



## ADDENDUM #1

### 2017 Regional Transit Framework Study Update July 15, 2016

Questions regarding the Request for Proposals for the 2017 Regional Transit Framework Study Update were accepted through July 11, 2016. Questions received along with responses are outlined below.

Proposals are being accepted until 1:30 p.m. MST, July 25, 2016.

**Question 1: For Task 1, can you provide more information on the level and types of stakeholder involvement that is desired? For example, should the focus be mostly on transportation providers and other transportation-related parties, and should engagement with the general public be broad-based or more limited.**

Response: As noted in the RFP, this update is intended to build upon recent work by MAG and its partners and is focused on a technical update of the 2010 document. Engagement efforts will principally focus with our member agencies and partners to assist with technical analysis and build consensus to formalize the regions high-capacity transit system. Because this is a technical study update, expectations for public involvement activities are minimal (e.g., website updates, summary media, etc.) However, responding firms are encouraged to be creative in developing a sound analytical approach which achieves the goals for this project; proposals should detail the approach and activities the proposer believes will best deliver this project to a successful conclusion.

**Question 2: Also, is it anticipated that the public/stakeholder outreach process would/should be used to gauge appetite for a future Prop 500-like tax proposal.**

Response: No.

**Question 3: In Task 3, with respect to market triggers, you list “increase in banking interest rates.” Can you provide additional information on what you are looking for in this area?**

Response: In the spirit the FAST Act, MAG seeks to deliver planning projects that can respond to a rapidly changing, uncertain future. In doing so, testing a base scenario against various market triggers can assist in defining what high-capacity transit investments promote regional resiliency. “Increase in banking interest rates”, along with the other triggers noted within the RFP, were examples of potential market triggers that could be considered. As an illustration, would the increase in bank interest rates impact the ability for agencies to implement investments or would modal choice change in response to energy prices—and how the base scenario perform under such conditions? Responding firms are encouraged to offer insights on the most meaningful considerations for which to test the base scenario against.

**Question 4: Within the overall page limit, can we provide links to work products from other projects to illustrate examples?**

Response: Certainly. Proposers may provide simplified/short URLs tandem to a project description and the information specified as part of the RFP (see: page 5, #8 “Recent Examples”, items a through e).

**Question 5: Our company provides services for multimodal/transportation planning. Our firm is not offering engineering services and planning is not a board regulated profession. Can we still submit a proposal if we are not registered with the AZ State Board of Technical Registration?**

Response: Yes.