

* Members not attending or represented by proxy.

GUESTS/VISITORS

Robert Herz, MCDOT
Joe Phillips, amec
Jorge Garcia, Grabber Power Products
David Livolsi, Hancor
Bill Naugle, On-Direct
Trevor Skoro, Centerline Supply West

1. Call to Order:

The meeting was called to order at 1:36 p.m.

2. Approval of Minutes:

The members reviewed the February 4, 2004 meeting minutes and noted two typos. Both were in Section 4.a. The first in the fourth line, first word, “preform” should be “perform.” The second in the seventh line, first word, “wavers” should be “waivers.” Jeff Van Skike introduced a motion for a vote on the minutes with correction as noted above. Keith Kesti seconded the motion. A voice vote of all ayes and no nays was recorded.

3. 2003 Carry Over Case:

- a. **Case 03-03 - Details 252, 253 & 254 - Bus Bays:** Ted Collins requested to table this case until next month.

4. 2004 New Cases:

- a. **Case 04-01 - Detail 230 - Sidewalk:** Ted Collins briefly discussed the reason behind the Case. He asked for comments from the committee. Mesa reviewed the case and preferred that the 4-foot sidewalk not be deleted for the agencies that may need to use the narrower sidewalk. Doug suggested an alternate solution, to place a note on the detail listing of the agencies that will only accept the 5-foot sidewalk.
- b. **Case 04-02 - Detail (new) - handrails:** Ted Collins briefly discussed the case. Two changes were noted on the detail and a revised copy dated 03/03/04 was distributed to the members. In Mesa’s review of the Case, they had several comments. A copy of the detail with Mesa’s comments was provided to Ted for his review and any action.
- c. **Case 04-03 - Detail (new) - Sub-grade Drain:** Ted briefly discussed the case with the Committee. To date, he had only one comment regarding the type of material used for the pipe. Mesa, who generated the comment, had no problems with leaving the pipe material as CMP vs. the PVC which was discussed. Mesa had several comments on the Case. A copy of the detail with Mesa’s comments was provided to Ted for his review and any action.
- d. **Case 04-04 - Details 250, 260 and 262 - Driveway Entrances and Alley Entrance.** Ted briefly discussed this case. In a continuation of last month’s meeting regarding the slope across the top of the curb, Doug provided a detail showing the slope. This detail was created when the depressed curb was placed separately from the driveway entrance. When the curb, gutter & driveway entrance is placed at the same time, as in the case of a residential driveway, the slope can be a straight grade from the gutter to the back of the entrance.

5. New Cases:

- a. **Case 04-05 - Miscellaneous Corrections A:** Doug presented a Case to correct a typo in Section 321.6.2, fifth line, first word. A reference to Table 321-1 should be to Table 321-2.
- b. **Case 04-06 - Section 342 - Decorative Pavement Concrete Paving Stone or Brick.:** David Fern would like to revise the existing Section 342 to include traffic bearing pavers.

He requested any input from the members regarding the case. Since many of the agencies have supplemental specifications and details, he was hoping that common ground can be found between the agencies' supplements to generate a new standard in MAG. David noted that the detail in the handout could be used for traffic condition. David was advised that the MAG Specifications and Details are a construction standard and not a design standard. Any reference to the dimensions could be considered a design.

- c. **Case 04-07 - Detail 404 - Water & Sanitary Sewer Separation/Protection:** Steven Borst submitted a case to revise Detail 404. As discussed in last month's General Discussion section, in some conditions, the concrete encasement is not the best solution. In the packet submitted, Steve has included a draft letter requesting the Maricopa County Environmental Services Department to review the changes. Steve intended, in his detail, that both pipes were new installations. The Committee advised Steve, that a number of times, one of the pipes will be existing. In the best interest of time, money and disruption of service, it may be best to keep the detail and encase the existing pipes when crossed by a new installation.

5. General Discussion:

- a. As a follow up to last month's meeting, Paul offered to keep a record of the various types and kinds of curb ramps that have been installed. Doug requested that each member email Paul the following data on each curb ramp that was installed: location, date installed, suppliers name, type of installation, etc. Paul will provide a master list that the various agencies can use.
- b. Paul noted that the 2004 updates for the Specification and Details are available. The cost is the same as last year.

6. Adjournment:

The meeting was adjourned at 2:39 p.m.