

MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS

INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review

DATE:

January 19, 2011

SUBJECT:

Discussion of the Development of the FY 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget

SUMMARY:

Each year, the MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget is developed in conjunction with member agency and public input. The Work Program is reviewed each year by the federal agencies in the spring and approved by the Regional Council in May.

Because of the continuing uncertainty of economic conditions, MAG staff is recommending that the calculation of draft Dues and Assessments for FY 2012 be maintained at the same level approved for fiscal years 2010 and 2011. A fifty-percent reduction to the dues and assessment total was first approved in the FY 2010 budget. The reductions in the Dues and Assessments for fiscal year 2012 costs would continue to be covered by MAG reserve funds. In the January 10 and February 14, 2005 MAG Regional Council Executive Committee meetings, the committee discussed that a minimum dues and assessments amount be set to cover some administrative costs of MAG committee meetings. The minimum amount of \$350 for MAG Dues and Assessments was recommended in the February 14th meeting to cover administrative costs associated with MAG membership. This minimum amount was adopted beginning with the FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget. The MAG draft Dues and Assessments for FY 2012 are presented with the minimum dues and assessments applied in Attachment A.

This overview of MAG's draft Dues and Assessments for FY 2012 (Attachment A) provides an opportunity for early input into the development of the FY 2012 Work Program and Budget. The draft Dues and Assessments documents are footnoted for your information.

- ◆ The population numbers used in the draft Dues and Assessments calculation are updated using the most recently approved population estimates for 2010 as indicated on the draft Dues and Assessments for FY 2012 in Attachment A. The Decennial Census population totals are expected no later than March 2011 and, upon approval, the Decennial Census population numbers will be used to calculate the FY 2012 draft Dues and Assessments.
- ◆ The information in the footnotes to the draft Dues and Assessments, (b), (c), (d), (e), (f), (g) and (h) remains the same from prior years and describes the calculations for the 9-1-1 Planning Assessment, the Homeless Prevention Assessment and the county portion of the population calculation, respectively.
- ◆ The draft Dues and Assessments increase each fiscal year is calculated using the average CPI-U from the prior calendar year. Because of the continuing uncertainty of economic conditions, MAG staff is proposing no overall increase in draft Dues and Assessments for FY

2012. The recommended overall total for the draft Dues and Assessments remains the same as fiscal years 2010 and 2011, with changes for individual members because of population shifts and, the application of minimum dues and assessments. The application of a minimum dues and assessments amount of \$350 affects four members and is discussed in footnote (d).

- ◆ The Homeless Prevention Assessment is only charged to those cities that are CDBG recipients with populations over 50,000 and to Maricopa County. For FY 2012, two additional city members, the City of Avondale and the City of Surprise, have been added to the allocation for this assessment.

A draft budget timeline is included for your review as Attachment B. The Webinar presentation of the draft budget is tentatively scheduled for Thursday, February 17, 2011 at 1:30 p.m. in the MAG Palo Verde Room.

PUBLIC INPUT:

No public comments have been received.

PROS & CONS:

PROS: MAG is providing the draft budget timeline and information on draft estimates for Fiscal Year 2012 Dues and Assessments.

CONS: None.

TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:

TECHNICAL: None.

POLICY: None.

ACTION NEEDED:

Information and input on the development of the fiscal year (FY) 2012 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget.

PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:

This item was on the January 18, 2011, Executive Committee agenda for information and input.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Mayor Thomas L. Schoaf, Litchfield Park,
Chair
Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe, Vice Chair
* Mayor Marie Lopez Rogers, Avondale

* Mayor Scott Smith, Mesa
Councilwoman Peggy Neely, Phoenix
Mayor Jim Lane, Scottsdale
Mayor Michael LeVault, Youngtown

* Those members not present.

Participated by telephone conference call.

This item was on the January 12, 2011, Management Committee agenda for information and input.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

- | | |
|--|--|
| Carl Swenson, Peoria, Chair | Bill Hernandez, Guadalupe |
| Jeff Kulaga for Charlie Meyer, Tempe | Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park |
| # George Hoffman, Apache Junction | Christopher Brady, Mesa |
| Charlie McClendon, Avondale | * Jim Bacon, Paradise Valley |
| Stephen Cleveland, Buckeye | Karen Peters for David Cavazos, Phoenix |
| Gary Neiss, Carefree | John Kross, Queen Creek |
| Wayne Anderson for Usama Abujbarah,
Cave Creek | * Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa
Indian Community |
| Rich Dlugas, Chandler | David Richert, Scottsdale |
| Spencer Isom, El Mirage | Michael Celaya for Mark Coronado, Surprise |
| Alfonso Rodriguez for Phil Dorchester,
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation | * Reyes Medrano, Tolleson |
| Julie Ghatti for Rick Davis, Fountain Hills | # Gary Edwards, Wickenburg |
| Rick Buss, Gila Bend | Lloyce Robinson, Youngtown |
| * David White, Gila River Indian Community | Steve Hull for John Halikowski, ADOT |
| Michelle Gramley for Collin DeWitt, Gilbert | Kenny Harris for David Smith, Maricopa Co. |
| Ed Beasley, Glendale | Bryan Jungwirth for David Boggs,
Valley Metro/RPTA |
| John Fischbach, Goodyear | |

* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

Participated by telephone conference call. + Participated by videoconference call.

CONTACT PERSON:

Rebecca Kimbrough, MAG Fiscal Services Manager, (602) 452-5051

Maricopa Association of Governments

Fiscal Year 2012

January 4, 2011

Draft Dues And Assessments - Minimum Dues Applied

Attachment A

Jurisdiction	FY 2012 Budget (a)	MAG Member Dues	Solid Waste Planning Assessment	Water Quality Planning Assessment	9-1-1 (b) Planning Assessment	Human Services Planning Assessment	Homeless (c) Prevention Assessment	Total (d)	Total	\$ Change from
	Population Totals							FY 2012 Estimated Dues & Assessments	FY 2011 Dues & Assessments	FY 2011 to 2012 Dues & Assessments
Apache Junction (f)	38,053	\$940	\$47	\$547	\$1,094	\$337		\$2,965	\$2,967	(\$2)
Avondale	81,671	\$2,017	\$100	\$1,174	\$2,348	\$723	\$652	\$7,014	\$6,023	\$991
Buckeye	52,315	\$1,291	\$64	\$752	\$1,504	\$463		\$4,074	\$4,132	(\$58)
Carefree (d)	3,968	\$139	\$5	\$57	\$114	\$35		\$350	\$350	\$0
Cave Creek	5,219	\$129	\$6	\$75	\$150	\$46		\$406	\$407	(\$1)
Chandler	246,172	\$6,078	\$302	\$3,540	\$7,077	\$2,178	\$1,964	\$21,139	\$21,264	(\$125)
El Mirage	33,566	\$829	\$41	\$483	\$965	\$297		\$2,615	\$2,633	(\$18)
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation (d) (h)	824	\$306	\$1	\$12	\$24	\$7		\$350	\$350	\$0
Fountain Hills	26,075	\$644	\$32	\$375	\$750	\$231		\$2,032	\$2,044	(\$12)
Gila Bend (d)	1,897	\$249	\$2	\$27	\$55	\$17		\$350	\$350	\$0
Gila River Indian Community (d) (h)	2,742	\$205	\$3	\$39	\$79	\$24		\$350	\$350	\$0
Gilbert	219,681	\$5,423	\$269	\$3,159	\$6,315	\$1,944	\$1,753	\$18,863	\$18,869	(\$6)
Glendale	248,683	\$6,139	\$305	\$3,576	\$7,149	\$2,201	\$1,984	\$21,354	\$21,619	(\$265)
Goodyear	65,178	\$1,609	\$80	\$937	\$1,874	\$577		\$5,077	\$4,850	\$227
Guadalupe	5,980	\$148	\$7	\$86	\$172	\$53		\$466	\$470	(\$4)
Litchfield Park	5,118	\$126	\$6	\$74	\$147	\$45		\$398	\$401	(\$3)
Maricopa County (e)	244,729	\$6,042	\$300	\$3,519	\$7,035	\$2,166	\$1,952	\$21,014	\$21,229	(\$215)
Mesa	462,133	\$11,410	\$566	\$6,645	\$13,283	\$4,089	\$3,687	\$39,680	\$40,002	(\$322)
Paradise Valley	14,761	\$365	\$18	\$212	\$424	\$131		\$1,150	\$1,151	(\$1)
Peoria (g)	159,076	\$3,927	\$195	\$2,287	\$4,573	\$1,408	\$1,269	\$13,659	\$13,767	(\$108)
Phoenix	1,579,162	\$38,985	\$1,934	\$22,707	\$45,414	\$13,974	\$12,599	\$90,199	\$91,090	(\$891)
Queen Creek (f)	25,892	\$639	\$32	\$372	\$744	\$229		\$2,016	\$1,991	\$25
Salt River Pima-Maricopa (h)	6,944	\$172	\$9	\$100	\$200	\$61		\$542	\$544	(\$2)
Scottsdale	243,960	\$6,023	\$299	\$3,508	\$7,013	\$2,159	\$1,946	\$20,948	\$21,124	(\$176)
Surprise	109,343	\$2,699	\$134	\$1,572	\$3,143	\$968	\$872	\$9,388	\$8,575	\$813
Tempe	178,567	\$4,409	\$219	\$2,568	\$5,133	\$1,580	\$1,425	\$15,334	\$15,166	\$168
Tolleson	6,913	\$171	\$8	\$99	\$199	\$61		\$538	\$543	(\$5)
Wickenburg	6,436	\$159	\$8	\$93	\$185	\$57		\$502	\$505	(\$3)
Youngtown	6,456	\$159	\$8	\$93	\$186	\$57		\$503	\$510	(\$7)
TOTALS	4,081,514	\$101,432	\$5,000	\$58,688	\$71,935	\$36,118	\$30,103	\$303,276	\$303,276	\$0
FY 2011 Total Costs Based on Population		\$101,432	\$5,000	\$58,688	\$71,935	\$36,118	\$30,103			
Per Capita Cost		\$0.02485	\$0.00123	\$0.01438	\$0.01762	\$0.00885	\$0.00738			

The annual Dues and Assessments are apportioned according to per capita populations. Dues and Assessments were reduced by 50% beginning in FY 2010 from the FY 2009 total Dues and Assessments amount. This 50% reduction of member Dues and Assessments was applied to FY 2011 and MAG is recommending that the FY2012 member Dues and Assessments continue to be reduced by 50% due to economic conditions. Changes in population account for the individual member differences between the FY 2011 and FY 2012 Dues and Assessments totals .

- (a) MAG July 1, 2010 Approved Population. These population updates are needed by the State Economic Estimates Commission by December 15th of each year and are provisional since they will be revised based on the Census 2010 results when these numbers become available. The Census 2010 results are expected no later than March 2011.
- (b) The 9-1-1 assessment is apportioned according to per capita populations excluding the City of Phoenix.
- (c) The Homeless Prevention assessment is only charged to cities who are CDBG recipients and have populations over 50,000 and to Maricopa County.
- (d) Total Dues and Assessments minimum at \$350 per member results in an overall increase for these members.
- (e) The Maricopa County portion of the dues and assessments includes the balance of the county, excluding Gila River Indian Community, the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation, and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community (except when calculating the Homeless Prevention assessment).
- (f) Maricopa and Pinal County portions.
- (g) Maricopa and Yavapai County portions.

Maricopa Association of Governments
Fiscal Year 2012
DRAFT January 4, 2011
Work Program and Annual Budget Proposed Timeline

Attachment B

<i>01/06/11</i>	<i>Thurs</i>	<i>Intergovernmental Meeting</i>
<i>01/12/11</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Regional Council Management Committee Meeting-dues/assessments; timeline</i>
<i>01/18/11</i>	<i>Tues</i>	<i>Regional Council Executive Committee Meeting-dues/assessments; timeline</i>
<i>01/26/11</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Regional Council-dues/assessments; timeline</i>
<i>02/03/11</i>	<i>Thurs</i>	<i>Intergovernmental Meeting</i>
<i>02/09/11</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Management Committee Meeting- present new projects; presentation of summary budget documents</i>
<i>02/14/11</i>	<i>Mon</i>	<i>Regional Council Executive Committee Meeting- present new projects; presentation of summary budget documents</i>
<i>02/17/11</i>	<i>Thurs</i>	<i>Budget Workshop-webinar 1:30 p.m. Palo Verde Room, 2nd Floor, MAG Building (tentative)</i>
<i>02/23/11</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Regional Council Meeting- present new projects; presentation of summary budget documents</i>
<i>03/03/11</i>	<i>Thurs</i>	<i>Intergovernmental Meeting</i>
<i>03/09/11</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Management Committee Meeting- information and review of draft budget documents</i>
<i>03/21/11</i>	<i>Mon</i>	<i>Regional Council Executive Committee Meeting- information and review of draft budget documents</i>
<i>03/30/11</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Regional Council Meeting- information and review of draft budget documents</i>
<i>04/07/11</i>	<i>Thurs</i>	<i>Intergovernmental Meeting</i>
<i>04/13/11</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Management Committee Meeting- information and review of draft budget documents</i>
<i>04/18/11</i>	<i>Mon</i>	<i>Regional Council Executive Committee Meeting- information and review of draft budget documents</i>
<i>04/27/11</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Regional Council Meeting- information and review of draft budget documents</i>
April		<i>Changes in draft budget projects and/or any changes in budgeted staff will be brought to the Executive Committee, Management Committee and Regional Council in their April meetings if needed (TBD)</i>
April		<i>IPG meeting with FHWA, FTA, ADOT and others (TBD)</i>
<i>05/05/11</i>	<i>Thurs</i>	<i>Intergovernmental Meeting</i>
<i>05/11/11</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Management Committee meeting - present draft Budget for recommendation of approval</i>
<i>05/16/11</i>	<i>Mon</i>	<i>Regional Council Executive Committee meeting - present draft Budget for recommendation of approval</i>
<i>05/25/11</i>	<i>Wed</i>	<i>Regional Council meeting - present draft Budget for approval</i>