

MINUTES OF THE  
MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS  
POPULATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE AD HOC SUBCOMMITTEE

March 22, 2011  
MAG Office, Suite 200, Saguaro Room  
302 North 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue  
Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE

Charlie McClendon, Avondale, Chair  
Wahid Alam, Mesa  
\*Lisa Collins, Tempe  
David de la Torre, Chandler  
Eddie Lamperez, Scottsdale

Thomas Ritz, Glendale  
John Verdugo for Matt Holm, Maricopa County  
Chris DePerro, Phoenix

\*Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

Jami Garrison, MAG  
Jesse Ayers, MAG  
Anubhav Bagley, MAG

Jason Howard, MAG  
Peter Burnett, MAG  
Max Enterline, Phoenix  
Eric Morgan, Avondale

1. Call to Order

Chair Charlie McClendon called the meeting to order at 9:34 a.m.

2. Call to the Audience

There were no requests from the audience to address the MAG POPTAC Ad Hoc Subcommittee.

3. Approval of Minutes of November 2, 2010

It was moved by Thomas Ritz, seconded by Wahid Alam and unanimously recommended to approve the meeting minutes of November 2, 2010.

4. July 1, 2010 Maricopa County and Municipality Resident Population Updates and Methodology

Anubhav Bagley said the Arizona Department of Commerce (DOC) has prepared the July 1, 2010 resident population based on the Census 2010 results for April 1, 2010. He reported that these updates were developed by utilizing 2010 County level birth and death data and 2009 Municipality level birth and death data. Mr. Bagley also reported that MAG staff will create Municipality Population updates for July 1, 2010 utilizing 2010 Municipality level data when it becomes available in May.

#### 5. 2010 Census Data Review Activities

Anubhav Bagley reported that MAG staff will work with member agencies to assist in the review of the newly available 2010 Census results in preparation for the Count Question Resolution (CQR) program. Peter Burnett described the three types of challenges that can be made (boundary, geocoding, and coverage). Mr. Burnett described that the CQR program is not a process or mechanism to revise the population county sent to the President by December 31, 2010. Those counts are used to apportion the U.S. House of Representatives. The CQR program will begin accepting challenge submissions from governmental units starting June 1, 2011 and ending June 1, 2013. Mr. Burnett described MAG staff's efforts in maintaining databases to assist member agencies in analyzing Census data, including residential completions, apartments, mobile homes, and major group quarters facilities. Anubhav Bagley also solicited ideas on methods to review Census data from member agencies.

Jason Howard described attachment 2 and explained that due to geocoding differences that the City numbers may not match in 2000. Mr. Howard also explained and that there were some large differences in the number of housing units as reported by the Census compared to numbers compiled and reported by MAG. Anubhav Bagley speculated that we may not be getting complete demolition data from the cities as one explanation for the discrepancy. Wahid Alam expressed a concern that the Census used address data given to them by MAG and wondered how the numbers could be so far off if they were using what was given to them by MAG. Mr. Bagley clarified that MAG started with an address file given to MAG by the Census and MAG and member agencies made attempts to update that, and that now we do not have access to those data due to licensing agreements with the Census Bureau to go back and check what kinds of mistakes may have been made. Charlie McClendon asked for clarification that the Census found more housing units than we report, Mr. Bagley confirmed that. Wahid Alam asked about Census definitions of what constitutes a housing unit. Jami Garrison said she would contact the Census Bureau for clarification and report back to POPTAC. Eddie Lamprez offered that the City of Scottsdale plans to utilize water utility data to confirm numbers. Chris DePerro cautioned that some units, specifically "mother-in-law" type units, may not have separately metered up water sources.

The meeting adjourned at 10:04 am.