



302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300 ▲ Phoenix, Arizona 85003
Phone (602) 254-6300 ▲ FAX (602) 254-6480
E-mail: mag@mag.maricopa.gov ▲ Web site: www.mag.maricopa.gov

March 15, 2011

TO: Members of the MAG Population Technical Advisory Committee

FROM: Jim Bacon, Paradise Valley, Chair

SUBJECT: TRANSMITTAL OF MEETING NOTICE AND TENTATIVE AGENDA

Tuesday, March 22, 2011 - 10:00 a.m.
MAG Office, Suite 200, Saguaro Room
302 North 1st Avenue, Phoenix

A meeting of the MAG Population Technical Advisory Committee (POPTAC) will be held at the time and place noted above.

Members of the POPTAC may attend either in person, by telephone conference call or by videoconference. If you are attending by videoconference, you must make arrangements with Craig Chenery at MAG and your site coordinator by the Friday prior to the meeting. If you are attending via audio conference please contact Steve Gross at (602) 254-6300 at least one day prior to the meeting.

If you are driving, please park in the garage under the building. Bring your ticket to the meeting and parking will be validated. For those using transit, the RPTA will provide transit tickets for your trip. For those using bicycles, please lock your bicycle in the bike rack in the garage.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting Heidi Bickart at the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

Please be advised that under procedures approved by the MAG Regional Council on June 26, 1996, all MAG committees need to have a quorum to conduct business. A quorum is a simple majority of the membership or 14 people for the MAG POPTAC. If you are unable to attend the meeting, please make arrangements for a proxy from your jurisdiction to Jami Garrison at (602) 254-6300.

TENTATIVE AGENDA

1. Call to Order

2. Call to the Audience

An opportunity will be provided to members of the public to address the MAG POPTAC on items not scheduled on the agenda that fall under the jurisdiction of MAG, or on items on the agenda for discussion but not for action. Members of the public will be requested to limit their comments to three minutes. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for this agenda item, unless the Chair of the POPTAC provides for an exception to this limit. Those wishing to comment on action agenda items will be given an opportunity at the time the item is heard.

3. Approval of Meeting Minutes of January 25, 2011

4. Census Update

A) Census 2010 Results

On December 21, 2010 the U.S. Census Bureau announced the total resident population counts for the U.S. and States. The total resident population for Arizona as of April 1, 2010 is 6,392,017. On March 10, 2011 the Bureau released local-level 2010 Census population counts for Maricopa County. The Census Bureau provided summaries of population totals, as well as data on race, Hispanic origin and voting age for multiple geographies within Arizona, such as census blocks, tracts, voting districts, cities, counties and school districts. MAG staff created summary reports and ranking tables relevant to Maricopa County from the data released to date:
<http://www.magcensus.com/> A comparative analysis will be discussed and an update will be provided.

COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED

2. For information.

3. For information, discussion and approval of the minutes of January 25, 2011.

A) For information and discussion.

B) Census Data Timeline

Attachment One is the 2010 Census Data Products Timeline. An update will be provided.

C) 2010 Census Data Review Activities

Now that Census results are available, MAG staff will work with the member agencies to assist in the review of Census results in preparation for the Count Question Resolution (CQR) program. CQR program will address corrections for three types of challenges for the 2010 Decennial Census: (1) Boundary, (2) geocoding, and (3) coverage. The CQR program is not a mechanism or process to challenge or revise the population counts sent to the President by December 31, 2010, which are used to apportion the U.S. House of Representatives. CQR will accept challenge submissions from governmental units beginning June 1, 2011. All challenges must be received by the Census Bureau no later than June 1, 2013.

More information is available here:

<http://federalregister.gov/a/2010-12626>

To support this effort, MAG staff has been developing and updating housing databases like residential completions, apartments, mobile homes, and major group quarter facilities. MAG staff will discuss current plans for analysis of available Census data. Please see Attachment Two. Ideas on review data sets and methods will be solicited.

D) Census Workshop

On February 22, 2011 MAG and the U.S. Census Bureau Partnership and Data Services staff held a successful Census Data Workshop on Accessing Census Data Products Effectively. A follow-up computer lab on how to analyze census data will be

B) For information and discussion.

C) For information and discussion.

D) For information and discussion.

held April 6th. Please see Attachment Three.
An update will be provided.

5. July 1, 2010 Maricopa County and Municipality Resident Population Updates and Methodology

Arizona Department of Commerce (ADOC) has prepared July 1, 2010 resident population updates based on the Census 2010 results for April 1. These updates were developed using 2010 county level birth and death data and 2009 municipality level birth and death data. Since 2010 municipality level data is not currently available for cities and towns, MAG staff will not create municipality population updates for July 1, 2010 at this time. MAG staff plans to create municipality population updates for July 1, 2010 using current data that will be available in May 2011. An update will be provided on the population numbers developed by ADOC. Please see Attachment Four.

6. Data Collection Timeline

Ongoing data collection efforts include land use information such as General Plan amendments and development projects. The land use data collected are used in preparing socioeconomic projections and conducting regional analysis. Thanks to MAG POPTAC for reviewing the 2010 land use documents and employer database for completeness and accuracy.

In March, MAG POPTAC will be requested to review apartments, mobile homes/RV parks and major group quarters and provide feedback to MAG in early April.

A schedule for the collection of data for the next 6 months is included in Attachment Five. A status report will be provided.

7. MAG Online Mapping Site

MAG staff have created an enhanced online mapping site that is based on the next generation

5. For information and discussion.

6. For information and discussion.

7. For information and discussion.

of ESRI web mapping technology, ArcGIS Server. An application using 2010 Census PL data, 2005-2009 American Community Survey 5-Year Estimates, and 2007 MAG Socio-Economic Projections has been created and is available for viewing. The new map viewer provides an enhanced interface and improved tools for mapping and reporting of socioeconomic variables at the census tract and RAZ levels. A demonstration of the new site will be given.

8. Regional Updates

MAG POPTAC members and MAG staff will have the opportunity to provide an update on development within their jurisdiction, amendments to general plans and any special projects.

9. Next Meeting of MAG POPTAC

The next meeting of the MAG POPTAC is scheduled for Tuesday, April 26, 2011 at 10:00 a.m.

8. For information and discussion.

9. For information and discussion.

MINUTES OF THE
MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
POPULATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

January 26, 2011
MAG Office, Saguaro Room
302 North 1st Ave, Phoenix

MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE

Jim Bacon, Paradise Valley, Chair	*Rick Buss, Town of Gila Bend
A-Tracy Clark, ADOT	* Kyle Mieras, Gilbert
Eric Morgan for Charlie McClendon, Avondale, Vice Chair	*Sonny Culbreth, Litchfield Park
*Bryant Powell, Apache Junction	A-John Verdugo for Matt Holm, Maricopa County
A- Andrea Marquez, Buckeye	Wahid Alam, Mesa
A-DJ Stapley, Carefree	*Ed Boik, Peoria
A-Ian Cordwell for Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek	Max Enterline for Chris DePerro, Phoenix
Sam Andrea for David de la Torre, Chandler	A-Dave Williams, Queen Creek
A-Mark Smith, El Mirage	*Bryan Meyers,
*Eugene Slechta, Fountain Hills	Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
Thomas Ritz, Glendale	A-Eddie Lamperez, Scottsdale
A-Katie Wilken, Goodyear	A- Lloyd Abrams, Surprise
*Gino Turrubiarres, Guadalupe	A-Sherri Lesser for Lisa Collins, Tempe
	*Anne McCracken, Valley Metro
	*Lloyce Robinson, Youngtown

* Not in attendance

A - Participated via audioconference

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

Heidi Bickart, MAG	Kurt Cotner, MAG
Jami Garrison, MAG	Don Thorstenson, MAG
Jason Howard, MAG	Pam Nagel, ADWR
Anubhav Bagley, MAG	Carol Johnson, Phoenix
	Kevin Wallace, MAG

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 10:04am by the Chair, Jim Bacon.

2. Call to the Audience

No comments were made by the audience.

Steve Gross (MAG) announced that MAG is undergoing a transition to a new audio conferencing system. Any members wishing to attend future meeting remotely should contact Steve Gross (602-452-5065) to obtain the new dial in phone numbers.

3. Approval of the Meeting Minutes of June 22, 2010

Wahid Almar moved, Max Enterline seconded and it was unanimously recommended to approve the meeting minutes of November 2, 2010.

4. Census Update

A) Census 2010

Jami Garrison provided an summary of the Census 2010 population counts that were released on December 21, 2010 by the U.S. Census Bureau. The 2010 resident population of the United States is 308,745,538. Arizona is the second fastest growing state between 2000 and 2010 with a 24.6% increase in population over the last decade, and an annual increase of 2.2%. The total resident population of Arizona as of April 1, 2010 is 6,392, 017. The census is performed for apportionment purposes, and Arizona gained one congressional seat giving the state a total of 9 congressional seats. A map was provided showing the Census 2010 results for congressional seats gained and lost across the United States. She said that the planned release date for county, city, and block-group level data containing population counts by race and ethnicity for populations over the age of 18 is uncertain. The U.S. Census Bureau has said that this data will be released on a state by state flow basis beginning in February and ending in March. In the 2000 census release, Arizona received their population data near the end of March. The member agencies' highest elected official will receive a phone call with their population counts when they are released.

DJ Stapley asked if there will be population cohorts available for towns with a population less than 8,000. Jami Garrison replied that yes, the initial release will contain data all the way down to the block group level. Mr. Stapley clarified that he was interested in age cohorts. Ms. Garrison responded that the initial release will only contain data for populations with an age of 18 or higher, since this is the threshold used for apportionment purposes. The Summary File 1 data, which contains all of the age cohort data, won't be released until June through August, 2011.

Max Enterline asked if the data will be released in shapefile format. Jami Garrison replied that the initial data release will be in tabular format similar to the American Fact Finder, however the geography shapefiles, which contain 2010 census geometry, have already been released.

Max Enterline then asked if MAG would be compiling the Census 2010 data in shapefiles and providing it to the member agencies. Anubhav Bagley replied that if we wait for one more agenda item we will be addressing this topic.

B) American Community Survey (ACS)

Jami Garrison provided a review of the ACS data that has recently been released. She said that the ACS was created to replace the Long Form which was used in previous census years. The 2010 Census did not use the Long Form. The ACS is an on-going national survey that will provide the socioeconomic data that used to be collected during the decennial census. She said it is important to note that the ACS provides an annual estimates for geographies with a population greater than 65,000, three-year estimates for geographies with a population greater than 20,000, and five-year estimates for geographies down to the block group level. The ACS Release Schedule was provided. She said that the ACS data currently being released does not use the 2010 Census data as it's base. She highlighted the recent release of the 2009 5-year ACS Estimates which

include data at the block group level. Also highlighted, was the recent release of the 2009 3-year ACS Estimates for geographies greater than 20,000.

Ms. Garrison indicated that MAG has downloaded the 5-year ACS data, and it is available to member agencies upon request. A series of maps produced from the 5-year ACS Estimates were previewed showing Median Age, Median Monthly Owner Costs, Hispanic Population, Highschool Diploma or Equivalent, Families in Poverty, and Civilian Unemployment. She emphasized that this data represents an estimate over a specified time period, and is not point in time data. She also mentioned a number of helpful sources for using and understanding ACS data located on the U.S. Census Bureau website. Anubhav Bagley mentioned that the ACS data is based on 2000 geography, not 2010 geography.

C) 2010 Census Redistricting TIGER/Line Shapefiles

Jason Howard provided an update on the newly released TIGER/Line Shapefiles. He said that all of the changes, identified by MAG and its member agencies, during the census redistricting process were accepted and are included in the January 13, 2011 release of the TIGER/Line Shapefiles for Arizona. He said that MAG has reviewed the new census geographies and found them to be acceptable. Some areas with large population increases or zero populations were re-numbered, however overall the re-numbering is not a significant issue with this data release. No retired tract number were re-used. The data is available on the U.S. Census Bureau website, or from MAG by request.

D) Census Data Review Activities

Heidi Bickart provided an update on the Census Data Review process. She said that once the detailed Census results are made available starting in March, 2011, MAG staff would work with the member agencies to review this data in preparation for the Count Question Resolution (CQR) program. The CQR program is a two year program beginning in June of 2011. She said that a letter will be mailed from the U.S. Census Bureau to the highest elected official from each member agency. The CQR provides the opportunity to challenge the population and housing unit counts, as well as make corrections for inaccurate boundaries, geocoding errors and coverage errors. She said the Census Bureau will not collect any new data during this process, nor will they incorporate any changes from the CQR program into the Summary File 1 or the Demographic Profile Tables.

Heidi Bickart said that MAG will work to identify any “red flag” areas to be looked at by member agencies. MAG has been compiling datasets including residential completions, apartments, mobile homes, and major group quarters to assist in this process. Work on this program will be conducted through the ADHOC POPTAC during the two-year window of the CQR.

Thomas Ritz asked what data sets will be corrected as a result of the CQR. Jami Garrison mentioned that the Census Bureau usually sends out an errata, based on the CQR changes. Max Enterline asked if the Demographic Profile Tables would remain the same even after the CQR. Ms. Bickart mentioned that for Census 2000 tables, the Census Bureau placed an errata in a footnote explaining any changes or corrections. Anubhav Bagley mentioned that the Census Bureau is hoping there won't be many major errors. He also said that due to the collaborative efforts between MAG and the Census Bureau, there shouldn't be many errors in geography for the 2010 data. Jami Garrison added that any corrected numbers would be used in the estimates programs, and hence will be reflected in future ACS releases.

E) Census Workshop

Heidi Bickart announced that there will be no February POPTAC meeting due to a Census Workshop being held on February 22, 2011 from 9am to 3pm. Due to the large response from the community, the location has changed to the University of Arizona College of Medicine Phoenix Campus, in the Virginia G. Piper Auditorium, 600 E Van Buren, Bldg 2, with pay parking available at 714 E. Van Buren. Ms. Bickart will be sending out a flyer with information regarding the workshop. The Census Bureau's Partnership and Data Services Group will be providing information on the many resources available through the new American Fact Finder. The workshop will also cover the ACS 1-year, 3-year and 5-year data. She mentioned that there will be a census related article published in the MAGazine, which has an audience greater than 4000.

5. Arizona Department of Commerce Update

Anubhav Bagley provided an update on the Department of Commerce. He noted that the Department of Commerce is now called the Commerce Authority. The research administration function, the Council of Technical Solutions (CTS), will be moving the office of Strategic Planning and Budgets sometime soon. They have been working in conjunction with MAG, providing some ideas on how to produce the next set of estimates. He mentioned that MAG's primary concern is how the estimates come out for the mid-decade, due to the funding determinations based on those estimates.

The second item Mr. Bagley addressed was regarding the provisional estimates provided to the Economic Estimates Committee, which was required by December 15th, 2010. However, on December 21st, the U.S. Census Bureau released the Decennial census numbers, which are approximately 5% lower than the provisional estimates. An updates July 1 estimate will be produced using the provisional estimates along with the new Census data. MAG is working with the state regarding the best methodology for updating these estimates. In the interim, the state has developed another provisional set of estimates by simply reducing all of the first provisional estimates by 4.7% across the board. MAG will continue to work with the state to produce the final July 1, 2010 population estimates.

Wahid Alam asked why the Department of Commerce only takes into account the births and deaths data. Anubhav Bagley responded that this methodology is only being used to get from the April 1, 2010 Census numbers to the July 1, 2010 estimate. For that short time frame, we do not have other detailed information available.

Eric Morgan asked if the cities will be able to view the estimates prior to them being officially reported to the state, to provide feedback. Mr. Bagley said that MAG will try to work with the member agencies on this, throughout the process. He added that the state shared revenue is tied to the April 1, 2010 number, not the July, 1 estimate. The July, 1 number is used for expenditure limitations.

Jim Bacon asked if the decision regarding who has input into the July 1 estimates rests with MAG or the state. Mr Bagley replied that this is a collaborative process between MAG and the Department of Commerce.

Max Enterline asked what other methods might be used besides a straight forward reduction of 4.7%. Anubhav Bagley responded that several factors might be considered including housing unit completions, and other methodologies that will be worked on with CTS.

6. Data Collection Timeline

Kurt Cotner provided an update on the data collection timeline between MAG and the member agencies. He thanked the committee for continually providing various land use information including General Plan amendments and development projects. Attachment Two was provided to give a summary of each jurisdiction's total number of land use documents provided in 2010. He said a more detailed report containing all of the documents received from the member agencies will be sent out following the January, 2011 POPTAC meeting. Member agencies are asked to review this information, and submit any additions or deletions by February 14th, 2011.

Heidi Bickart added that Attachment Three is MAG's 6-month POPTAC timeline, provided as a reference for data related deadlines. She said member agencies would also be hearing from MAG regarding point data collection including hotels, motels, apartments, major group quarters, and mobile homes. This information will help in the Count Question Resolution program.

Max Enterline asked what is meant by a major group quarter. Anubhav Bagley said previous group quarter analysis indicates that the majority of the population occurs within group quarters of 50 or more people. Mr. Enterline said that Phoenix has group quarter information for facilities with 6-8 people, and asked if MAG could use this data. Mr. Bagley said that MAG would gladly accept any such data as long as it has location and the number of occupants.

7. MAG Interactive Mapping and Website Enhancement

Jason Howard shared an initial preview of a current MAG project developing a new interactive mapping application. He said MAG will host several mapping applications for use by the public and member agencies. These applications will allow users to generate reports on Census data as well as MAG socioeconomic projections. He said that MAG's previous mapping applications have provided good access to data, however advances in technology and the public's demand for data availability have prompted the development of this new system. He showed a series of screen shots of the initial development stages. Anticipated functionality includes map production, tabular reporting based on geographic selections, as well as chart and report functionality based on interactive geographic selections. The samples provided are preliminary and do not represent a final product. He said MAG hopes to release a beta site for internal review in late February.

Wahid Amal asked if the mapping application will accommodate block level census geometry. Jason Howard said that is a possibility with the new application. Mr. Amal asked if the new application will be using the recent census data. Mr. Howard said that the hope is to have the new mapping application available for use with the new census data when it is delivered. He added that current plans are for exporting of tabular data, not spatial data from the mapping application. He clarified that both the map and reports will be printable, however only the tabular data will be available for download. Anubhav Bagley added that MAG hopes to work with member agencies to develop customizable mapping interfaces for particular areas of interest.

Max Enterline asked if the samples were using old geography, and if MAG will be using the new geography. Mr. Bagley replied that when the new data is available, it will be incorporated.

Wahid Amal asked if users will be able to merge data from the new mapping application with other data sources, and if there will be buffering capabilities. Jason Howard said yes, the new mapping application will have buffering capabilities, but it is a stand alone application that will not be directly connected to other organization's data sources. Anubhav Bagley added that as the

development of the application progressed, MAG will be working with the ADHOC POPTAC to address any inter-connectivity with other organizations.

8. Regional Updates

A) City of Phoenix General Plan

Carol Johnson presented information on the City of Phoenix's public hearing draft for the General Plan Update. She said that this is not a comprehensive update. It includes new sections including Vision, Current State, Scenarios, Strategies, Transition Matrix, Implementation, and a Re-adoption of the Existing General Plan. The visioning process focused on community priorities including Community, Environment, Economy, and Infrastructure. She said that much of the work has been done in collaboration with the ASU School of Sustainability. There will be no changes to the current land use plan at this time. She said that the public hearing draft can be viewed at www.phoenix.gov/planphx. Jim Bacon asked if the presentation would be made available. Ms. Johnson said that she would have it posted on the website. Heidi Bickart added that the presentation is also available on the MAG website.

Thomas Ritz asked why there are no maps provided with the draft plan. He is curious if the draft changes apply to the entire city as a whole. Carol Johnson reiterated that this is a transition document, that is setting broad areas of focus that will be worked on in the next phase. The next phase will focus more on the individual villages and any related local updates.

B) MAG Sustainable Transportation and Land Use Integration Study

Kevin Wallace presented information on the MAG Sustainable Transportation and Land Use Integration Study. He discussed the meaning of sustainability, and how it differs from liveability. This study hopes to define "sustainable transportation" for the MAG region, define transit's role in providing sustainable transportation, and make recommendations for best practices. He said that this study will also focus on the economic viability of alternate land use scenarios. A new tool to be used with this study is I-PLACE³S. This is a regional tool in use by several regions across the country including Sacramento, CA. He mentioned that this study will look at alternative land use scenarios for non-traditional growth projections, that may or may not be tied to a specific time frame, and how they relate to the transit corridors. He announced that the first of 5 stakeholder meetings will be held at MAG on February 10th, 2011, at 2:00 pm.

Thomas Ritz asked if the high capacity corridors are available to member agencies via the MAG website. Mr. Wallace said that information is available on the MAG website, and that he could make maps available through Anubhav Bagley. He noted that Scenario 3 is based on Seattle level funding, which is a significant departure from where we are presently.

Wahid Alam asked if this study would look at impacts beyond the right of way (ROW). He said he would like to see this study include a regional approach beyond the ROW's. Jim Bacon added that a significant aspect of the Seattle level study, is that they did not limit the study to existing public ROW's to develop their transportation system. This approach involves a different level of funding and planning approach, that can be fleshed out in a future meeting.

Max Enterline asked if this study will consider the types of materials used to develop planned transit systems. Kevin Wallace said that this may be addressed in the best practices portion of the study, however the detailed scope does not include recommendations for material use.

9. Next Meeting of the MAG POPTAC

Jim Bacon said that the next meeting of the MAG POPTAC is scheduled for Tuesday, March 22, 2011 at 10:00 am. The February MAG POPTAC meeting is cancelled due to the full-day Census Workshop being held February 22nd. The meeting adjourned at 11:26 am.



**2010 CENSUS DATA PRODUCTS:
UNITED STATES**
At a Glance
(Version 1.0)

September 23, 2009

Planned Release Date ¹	Data Products	Lowest Level Geography
<p><u>States:</u> FEB 2011 – MAR 2011</p> <p><u>National:</u> APR 2011</p>	<p>2010 Census Redistricting Data (P.L. 94-171) Summary File:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • State population counts for race and Hispanic or Latino categories • State housing unit counts by occupancy status (occupied units, vacant units) <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD, download capability</i></p> <p>National Summary File of Redistricting Data:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population and housing unit counts for the United States, regions, divisions, and American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian Areas <p><i>Media: Internet tables, download capability</i></p>	<p>Blocks</p> <p>American Indian, Alaska Native, and Native Hawaiian areas</p>
<p>MAY 2011</p>	<p>Demographic Profile:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Selected population and housing characteristics • Includes Congressional Districts of the 111th Congress <p><i>Media: Internet quick tables, download capability</i></p>	<p>Places/Functioning Minor Civil Divisions²</p>
<p><u>States:</u> JUN 2011 – AUG 2011</p> <p><u>National Update:</u> NOV 2011</p> <p><u>Urban/Rural Update:</u> OCT 2012</p> <p><u>Redefined CBSA Update:</u> JUL 2013 – AUG 2013</p>	<p>Summary File 1 (SF 1):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population counts for 63 race categories and Hispanic or Latino..... • Population counts for many detailed race and Hispanic or Latino categories, and American Indian and Alaska Native tribes..... • Selected population and housing characteristics..... <p>National Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Counts for the United States, regions, divisions, and geographic areas that cross state lines such as American Indian Areas and Core-Based Statistical Areas <p>Urban/Rural Update:³</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Addition of urban and rural population and housing unit counts • Addition of characteristics for urbanized areas and urban clusters <p>Redefined Core-Based Statistical Areas Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Addition of counts and characteristics for redefined Core-Based Statistical Areas as defined by the Office of Management and Budget following the 2010 Census <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD (state and national only),⁴ download capability</i></p>	<p>Blocks</p> <p>Census Tracts</p> <p>Blocks/Census Tracts</p> <p>American Indian Areas</p> <p>Blocks</p> <p>Urban Clusters</p> <p>Principal cities</p>
<p><u>States:</u> DEC 2011 – APR 2012</p> <p><u>National Update:</u> MAY 2012</p> <p><u>Urban/Rural Update:</u> JAN 2013</p>	<p>Summary File 2 (SF 2):</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population and housing characteristics iterated for many detailed race and Hispanic or Latino categories, and American Indian and Alaska Native tribes <p>National Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Counts for the United States, regions, divisions, and geographic areas that cross state lines such as American Indian Areas and Core-Based Statistical Areas <p>Urban/Rural Update:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Addition of urban and rural population and housing unit counts • Addition of characteristics for urbanized areas and urban clusters <p>Threshold: To be determined</p> <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD (state and national only),⁴ download capability</i></p>	<p>Census Tracts</p> <p>American Indian Areas</p> <p>Census Tracts</p> <p>Urban Clusters</p>

Planned Release Date ¹	Data Products	Lowest Level Geography
MAY 2012 – DEC 2012	<p>Summary Population and Housing Characteristics Report Series</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data tables on age, sex, race, Hispanic origin, households, families, housing tenure and occupancy, population density, and area measurements • Reports for each state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico; and a U.S. Summary • Similar to the Census 2000 PHC-1 report series <p><i>Media: Internet (PDF) only</i></p>	Places
APR 2013 – SEP 2013	<p>Population and Housing Unit Counts Report Series</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Data tables providing selected historical population and housing unit counts • Includes tables on population density and area measurements • Reports for each state, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico; and a U.S. Summary • Similar to the Census 2000 PHC-3 report series <p><i>Media: Internet (PDF), paper (printed reports)</i></p>	Places
JAN 2013 (and every 2 years thereafter for states where redistricting occurs)	<p>Congressional District Summary File (113th Congress)</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retabulation of Summary File 1 for newly redistricted Congressional Districts (113th Congress) <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD, download capability</i></p>	Census Tracts within Congressional Districts
JUN 2013 (and every 2 years thereafter for states where redistricting occurs)	<p>State Legislative District Summary File</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Retabulation of Summary File 1 for State Legislative Districts drawn following the 2010 Census <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD, download capability</i></p> <p>Legislative District Geographic Supplement</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Files containing current geographic attributes for blocks within State Legislative Districts • No characteristics – only total population and housing unit counts <p><i>Media: DVD, download capability</i></p>	Places Blocks
DEC 2012	<p>American Indian and Alaska Native Summary File</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population and housing characteristics iterated for many detailed American Indian and Alaska Native tribes • Same content as Summary File 2 <p>Threshold: To be determined</p> <p><i>Media: Internet tables, DVD, download capability</i></p>	American Indian and Alaska Native Areas
APR 2013	<p>Characteristics of American Indians and Alaska Natives by Tribe Report</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Population and housing characteristics for selected American Indian and Alaska Native tribes • Similar to the Census 2000 PHC-5 report, but only for 100-percent data <p><i>Media: Internet (PDF), paper (printed report)</i></p>	States
MAR 2011 – FEB 2012	<p>2010 Census Briefs</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Analysis of topics including graphs and tables • Topics based on 2010 Census questions • Similar to the Census 2000 Briefs series <p><i>Media: Internet (PDF), paper (printed reports)</i></p>	Largest Places
JUN 2011 – JUN 2013	<p>2010 Census Special Reports</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Detailed analysis of topics including graphs, tables, and maps • Similar to the Census 2000 Special Report series • Includes an “Atlas” <p><i>Media: Internet (PDF), paper (printed reports)</i></p>	Largest Places
To be determined	<p>Public Use Microdata Sample (PUMS) Files</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Includes age, sex, race, Hispanic or Latino origin, household type and relationship, and tenure data with identifying information removed <p><i>Media: DVD, download capability</i></p>	PUMAs of 100,000+ population

FOOTNOTES –

- ¹ The dates in this column refer to the first medium of release.
- ² Functioning Minor Civil Divisions (MCDs) in 20 states.
- ³ Includes the production of a download file that provides users with urban/rural population and housing unit counts not available with previous SF 1 releases. The lowest level geography is blocks.
- ⁴ One DVD containing state and national data will be produced following the release of the National Update.

GENERAL – The 2010 Census data products meet a variety of data needs for different segments of the data user community. The data products described here provide a summary of the general tabulation and publication program for the 50 states, the District of Columbia, and Puerto Rico (which is treated as a state equivalent for most data products).

Summary Files will include all or some of the following: quick tables (including demographic profiles), geographic comparison tables, and ranking tables. Thematic maps will be available as a function in DADSII. Download capability includes FTP and DADSII download options.

MAG Housing Unit Estimates based on 2000 Census and Residential Completions Compared to Census 2010 Results

2010 Jurisdictions	Census 2000 Housing Units (adjusted to Census 2010 place boundaries)	Residential Completions (April 1st, 2000 through April 1st 2010)	Residential Demolitions (April 1st, 2000 through April 1st 2010)	Estimated Net Housing Units Based on Completions, April 1st 2010)	Census 2010 Housing Units	Difference
Apache Junction *	328			328	293	(35)
Avondale	11,314	14,782	47	26,049	27,001	952
Buckeye	2,754	13,815	4	16,565	18,207	1,642
Carefree	1,769	504	5	2,268	2,251	(17)
Cave Creek	1,917	686	28	2,575	2,579	4
Chandler	66,310	27,897	256	93,951	94,404	453
El Mirage	3,162	7,109	33	10,238	11,326	1,088
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation	275			275	308	33
Fountain Hills	10,491	2,260		12,751	13,167	416
Gila Bend	828	48		876	943	67
Gila River Indian Community *	685	10		695	835	140
Gilbert	38,505	35,292	87	73,710	74,907	1,197
Glendale	79,760	9,267	59	88,968	90,505	1,537
Goodyear	6,852	17,447	12	24,287	25,027	740
Guadalupe	1,184	146		1,330	1,376	46
Litchfield Park	1,632	574		2,206	2,716	510
Mesa	176,529	27,520	129	203,920	201,173	(2,747)
Paradise Valley	5,464	780	1	6,243	5,643	(600)
Peoria *	42,668	20,540	12	63,196	64,814	1,618
Phoenix	497,487	91,947	1,128	588,306	590,149	1,843
Queen Creek *	1,253	6,701		7,954	8,394	440
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community	2,424	165	1	2,588	2,607	19
Scottsdale	104,857	16,504	231	121,130	124,001	2,871
Surprise	16,378	34,499	100	50,777	52,586	1,809
Tempe	67,068	5,098	201	71,965	73,462	1,497
Tolleson	1,440	399		1,839	2,169	330
Wickenburg	102,042	386	1	102,427	3,617	(98,810)
Youngtown	3,048	992	4	4,036	2,831	(1,205)
Balance of County	1,807	35,962	184	37,585	141,988	104,403
TOTAL	1,250,231	371,330	2,523	1,619,038	1,639,279	20,241

* Excludes areas outside of Maricopa County

Sources: U.S. Census Bureau, 2000 and 2010 Decennial Census, MAG Residential Completions
Prepared by Maricopa Association of Governments



The Maricopa Association of Governments
in partnership with
The Census Bureau Partnership and Data Services Program
invite you to attend

Using Census Data to Analyze Communities and Neighborhoods

Computer Lab, Chicanos Por La Causa, 1046 E. Buckeye Rd, Phoenix, AZ

9:00 a.m. -12 Noon on Wednesday, April 6, 2011

2010 Census results are here and they provide up-to-date counts of Arizona communities.

The "Community and Neighborhood Analysis" computer lab is designed to provide participants hands-on experience with census.gov in order to look up data to tell their community's story. Participants will learn how to navigate the new American Factfinder (data search tool), the American Community Survey, and the 2010 Census.

Seating is limited and laptops will be provided. Registration is limited to twenty-five (25) participants. Free parking is available at the site. Come join us!

You must be registered to attend.

To register, email your name, agency affiliation, email address and phone number to Steve Gross at sgross@azmag.gov. Please include "Census 2010 Computer Lab" in the email subject. Phone registrations will not be accepted.

Please direct questions regarding the computer lab to:
Pauline Nuñez at pauline.nunez@census.gov or 720.533.4185

JULY 1, 2010 POPULATION ESTIMATES (PROVISIONAL, REVISED ON 03/10/2010)
FOR ARIZONA'S COUNTIES, INCORPORATED PLACES AND BALANCE OF COUNTY

ESTIMATE		ESTIMATE		ESTIMATE	
APACHE COUNTY	71,700	MARICOPA COUNTY	3,824,143	PIMA COUNTY	981,185
Eagar	4,892	Apache Junction *	294	Marana	35,023
Saint Johns	3,480	Avondale	76,463	Oro Valley	40,997
Springerville	1,968	Buckeye	51,026	Sahuarita	25,323
Unincorporated	61,360	Carefree	3,359	South Tucson	5,661
		Cave Creek	5,012	Tucson	520,794
COCHISE COUNTY	131,440	Chandler	236,636	Unincorporated	353,387
Benson	5,102	El Mirage	31,913		
Bisbee	5,574	Fountain Hills	22,467	PINAL COUNTY	376,376
Douglas	17,405	Gila Bend	1,929	Apache Junction *	35,546
Huachuca City	1,855	Gilbert	209,010	Casa Grande	48,644
Sierra Vista	45,086	Glendale	227,196	Coolidge	11,852
Tombstone	1,378	Goodyear	65,402	Eloy	16,647
Willcox	3,759	Guadalupe	5,542	Florence	25,534
Unincorporated	51,281	Litchfield Park	5,476	Kearny	1,953
		Mesa	439,869	Mammoth	1,428
COCONINO COUNTY	134,697	Paradise Valley	12,811	Maricopa City	43,611
Flagstaff	66,005	Peoria *	154,193	Queen Creek *	449
Fredonia	1,314	Phoenix	1,449,286	Superior	2,837
Page	7,260	Queen Creek *	25,990	Winkelman *	0
Sedona *	2,836	Scottsdale	217,391	Unincorporated	187,875
Tusayan	558	Surprise	117,715		
Williams	3,025	Tempe	161,938	SANTA CRUZ COUNTY	47,543
Unincorporated	53,700	Tolleson	6,566	Nogales	20,894
		Wickenburg	6,361	Patagonia	912
GILA COUNTY	53,591	Youngtown	6,153	Unincorporated	25,737
Globe	7,541	Unincorporated	284,145		
Hayden	664			YAVAPAI COUNTY	210,897
Miami	1,833	MOHAVE COUNTY	200,113	Camp Verde	10,874
Payson	15,274	Bullhead City	39,524	Chino Valley	10,826
Star Valley	2,303	Colorado City	4,822	Clarkdale	4,086
Winkelman *	351	Kingman	28,070	Cottonwood	11,265
Unincorporated	25,624	Lake Havasu City	52,466	Dewey - Humboldt	3,884
		Unincorporated	75,232	Jerome	443
GRAHAM COUNTY	37,277			Peoria *	7
Pima	2,389	NAVAJO COUNTY	107,699	Prescott	39,771
Safford	9,575	Holbrook	5,064	Prescott Valley	38,845
Thatcher	4,870	Pinetop-Lakeside	4,287	Sedona *	7,182
Unincorporated	20,442	Show Low	10,674	Unincorporated	83,714
		Snowflake	5,604		
GREENLEE COUNTY	8,440	Taylor	4,127	YUMA COUNTY	196,162
Clifton	3,312	Winslow	9,668	San Luis	25,620
Duncan	696	Unincorporated	68,276	Somerton	14,318
Unincorporated	4,432			Wellton	2,883
				Yuma	93,260
LA PAZ COUNTY	20,496			Unincorporated	60,080
Parker	3,079				
Quartzsite	3,677				
Unincorporated	13,740			ARIZONA	6,401,758

*Population for Places in Two Counties

Winkelman	Gila + Pinal	351
Queen Creek	Pinal + Maricopa	26,439
Peoria	Maricopa + Yavapai	154,200
Apache Junction	Pinal + Maricopa	35,840
Sedona	Coconino + Yavapai	10,018

Arizona State Demographer's Office (602) 771-1179 or (602) 771-1236

Note: County totals may not match sum of places due to independent rounding.

July 1, 2010 county and place level population is based on census April 1, 2010 population, plus births minus deaths in Q2 2010 adjusting for annexation.

Births and deaths in Q2 2010 at the county level come directly from vital statistics and are now final.

Births and deaths at the place level are estimated at this time and will be replaced by the actual numbers when they become available.

Any further revision at the place level is anticipated to be minor.

DRAFT		
MAG POPTAC Timeline		
From March 2011 to September 2011		
MAG Due Date	Member Agency Due Date	Activity
	Submit when the latest Plan or update is complete.	Submit General Plans for 60 day review.
	Submit when Amendment is ready for review.	Submit Major General Plan Amendments for 60 day review.
Ongoing	Ongoing	Submit Minor General Plan Amendments, Area Plans and Development Master Plans/Community Master Plans and Amendments.
Ongoing	Ongoing	Submit Planned Area Developments/Planned Community Developments/Planned Residential Developments/Unit Planned Development/Final Plats and Reports.
Ongoing	Ongoing	Submit copy of C404 Form to MAG.
Ongoing	Ongoing	Submit Annexations to MAG as they occur.
	January, 2011	Submit Q4 residential completions to MAG.
February, 2011	March, 2011	Review MAG point databases including hotel/motels, major group quarters, RV parks/Mobile homes, and apartments.
February, 2011	March, 2011	Review draft 2011 General Plan and developments database.
	April, 2011	Submit Q1 residential completions to MAG.
February, 2011	March, 2011	Review 2010 draft Employer database.
April, 2011	April, 2011	Review draft annexations between July 1, 2010 and March 31, 2011 for July 1 Arizona Department of Commerce population estimates. Jurisdictions verify and provide number of units.
	April, 2011	Submit Q1 residential completions to MAG.
April, 2011	May, 2011	Review 2010 Existing Land Use database.
April, 2011	April, 2011	MAG sends jurisdictions draft annexations between July 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011 for July 1 Estimates. Jurisdictions verify and provide number of units.
May, 2011		MAG submits annexations that take place from July 1, 2010 to March 31, 2011 to ADOC for July 1 Estimates.
July, 2010		Group Quarters update for ADOC.
	July, 2011	Submit public employment data for MAG Employer Database 2010.
	July, 2011	Submit Q2 residential completions to MAG.
July, 2011	July, 2011	MAG sends jurisdictions draft annexations between April 1, 2011 to June 30, 2011 for July 1 Estimates. Jurisdictions verify and provide number of units.
	July, 2011	Submit population in group quarters to MAG for ADOC July 1, 2011 Estimates.
August, 2011		MAG submits annexations that take place from April 1, 2011 thru June 30, 2011 to ADOC for July 1 Estimates.