



# MAG Transit Committee

Regional Transit Survey, Item #5

January 19, 2016

# TIP Development Schedule

- ❑ **November & December 2015:** No Meeting
- ❑ **January 19:** Final Survey Results
- ❑ **February 16:** Review funding strategies/programming priorities
- ❑ **March 8: Regionally Significant Facilities Schedules Defined**
- ❑ **March 15:** Prioritize projects
- ❑ **April 19:** Review draft POP/TLCP funded projects
- ❑ **May 17:** Recommend approval of draft POP & draft FY 2017-2021 Transportation Improvement Program
  
- ❑ **June 6 or 7, 2016:** Public hearing on the Draft FY2017-2021 TIP, POP, and AQCA
- ❑ **June 22, 2016 RC:** TIP, AQCA, and POP approval expected.

# Regional Transit Survey

- Gain perspective on regional & local needs
- **Categorize Needs & Priorities**
  - **Short-Term**
    - Immediate Implementation
  - **Mid-Term**
    - Identify Regional Process (as needed)
  - **Long-Term & Beyond**
    - Long Range Transit Plan Update
    - Next RTP (new revenues)
    - TIGER or other State/National Competitive

# Assumptions

- Not all needs/requests were submitted
- Not all projects/needs can be funded with current revenues**

# Cost Estimates by Category

Category	Approximate Cost	FY2016-17	FY 2018-2019	FY 2020-2021	FY 2022-Beyond	Recommended Process
		Short	Medium	Long Term	New TIP/RTP	
Bus Capital (1)	\$ 153,561,179					
Bus Pullouts (2)	\$ 8,249,797					
Bus stop manager (O&M) (6)	Cost unknown					
Bus Stops (3)	\$ 8,127,800					
CNG Infrastructure	\$ 7,000,000					
DAR notification system (6)	\$ 44,650					
Data Analytics/Warehouse (6)	\$ 1,000,000					
Fare Collection System (6)	\$ 30,592,000					
Hastus Upgrade (6)	\$ 1,500,000					
On Board DVR (6)	\$ 4,480,000					
On Board Headsign (6)	\$ 2,560,000					
Operating/JARC (4)	\$ 45,266,000					
New Park and Ride	\$ 12,000,000					
Park and Ride Expansion	\$ 677,593					
Rail Extension	Cost unknown					
Rail Vehicles Expansion	\$ 20,000,000					
<b>Sum of Responses</b>	<b>\$ 295,059,019</b>					

(1) Includes survey responses from Scottsdale, Tempe, Phoenix, and vehicles identified in the SRTP.

(2) Includes survey responses from Buckeye and Tempe.

(3) Includes survey responses from Buckeye, Tempe, Chandler, Gilbert, Scottsdale, and Phoenix

(4) Includes survey responses from Buckeye, Tempe, Scottsdale, Surprise, Goodyear, and all service identified in the SRTP. Eligibility of all projects/routes have not been verified. May include overlapping requests between SRTP and member agencies.

(5) Includes survey responses from Buckeye and Goodyear

(6) Projects that have direct regional impact.



# QUESTIONS COMMENTS AND DISCUSSION

## MAG Programming

602-254-6300

Alice Chen, Transit Planner [achen@azmag.gov](mailto:achen@azmag.gov)

Teri Kennedy, TIP Manger [tkennedy@azmag.gov](mailto:tkennedy@azmag.gov)