



**MARICOPA  
ASSOCIATION of  
GOVERNMENTS**

302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300 ▲ Phoenix, Arizona 85003  
Phone (602) 254-6300 ▲ FAX (602) 254-6490  
E-mail: [mag@mag.maricopa.gov](mailto:mag@mag.maricopa.gov) ▲ Web site: [www.mag.maricopa.gov](http://www.mag.maricopa.gov)

July 5, 2006

TO: Members of the MAG Management Committee

FROM: Ed Beasley, Glendale, Chair

SUBJECT: MEETING NOTIFICATION AND TRANSMITTAL OF TENTATIVE AGENDA

Wednesday, July 12, 2006 - noon to 1:00 p.m. (Meeting will begin promptly at noon)  
MAG Office, Suite 200 - Saguaro Room  
302 North 1<sup>st</sup> Avenue, Phoenix

The next Management Committee meeting will be held at the MAG offices at the time and place noted above. Members of the Management Committee may attend the meeting either in person, by videoconference or by telephone conference call. The agenda and summaries are being transmitted to the members of the Regional Council to foster increased dialogue regarding the agenda items between members of the Management Committee and Regional Council. You are encouraged to review the supporting information enclosed. Lunch will be provided at a nominal cost.

Please park in the garage under the building, bring your ticket, parking will be validated. For those using transit, Valley Metro/RPTA will provide transit tickets for your trip. For those using bicycles, please lock your bicycle in the bike rack in the garage.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting Valerie Day at the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

Members are reminded of the importance of attendance by yourself or a proxy. Any time that a quorum is not present, we cannot conduct the meeting. Please set aside sufficient time for the meeting, and for all matters to be reviewed and acted upon by the Management Committee. Your presence and vote count.

A Voluntary Association of Local Governments in Maricopa County

**MAG MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE  
TENTATIVE AGENDA  
July 12, 2006**

**COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED**

1. Call to Order
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Call to the Audience

An opportunity is provided to the public to address the Management Committee on items that are not on the agenda that are within the jurisdiction of MAG, or non-action agenda items that are on the agenda for discussion or information only. Citizens will be requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for the Call to the Audience agenda item, unless the Management Committee requests an exception to this limit. Please note that those wishing to comment on agenda items posted for action will be provided the opportunity at the time the item is heard.

Approval of Consent Agenda

Prior to action on the consent agenda, members of the audience will be provided an opportunity to comment on consent items that are being presented for action. Following the comment period, Committee members may request that an item be removed from the consent agenda. Consent items are marked with an asterisk (\*).

3. Information.

4. Recommend approval of the Consent Agenda.

**ITEMS PROPOSED FOR CONSENT\***

- \*4A. Approval of June 14, 2006 Meeting Minutes
- \*4B. Draft FY 2008-2012 MAG Transportation Improvement Program Guidance Report

MAG is starting the process to develop the FY 2008-2012 Transportation Improvement Program. This TIP is tentatively targeted for approval in July 2007. The first step in the TIP process is the distribution of the TIP Guidance Report (TGR), which has been developed to act as a guide to decision makers to facilitate

4A. Review and approve the June 14, 2006 meeting minutes.

4B. Recommend acceptance of the Draft FY 2008-2012 MAG TIP Guidance Report.

programming of transportation projects in the region. Most of the technical information provided by the regional management systems on safety, bridge conditions, transit vehicle needs, intermodal projects, and congestion levels has been updated where appropriate. Information is also provided on air quality conditions, Title VI and Environmental Justice factors and congestion management strategies. The TGR also contains the application forms for MAG Federal funds and represents the formal request for projects for addition to the FY 2008-2012 MAG TIP. On June 29, 2006, the MAG Transportation Review Committee reviewed the TGR. Please refer to the enclosed material.

\*4C. Finding of Conformity for the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and Draft Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update

The Draft 2006 Conformity Analysis concludes that the Draft Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the Draft Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update meet all applicable federal conformity requirements and are in conformance with applicable air quality plans. On June 15, 2006, a public hearing was conducted on the Draft TIP, Draft Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update, and Draft Conformity Analysis. On June 29, 2006, the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee recommended approval of the Draft 2006 MAG Conformity Analysis for the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG TIP and Draft 2006 Update. Approval of the conformity finding by the Regional Council is required for MAG adoption of the TIP and 2006 Update. Please refer to the enclosed material.

\*4D. Approval of the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program

Each year MAG updates the Five Year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), primarily by adding a fifth year. All federally funded projects and regionally significant transportation projects (including city and privately funded projects) must be included in the Draft TIP for the purpose of meeting the air quality conformity

4C. Recommend approval of the Finding of Conformity for the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and Draft MAG Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update.

4D. Recommend approval of the Draft FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program, together with the requested ADOT material cost increases, contingent upon a finding of conformity of the TIP and Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update with applicable state and federal air quality implementation plans.

analysis requirements. In April 2006, the Draft FY 2007-2011 TIP was approved by Regional Council to undergo this analysis, which is now complete. A copy of the necessary documents was made available prior to a public hearing scheduled earlier this month. Recent minor changes that do not affect the air quality conformity analysis on the Draft TIP are listed separately in the attached Errata Sheet 07-6, and on Errata Sheet 07-7. On June 29, 2006, the MAG Transportation Review Committee recommended approval, contingent upon a finding of air quality conformity. Please refer to the enclosed material.

\*4E. Approval of the Draft MAG Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update

As part of the ongoing regional transportation planning process, a Draft MAG Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) - 2006 Update has been prepared. The Draft RTP - 2006 Update was approved by the Regional Council for air quality conformity analysis on April 26, 2006. The major new items in the 2006 Update are revised revenue estimates, and inclusion of the life cycle programs for freeways/highways, arterial streets, and transit. The errata sheets included with the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) agenda item also apply to the 2006 Update. A technical conformity analysis was performed on the Draft RTP - 2006 Update and Draft TIP and demonstrated that they meet all air quality conformity requirements. On June 15, 2006, a public hearing was conducted on the Draft RTP - 2006 Update, the Draft FY 2007-2011 TIP, and the Air Quality Conformity Analysis. On June 29, 2006, the MAG Transportation Review Committee recommended approval of the Draft 2006 Update, contingent upon a finding of air quality conformity. Please refer to the enclosed material.

\*4F. Federal Fiscal Year 2006 MAG Federal Funds Final Closeout and Amendment/Adjustments to the FY 2006-2010 MAG Transportation Improvement Program

4E. Recommend approval of the Draft MAG Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update, contingent upon a finding of conformity of the RTP - 2006 Update and TIP with applicable state and federal air quality implementation plans.

4F. Recommend approval of the final closeout of Federal FY 2006, and recommend amending/adjusting the FY 2006-2010 MAG TIP to allow the projects to proceed.

On April 26, 2006, the MAG Regional Council approved the deferral of 19 projects, totaling almost \$11.2 million, from FFY 2006 to 2007 and, on June 28, 2006, the Regional Council approved the deferral of two more projects for a combined total of \$12.1 million. At the same meeting, the Council approved a list of projects to utilize the funds available and two further contingency projects, totaling \$1.3 million for any additional, supplemental or redistributed Obligation Authority (OA) that may become available. Since that time, one additional project, totaling \$800,000 has requested to be deferred, which effectively reduced the list of contingency projects to only \$500,000. On June 29, 2006, the Transportation Review Committee recommended an additional list of projects for any further additional, supplemental or redistributed OA that may become available during the remaining months of the federal fiscal year. Please refer to the enclosed material.

\*4G. Enhancement Funds Working Group Round XIV Recommendations

The Enhancement Funds Working Group was formed by the MAG Regional Council in April of 1993 to review and recommend a ranked list of Enhancement Fund applications from this region to the State Transportation Enhancement Review Committee (TERC). This year, 14 enhancement fund applications for local funds were received totaling \$5,867,895 with approximately \$8 million available statewide. Two applications for state funds were received totaling \$1,132,494 with approximately \$4 million available statewide. The Working Group recommends that the attached ranked applications be forwarded to the Arizona Department of Transportation for consideration by the TERC. Please refer to the enclosed material.

\*4H. Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility

Maricopa County has requested that MAG amend the 208 Water Quality Management Plan to include the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility

4G. Recommend that the ranked applications from the MAG Enhancement Funds Working Group be forwarded to the Arizona Department of Transportation for consideration by the State Transportation Enhancement Review Committee.

4H. Recommend approval of the Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility.

with an ultimate capacity of 15 million gallons per day. Reclaimed water from the facility would be disposed of through reuse, recharge, and an Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit discharge to the adjacent wash (Section 30 of Township 2 North, Range 6 West, as identified in the Palo Verde Watershed Zone A Flood Delineation Study). The discharge point would be located along the northeast edge of the facility site, near the confluence of the adjacent wash and Winters Wash. A public hearing on the draft amendment was conducted on June 27, 2006. Following the hearing, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee recommended approval of the Draft 208 Plan Amendment. Written comments, the public hearing transcript, and the response by Maricopa County to public comments will be transmitted under a separate cover memorandum. Please refer to the enclosed material.

- \*4l. Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 4 Regional Water Reclamation Facility

The City of Surprise has requested that MAG amend the 208 Water Quality Management Plan to include the Special Planning Area 4 Regional Water Reclamation Facility with an ultimate capacity of eight million gallons per day. Reclaimed water from the facility would be disposed of through reuse, recharge, and potential future Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit discharge points to the Agua Fria River or unnamed washes located south of the facility and west of the Agua Fria River (northwest quarter of Section 28 of Township 5 North, Range 2 West). The towns of Buckeye and Wickenburg, City of Peoria, and unincorporated Maricopa County are within three miles of the project, and all have indicated no objections. A public hearing on the draft amendment was conducted on June 27, 2006. Following the hearing, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee unanimously recommended approval of the Draft 208 Plan Amendment. Please refer to the enclosed material.

- 4l. Recommend approval of the Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 4 Regional Water Reclamation Facility.

\*4J. Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 5 Regional Water Reclamation Facility

The City of Surprise has requested that MAG amend the 208 Water Quality Management Plan to include the Special Planning Area 5 Regional Water Reclamation Facility with an ultimate capacity of eight million gallons per day. Reclaimed water from the facility would be disposed of through reuse, recharge, and potential future Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit discharge points to the Hassayampa River, Trilby Wash, or an unnamed wash east of the facility (southwest quarter of Section 36 of Township 5 North, Range 3 West). The towns of Buckeye and Wickenburg and unincorporated Maricopa County are within three miles of the project, and all have indicated no objections. A public hearing on the draft amendment was conducted on June 27, 2006. Following the hearing, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee unanimously recommended approval of the Draft 208 Plan Amendment. Please refer to the enclosed material.

\*4K. 2005 Census Survey Update

The final results of the 2005 Census Survey for Maricopa County, Arizona, were transmitted to member agencies on June 26, 2006. These final population numbers will be used for the distribution of state shared revenues from July 1, 2006 to June 30, 2011. The final numbers have also been transmitted to the Director of the Department of Revenue, the Director of the Department of Transportation and the State Treasurer. The final population numbers supersede the preliminary numbers transmitted in March. Please refer to the enclosed material.

4J. Recommend approval of the Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 5 Regional Water Reclamation Facility.

4K. Information and discussion.

**ITEMS PROPOSED TO BE HEARD**

5. FY 2006 MAG Final Phase Public Input Opportunity

The Final Phase input opportunity gives members of the public a final opportunity to provide

5. Recommend acceptance of the Draft FY 2006 MAG Final Phase Public Input Opportunity Report.

comment on MAG transportation plans and programs. As part of this Final Phase input opportunity, MAG co-sponsored several public input opportunities in May and June 2006 with the Arizona Department of Transportation, Valley Metro and Valley Metro Rail. A Final Phase Transportation Open House and Public Hearing was held June 15, 2006 to provide information and receive comment on the Draft FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), the Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update and Draft 2006 MAG Conformity Analysis. Comments received during these input opportunities and staff responses to comments are included in the FY 2006 Final Phase Input Opportunity Report. This item will be heard prior to consideration of the Consent Agenda. Please refer to the enclosed material.

6. Heat Relief Planning

In the summer of 2005, more than 30 people died of heat-related causes in the MAG region. A number of these people were homeless. Over the past year, many heat-relief efforts have been put in place to prevent people from dying this summer from heat-related illnesses. Maricopa County, the City of Phoenix and the Department of Health Services have developed heat emergency plans and the community has come together to provide services and resources. In order to help coordinate communication and raise awareness, the MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness is developing two maps that show all available resources and services. This item is being presented to inform the Committee of the plans in place and to gather information about any other efforts. Please refer to the enclosed material.

7. River of Trade Corridor Coalition

On June 16, 2006, the Chairman of the River of Trade Corridor Coalition (ROTCC) from Dallas, Texas, met with MAG staff and the incoming MAG Chair to discuss the ROTCC's mission and history and invite the Maricopa Association of Governments to become a member of the

6. Information and discussion.

7. Information, discussion, and possible action to recommend approval of participating in the River of Trade Corridor Coalition.

ROTCC. The ROTCC was created in 2004 to unite cities, counties, businesses and other transportation stakeholders along heavily traveled freeways and highways. The "River of Trade" freeway starts at Interstate 10 in Los Angeles and continues through Arizona and New Mexico before heading north at Dallas, Texas and ending in Ontario, Canada. The organization's goal is to examine ways to alleviate congestion while protecting and expanding the economic vitality of current roadways. Currently, the ROTCC includes more than 200 members spanning across nine states. A presentation by the ROTCC will be given at the meeting. Please refer to the enclosed material.

8. Arizona Centennial Celebration Update

In 2012, Arizona will celebrate its 100<sup>th</sup> anniversary of statehood. The Arizona Historical Advisory Commission is authorized by state statute to develop a centennial plan that includes funding activities and projects that will ensure a lasting legacy of accomplishments to commemorate the centennial. In the recent legislative session, HB 2870 was passed. This legislation authorized \$2.5 million for a statewide plan, activities and projects relating to the centennial celebration. The legislation required a matching amount of \$5 million from sources other than the state. An update on centennial activities will be provided to the members of the Management Committee.

9. Legislative Update

An update will be provided on legislative issues of interest.

10. Comments from the Committee

An opportunity will be provided for Management Committee members to present a brief summary of current events. The Management Committee is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action at the meeting on any matter in the summary, unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

8. Information and discussion.

9. Information and discussion.

10. Information.

MINUTES OF THE  
MAG MANAGEMENT COMMITTEE MEETING  
June 14, 2006  
MAG Office Building - Saguaro Room  
Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS ATTENDING

Ed Beasley, Glendale, Chair	Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park
Jan Dolan, Scottsdale, Vice Chair	Christopher Brady, Mesa
# George Hoffman, Apache Junction	Tom Martinsen, Paradise Valley
Charlie McClendon, Avondale	Carl Swenson for Terry Ellis, Peoria
Carroll Reynolds, Buckeye	Frank Fairbanks, Phoenix
* Jon Pearson, Carefree	John Kross, Queen Creek
Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek	* Bryan Meyers, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
Patrice Kraus, Chandler	Doug Sandstrom for Jim Rumpeltes, Surprise
* B.J. Cornwall, El Mirage	Amber Wakeman for Will Manley, Tempe
* Orlando Moreno, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation	John P. Lopez for Reyes Medrano, Tolleson
Tim Pickering, Fountain Hills	+ Shane Dille, Wickenburg
* Lynn Farmer, Gila Bend	* Vince Micallef, Youngtown
Joseph Manuel, Gila River Indian Community	John Pein for Victor Mendez, ADOT
George Pettit, Gilbert	Mike Sabatini for David Smith, Maricopa County
Stephen Cleveland, Goodyear	David Boggs, Valley Metro/RPTA
Mark Johnson, Guadalupe	

- \* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- # Participated by telephone conference call.
- + Participated by videoconference call.

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order by Chair Ed Beasley at 12:10 p.m.

2. Pledge of Allegiance

Chair Beasley asked all to stand and recite the Pledge of Allegiance and honor the flag of the United States on Flag Day.

Chair Beasley announced that Shane Dille was attending via videoconference, and George Hoffman was attending via teleconference. He introduced the Interim Town Manager for Queen Creek, John Kross.

Chair Beasley stated that transit tickets were available from Valley Metro/RPTA for those using transit to come to the meeting. Parking validation was available from MAG staff for those who parked in the parking garage.

Chair Beasley stated that for agenda item #4I, the Social Service Block Grant Revised Allocation recommendations were at each place. Chair Beasley noted that for agenda items #4F and #5, the backup materials for these two items were inadvertently switched in the agenda packet.

3. Call to the Audience

Chair Beasley stated that Call to the Audience provides an opportunity to the public to address the Management Committee on items that are not on the agenda that are within the jurisdiction of MAG, or non-action agenda items that are on the agenda for discussion or information only. Chair Beasley noted that those wishing to comment on agenda items posted for action will be provided the opportunity at the time the item is heard. Public comments have a three minute time limit and there is a timer to help the public with their presentations. Chair Beasley stated that for members of the audience who wish to speak, comment cards were available from the staff.

Chair Beasley noted that no public comment cards had been turned in.

4. Approval of Consent Agenda

Chair Beasley stated that public comment would be heard before action was taken on the consent items. Each speaker is provided with a total of three minutes to comment on the consent agenda. After hearing public comments, any member of the Committee can request that an item be removed from the consent agenda and considered individually. Chair Beasley stated that agenda items #4A, #4B, #4C, #4D, #4E, #4F, #4G, #4H, #4I, #4J, #4K, and #4L were on the consent agenda. There were no requests to hear an item individually.

Mr. McClendon moved to recommend approval of the consent agenda. Mr. Pettit seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

4A. Approval of May 10, 2006 Meeting Minutes

The Management Committee, by consent, approved the May 10, 2006 meeting minutes.

4B. Consultant Selection for the MAG Regional Traffic Volume Survey

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the selection of United Civil Group Corporation to conduct the MAG Regional Traffic Volume Survey for an amount not to exceed \$109,915. The FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes funding to conduct traffic counts for arterials in the MAG urbanized area. MAG has produced traffic count maps every two to four years since 1974. The previous map was produced using 2002 counts. A Request for Proposals was advertised to perform traffic counts to assist in the creation of a 2006 map and three proposals were received in response. A multi-jurisdictional review team evaluated the proposals and recommended to MAG that United

Civil Group Corporation be selected to conduct the Regional Traffic Volume Survey for an amount not to exceed \$109,915.

4C. Consultant Contract for Arizona Socioeconomic Modeling, Analysis and Reporting Toolbox (AZ-SMART)

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval to enter into contract negotiations with University of Washington to create AZ-SMART for an amount not to exceed \$275,000. The FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget contains a work element that includes the enhancement of existing MAG socioeconomic models. The objective of this project is to ensure premier modeling activities at MAG through the development of the Arizona Socioeconomic Modeling, Analysis and Reporting Toolbox (AZ-SMART) modeling suite. A Request for Qualifications was advertised and three statements of qualifications were received. A multi-agency evaluation team reviewed the responses and recommended to MAG that the University of Washington undertake the development of AZ-SMART for an amount not to exceed \$275,000. To develop the toolbox, the Pima Association of Governments is contributing \$75,000 for the project.

4D. Consultant Selection for the MAG Internal Truck Travel Survey and Truck Model Development Project

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the selection of Cambridge Systematics, Inc., to conduct the Internal Truck Travel Survey and Truck Model Development project for an amount not to exceed \$350,000. The FY 2006 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget includes funding to conduct an internal truck travel survey, with associated travel demand model improvements. A Request for Proposals was advertised and three responses were received. On May 19, 2006, a multi-agency evaluation team reviewed the proposals and recommended to MAG that Cambridge Systematics, Inc. be selected to complete the Internal Truck Travel Survey and Truck Model Development project for an amount not to exceed \$350,000.

4E. Conformity Consultation

The Maricopa Association of Governments is conducting consultation on a conformity assessment for an amendment to the FY 2006-2010 MAG Transportation Improvement Program. The proposed amendment includes changes to existing projects in the TIP as part of the Federal FY 2006 Interim Year End Closeout. In addition, the Arizona Department of Transportation has requested that a new Quiet Pavement (rubberized asphalt) project be added to the FY 2006 program. The amendment includes minor project revisions that do not require a conformity determination. Comments on the conformity assessment are requested by June 23, 2006. This item was on the agenda for consultation.

4F. Changes to the Approved January 25, 2006 Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP) Policies and Procedures

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the proposed changes to the previously approved January 25, 2006 ALCP Policies and Procedures. A number of technical

corrections need to be made to the ALCP Policies and Procedures. The proposed changes have been discussed with MAG member agencies at an ALCP Working Group meeting, which was held on April 25, 2006. On May 25, 2006, the MAG Transportation Review Committee recommended approval of the proposed changes.

4G. ADOT Request for a Quiet Pavement Project

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval that a previously deferred Quiet Pavement project be initiated in FY 2006 in the amount of \$9.3 million. The Arizona Department of Transportation has requested that a previously deferred Quiet Pavement project (rubberized asphalt) be added to the FY 2006 program. The project would combine \$4.1 million of FY 2006 funds with \$5.2 million of funds that are programmed for the Quiet Pavement program in FY 2007. The FY 2007 funds were originally programmed for FY 2006, but were moved to the next fiscal year to balance the program cash flow. Due to the delay of two months in the advertisement of the construction bid for the Jomax Road/Dixileta interchange at I-17 due to a right of way acquisition issue, these funds are now available this fiscal year. This change would allow the design work for the rubberized asphalt paving of I-10 from 67th Avenue to Dysart Road to move forward this fiscal year so that the paving could begin during the fall of 2006. This item is on the June 14, 2006 Management Committee agenda for action.

4H. Designation of Recipient for Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) and New Freedom Funds

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval that the City of Phoenix be recommended by ADOT to the Governor's Office as the designated recipient for JARC and New Freedom funds for the region. On May 11, 2006, MAG received a formal request from the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) to recommend the City of Phoenix be designated by the Governor as the recipient of Job Access and Reverse Commute (JARC) and New Freedom funds for the region. ADOT needs to recommend a recipient to the Governor in order for these funds to be drawn down for FY 2006. This action is required by new SAFETEA-LU regulations. The City of Phoenix is the current recipient of JARC funds and has requested to continue this responsibility. This memorandum was presented to inform the committee of this request and to request that the City of Phoenix be recommended to the Governor's Office as the recipient of JARC and New Freedom funds. On May 25, 2006, the Transportation Review Committee recommended approval of this item.

4I. Social Service Block Grant Revised Allocation Recommendations

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the revised allocation recommendations for the Social Service Block Grant FY 2007. In May 2006, the Arizona Department of Economic Security (DES) notified MAG that the Department of Health and Human Services is requiring revised allocation recommendations reflecting a 19.722 percent cut proposed at the federal level. The Social Service Block Grant Program (SSBG) currently provides more than \$4 million to non-profit agencies in this region. The funds support programs assisting people in four target groups, adults, families and children; elderly, persons with disabilities and persons with developmental disabilities. While DES contracts directly with agencies to make these services available, the allocation recommendations are made by MAG.

In response to the requirement for a revised plan, on June 8, 2006, the MAG Human Services Technical Committee met to develop recommendations for how the proposed reduction in funding should be applied to the four target groups and services. The Committee's recommendations were provided to the Management Committee.

4J. Elderly Mobility Sign Project

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the Elderly Mobility Sign Project and to exchange MAG federal funds for City of Phoenix funds to expedite the implementation of the project. MAG currently has a federally funded project totaling \$400,000 in the FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Program and Annual Budget to fund Elderly Mobility Signage. This project, recommended by the Elderly Mobility Stakeholders, the MAG Safety Committee and the Transportation Review Committee, will provide funding for local jurisdictions to implement a street sign project according to the Federal Highway Administration Guidelines and Recommendations to Accommodate Older Drivers and Pedestrians. Funding is available for the production costs of the signs, including materials, extra posts, mounting brackets, and costs for Clearview font software. Funds are not provided for any installation costs. There will be a two-year time frame for cities and towns to complete the installation of the signs and participate in an evaluation of the efforts of this project. The MAG federal funds for this project will be exchanged for City of Phoenix funds to expedite the implementation of the project.

4K. 2005 Census Survey Update

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the additional 2005 Census Survey costs for a total estimated cost of \$8.1 million. On May 24, 2006, the fieldwork to verify data collected in the 2005 Census Survey was completed. Since all the data have been collected, it is now being processed, reviewed and analyzed. It is anticipated that the Census Bureau will issue a final set of numbers by June 30, 2006. In a February 2006 memorandum to the Management Committee and Regional Council, it was noted that in addition to the original census cost estimate of \$7.5 million, additional costs of \$600,000 were incurred for the local census office, media campaign and a Census Bureau cost increase. The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) has agreed that MAG FHWA funds may be used for 50 percent of the additional costs. It is anticipated that the total costs for the 2005 Census Survey will not exceed the total estimated cost of \$8.1 million. Final cost allocation will be based upon the 2005 Census Survey final results. The MAG Census Survey Oversight Subcommittee recommended approval of the additional costs.

4L. Federal Fiscal Year 2006 MAG Federal Funds Interim Closeout and Amendments/Adjustments to the FY 2006-2010 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and FY 2006 and FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Programs and Annual Budgets

The Management Committee, by consent, recommended approval of the interim closeout of Federal FY 2006, as shown in the attached Tables and recommended amending/adjusting the FY 2006-2010 MAG TIP and the FY 2006 and FY 2007 MAG Unified Planning Work Programs and Annual Budgets to allow the projects to proceed. In April 2006, the Regional Council approved the deferral of 18 projects, totaling \$11.2 million. Since that time, two additional

projects have been requested to be deferred. The deficit of funding at the beginning of the year has been increased due to two rescissions of federal funds. With the deferrals included, in this phase of the closeout process, approximately \$3.3 million is available for the interim closeout, plus a possible \$1 million in redistributed Obligation Authority. Approximately \$12.4 million in project requests have been received for the funds available. To utilize the available funds, on May 25, 2006, the TRC recommended nine projects, totaling \$3.2 million, plus an additional \$1.4 million in contingency projects if any further funds become available or if any projects unexpectedly drop out. The Transportation Review Committee recommended approving the interim closeout of Federal FY 2006.

5. Draft FY 2007 Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP)

Eileen O'Connell stated that as part of the Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP) process, Lead Agencies are required to update ALCP Project information at least once a year. While developing the Draft FY 2007 ALCP, participating Lead Agencies have submitted project information for all ALCP Projects following the process and deadlines that were set for the FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), and the RTP 2006 Update. Ms. O'Connell noted that the ALCP meets with air quality conformity. Chair Beasley thanked Ms. O'Connell for her report and asked members if there were any questions. No questions from the Committee were noted.

Mr. Pettit moved to recommend approval of the Draft FY 2007 Arterial Life Cycle Program (ALCP). Mr. Cleveland seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

6. Election of Officers

Each June, the positions of Chair and Vice Chair are elected by the Management Committee.

Mr. Pettit moved to return the current Chair, Ed Beasley, and Vice Chair, Jan Dolan, for 2006-2007. Mr. Cleveland seconded, and the motion carried unanimously.

7. Legislative Update

Matt Clark provided an update on legislative issues of interest. Mr. Clark stated that the Senate and House leadership have agreed on a budget and it is hoped that an agreement with the Governor will be reached in the next week or two.

Mr. Clark stated that the State Transportation Acceleration Needs (STAN) account of more than \$300 million, is included in the budget. The Maricopa County region will receive 60 percent of the fund, the Pima County area 16 percent, and the remainder of the state 24 percent. Mr. Clark noted that the funds are to be used to accelerate current highways and freeways, and does not include transit. The idea is to supplement, not supplant, existing funding.

Mr. Clark stated that funding for the travel reduction programs is included in the budget. He stated that there is a tentative agreement that HCR 2001 will pass. This would place a measure on the ballot that would allow cities to increase bonding capacity from six percent to 20 percent.

8. Comments from the Committee

An opportunity will be provided for Management Committee members to present a brief summary of current events. The Management Committee is not allowed to propose, discuss, deliberate or take action at the meeting on any matter in the summary, unless the specific matter is properly noticed for legal action.

Ms. Kraus commented that Dennis Smith had done an excellent job on his presentation to the group who attended the Transportation Summit, which was hosted by the Arizona Chamber of Commerce. Vice Chair Dolan requested that Mr. Smith provide an update on the Summit.

Mr. Smith stated that the MAG Region has an approved Regional Transportation Plan. He said the Arizona COG Directors recently met in Casa Grande to discuss growth statewide and what was needed to meet that growth. Mr. Smith stated that their discussion will continue at the League of Arizona Cities and Towns Conference in Tucson in August. Mr. Smith stated that the Summit looked at accelerating freeway projects. He commented that he indicated to attendees that the MAG plan is a multimodal plan. Mr. Smith noted that a multimodal plan is needed to be successful. Mr. Smith said that Summit attendees discussed putting packages together.

Mr. Smith stated that the MAG region and the entire state have a serious commodity issue, especially cement. Mr. Smith noted that only 50 percent of cement needed is produced in Arizona and the rest must be imported. He informed the Committee that Portland Cement recently purchased 150 rail cars to bring product into Arizona, but the issue is that the railroad is not double-tracked. Mr. Smith noted that asphalt also comes into the State by freight, but the shipment of asphalt is not a priority for the railroads.

Mr. Smith stated that the RTP was heavily accelerated and bonded. Some bonding capacity is left, but there were \$121 million in cost increases. Even if additional funding is recommended, the existing commitments need to be met. Mr. Smith stated that MAG has supported acceleration, but in the future, it may be difficult to accomplish.

Mr. Smith stated that there are gateway route issues. He stated that Maricopa County generates 67 percent of the sales tax in the State. If there is an additional tax for statewide needs, it makes the assumption that Maricopa County will be the donor. Mr. Smith noted that if residents pay a tax, they want a return on their investment. He added that some cities are interested in multimodal transportation, not just highways. Mr. Smith commented that this issue will be discussed at a meeting of the Councils of Governments at the League of Arizona Cities and Towns Conference. Mr. Smith stated that the TPC said, "Build the Plan and Declare Victory." The first priority is to deliver the plan that was promised.

Vice Chair Dolan asked if it appeared that those at the Summit understood that priority. Mr. Smith stated that the trucking industry is interested in freeways. Some of the legislative leaders discussed reprioritizing the plan. Mr. Smith indicated that freeways are important, and carry approximately one-third of regional traffic, but arterial streets carry approximately two-thirds of the regional traffic. He remarked that BRT and HOV are critical to our objectives. Mr. Smith

noted that ridership in the transit mode has increased significantly. He noted that we need to meet the mobility challenge.

Mr. Smith noted that in 2002, Phoenix Mayor Skip Rimsza attempted to get the rest of the State interested in a statewide tax, but was unsuccessful. Congestion has worsened and has become a larger issue in Northern Arizona. He noted that Gore and Associates is experiencing problems traveling to Sky Harbor Airport because of the unreliability of I-17. He added that Gore employees in the past were able to travel the day of a flight, but now need to travel the day before in order to catch morning flights.

Mr. Smith stated that at the Summit, the House and Senate Transportation Chairs, Marty Shultz, Dave Berry, and Pinal County Supervisor Sandie Smith asked the question of governance because the growth is blending from Maricopa County into Pinal County. Mr. Smith stated that he replied that Apache Junction is already a MAG member, and those in the contiguous urbanized area can apply for MAG membership. Mr. Smith mentioned recent MAG studies that included the Pinal County area. Mr. Smith stated that we do not need more government, we need more leadership. This is a revenue problem, not a planning problem. Chair Beasley thanked Mr. Smith for his update on the Summit.

Mr. Johnson announced that First Lady, Laura Bush, will visit the youth of Guadalupe on June 16, 2006.

Mr. Pettit expressed appreciation to MAG, ADOT, and the City of Mesa for their efforts in the opening of 12 more miles on the Santan Freeway. He also commented on recent fire service issues.

Mr. Smith noted that the seventh Desert Peaks Awards will follow the June 28, 2006 Regional Council meeting. He announced that the MAG Regional Transportation Plan was awarded the National 2006 Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration Transportation Planning Excellence Award.

There being no further business, the meeting adjourned at 12:30 p.m.

---

Chairman

---

Secretary

# **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

## **INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

Draft FY 2008-2012 MAG Transportation Improvement Program Guidance Report

**SUMMARY:**

MAG is starting the process to develop the FY 2008-2012 Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), which is tentatively targeted for approval in July 2007. The first step in the TIP process is the distribution of the TIP Guidance Report (TGR), which was developed to act as a guide to decision makers to facilitate the programming of transportation projects in the region. Most of the technical information provided by the regional management systems on safety, bridge conditions, transit vehicle needs, intermodal projects, and congestion levels has been updated where appropriate. Information is also provided on air quality conditions, Title VI and Environmental Justice factors and congestion management strategies. The TGR also contains the application forms for MAG Federal funds and represents the formal request for projects for addition to the FY 2008-2012 MAG TIP. The Transportation Review Committee (TRC) accepted a copy of the TGR for review and comment.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

Formal public notice was provided at the Transportation Review Committee meeting on June 29, 2006. No public input has been received at this time.

**PROS & CONS:**

PROS: The TIP Guidance Report provides useful information that helps MAG members to meet federal requirements.

CONS: None.

**TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

TECHNICAL: The TIP Guidance Report provides useful transportation and socioeconomic information to be considered in programming TIP projects.

POLICY: Federal statutes, executive orders and regulations require consideration of the information in the TIP Guidance Report. In non-attainment areas for air quality, a Congestion Management System needs to be specifically addressed. In addition, Title VI of the Civil Rights Act and other related Environmental Justice federal laws and directives require consideration of socioeconomic factors to ensure that plans and programs do not discriminate on the basis of race, income, gender, disability or age.

**ACTION NEEDED:**

Recommend acceptance of the Draft FY 2008-2012 MAG Transportation Improvement Program Guidance Report.

**PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

Transportation Review Committee: On June 29, 2006, the TRC accepted a copy of the TIP Guidance Report for review and comment.

**MEMBERS ATTENDING**

Maricopa County: Mike Ellegood, Chairman	* Litchfield Park: Mike Cartsonis
ADOT: Bill Hayden for Dan Lance	Mesa: Jim Huling
Avondale: David Fitzhugh	* Paradise Valley: Robert M. Cicarelli
Buckeye: Scott Lowe	Peoria: David Moody
Chandler: Patrice Kraus	Phoenix: Don Herp for Tom Callow
El Mirage: Mark Fooks for B.J. Cornwall	Queen Creek: Mark Young
Fountain Hills: Randy Harrel	RPTA: Bryan Jungwirth
* Gila Bend: Lynn Farmer	Scottsdale: Mary O'Connor
* Gilbert: Tami Ryall	Surprise: Stephanie Wilson for Randy Overmyer
Glendale: Terry Johnson	Tempe: Carlos De Leon
Goodyear: Cato Esquivel	# Wickenburg: Shane Dille
Guadalupe: Jim Ricker	Valley Metro Rail: John Farry

**EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS ATTENDING**

* Regional Bike Task Force: Randi Alcott, RPTA	* Pedestrian Working Group: Eric Iwersen, Tempe
* Street Committee: Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park	* ITS Committee: Alan Sanderson, Mesa

\* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.  
# Attended by video-conference or by telephone conference call.

**CONTACT PERSON:**

Paul Ward, (602) 254-6300.

## **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

Finding of Conformity for the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and Draft Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update

**SUMMARY:**

On April 26, 2006, the MAG Regional Council approved the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the Draft Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update for an air quality conformity analysis. MAG has prepared the Draft 2006 MAG Conformity Analysis for the TIP and the Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update. The analysis indicates that the TIP and Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update meet all applicable federal transportation conformity requirements and are in conformance with applicable air quality plans.

Following a 30-day public review and comment period, MAG conducted a public hearing on June 15, 2006 on the Draft TIP, Draft Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update, and Draft 2006 MAG Conformity Analysis. On June 29, 2006, the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee recommended approval of the Draft 2006 MAG Conformity Analysis. Approval of the conformity finding by the Regional Council is required for MAG adoption of the TIP and Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

A public hearing on the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program, Draft MAG Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update, and Draft 2006 MAG Conformity Analysis was conducted on June 15, 2006. Comments on the conformity analysis were requested by June 15, 2006, but no public comments were received. A copy of the public hearing transcript is contained in the FY 2006 Final Phase Public Input Opportunity Report.

**PROS & CONS:**

PROS: Approval of the conformity finding is required prior to approval of a TIP or Regional Transportation Plan by a metropolitan planning organization. The purpose of conformity is to ensure that transportation actions will not cause or contribute to violations of air quality standards.

CONS: None.

**TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

TECHNICAL: Implementation of the TIP and Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update will not cause or contribute to new violations of ambient air quality standards, increase the frequency or severity of any existing violations, or delay timely attainment of any standard or required emission reduction.

POLICY: The TIP and Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update cannot be adopted until the conformity finding is approved. The Draft 2006 MAG Conformity Analysis is prepared in accordance with federal regulations, MAG Conformity Consultation Processes adopted by the Regional Council in February 1996, and MAG Transportation Conformity Guidance and Procedures adopted by the Regional Council in March 1996. In addition, federal and state guidance is followed regarding transportation conformity.

**ACTION NEEDED:**

Recommend approval of the Finding of Conformity for the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and Draft MAG Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update.

**PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee: On June 29, 2006, the MAG Air Quality Technical Advisory Committee unanimously recommended approval of the Draft 2006 MAG Conformity Analysis for the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program and Draft Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update.

MEMBERS PRESENT

Stephen Cleveland, City of Goodyear,  
Chairman

\*Avondale: Michael Powell

Buckeye: Michael Salisbury for Lucky Roberts

#Chandler: Jim Weiss

#Gilbert: Greg Svelund for Tami Ryall

Glendale: Doug Kukino

#Mesa: Scott Bouchie

Phoenix: Joe Gibbs for Gaye Knight

#Scottsdale: Larry Person

Surprise: Antonio DeLaCruz

\*Tempe: Oddvar Tveit

\*Citizen Representative: Walter Bouchard

\*American Lung Association of Arizona: Bill Pfeifer

#Salt River Project: Sunil Varma

Southwest Gas Corporation: Brian O'Donnell

Arizona Public Service Company: Jim Mikula

#Western States Petroleum Association: Gina Grey

\*Valley Metro: Randi Alcott

\*Arizona Motor Transport Association: Dave Berry

\*Maricopa County Farm Bureau: Jeannette Fish

\*Arizona Rock Products Association: Russell Bowers

\*Greater Phoenix Chamber of Commerce: Michelle Rill

Associated General Contractors:

Amanda McGennis

\*Homebuilders Association of Central Arizona:  
Connie Wilhelm-Garcia

\*American Institute of Architects - Central  
Arizona: Stephen J. Andros

\*Valley Forward: Mannie Carpenter

\*University of Arizona - Cooperative Extension:  
Patrick Clay

Arizona Department of Transportation:  
Beverly Chenausky

Arizona Department of Environmental Quality:  
Peter Hyde

Environmental Protection Agency: Wienke Tax  
Maricopa County Air Quality Department: Jo  
Crumbaker

Arizona Department of Weights and  
Measures: Duane Yantorno

\*Federal Highway Administration: Ed Stillings

\*Arizona State University: Judi Nelson

#Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community:  
B. Bobby Ramirez

\*Citizen Representative: David Rueckert

\*Members neither present nor represented by proxy.

#Participated via telephone conference call.

+Participated via video conference call.

**CONTACT PERSON:**

Dean Giles, MAG, (602) 254-6300.

## **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program

**SUMMARY:**

Each year MAG updates the Five Year Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), primarily by adding a fifth year. All federally funded projects and regionally significant transportation projects (include city and privately funded projects) must be included in the draft TIP for the purpose of meeting the air quality conformity analysis requirements. The Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG TIP was approved by Regional Council, in April 2006, to undergo this analysis, which is now complete. A public hearing on the draft TIP was conducted on June 15, 2006. The TRC recommended approval of the Draft TIP, contingent upon a finding of conformity. Since that recommendation, there have several minor changes to projects and these are contained in Errata Sheets 07-6 and 07-7, which are attached to this summary.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

Final phase public meeting input on the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG TIP is included in the Final Phase Opportunity Report that will be discussed as a separate agenda item.

**PROS & CONS:**

**PROS:** Approval of the TIP will help ensure the timely construction of regionwide construction projects.

**CONS:** Approval of the TIP indicates approval of the projects included.

**TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

**TECHNICAL:** The TIP is a listing of projects that are scheduled for construction within the next five years. The current TIP is the FY 2006-2010 MAG TIP, which is valid under Federal rules until August 31, 2007. Approval of the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG TIP is required to validate construction of new projects in years 2007, 2008 and 2009.

**POLICY:** The TIP is developed with input from all MAG jurisdictions and incorporates controls to ensure fiscal constraint. A new TIP is approved each year to ensure maximum flexibility and to ensure compliance with Air Quality Regulations.

**ACTION NEEDED:**

Recommend approval of the Draft FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program, together with the requested ADOT material cost increases, contingent upon a finding of conformity of the TIP and Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update with applicable state and federal air quality implementation plans.

**PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

Transportation Review Committee: On June 29, 2006, the TRC unanimously recommended approval of the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG TIP.

### MEMBERS ATTENDING

Maricopa County: Mike Ellegood, Chairman \* Litchfield Park: Mike Cartsonis  
ADOT: Bill Hayden for Dan Lance Mesa: Jim Huling  
Avondale: David Fitzhugh \* Paradise Valley: Robert M. Cicarelli  
Buckeye: Scott Lowe Peoria: David Moody  
Chandler: Patrice Kraus Phoenix: Don Herp for Tom Callow  
El Mirage: Mark Fooks for B.J. Cornwall Queen Creek: Mark Young  
Fountain Hills: Randy Harrel RPTA: Bryan Jungwirth  
\* Gila Bend: Lynn Farmer Scottsdale: Mary O'Connor  
\* Gilbert: Tami Ryall Surprise: Stephanie Wilson for Randy Overmyer  
Glendale: Terry Johnson Tempe: Carlos De Leon  
Goodyear: Cato Esquivel # Wickenburg: Shane Dille  
Guadalupe: Jim Ricker Valley Metro Rail: John Farry

### EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS ATTENDING

\* Regional Bike Task Force: Randi Alcott \* Pedestrian Working Group: Eric Iwersen  
\* Street Committee: Darryl Crossman \* ITS Committee: Alan Sanderson

\* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.  
# Attended by video-conference or by telephone conference call.

Regional Council: On April 26, 2006, the Regional Council approved the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG TIP for an air quality conformity analysis.

### MEMBERS ATTENDING

Councilmember Mike Whalen for Mayor  
Keno Hawker, Mesa, Chair  
Mayor Woody Thomas, Litchfield Park,  
Vice Chair  
Councilmember John Insalaco for Mayor  
Douglas Coleman, Apache Junction  
Mayor Marie Lopez-Rogers, Avondale  
Vice Mayor Chris Urwiller for Mayor Dusty  
Hull, Buckeye  
\* Mayor Edward Morgan, Carefree  
Vice Mayor Dick Esser, Cave Creek  
Mayor Boyd Dunn, Chandler  
Mayor Fred Waterman, El Mirage  
\* President Raphael Bear, Fort McDowell  
Yavapai Nation  
Vice Mayor Jay Schlum for Mayor Wally  
Nichols, Fountain Hills  
\* Mayor Daniel Birchfield, Gila Bend  
\* Governor William Rhodes, Gila River Indian  
Community  
Mayor Steven Berman, Gilbert  
Mayor Elaine Scruggs, Glendale  
Mayor James M. Cavanaugh, Goodyear  
\* Mayor Bernadette Jimenez, Guadalupe  
Supervisor Mary Rose Wilcox for Supervisor  
Max Wilson, Maricopa County  
Councilmember Dan Schweiker for  
Mayor Ron Clarke, Paradise Valley  
Vice Mayor Bob Barrett for  
Mayor John Keegan, Peoria  
Councilmember Peggy Neely for  
Mayor Phil Gordon, Phoenix  
# Mayor Wendy Feldman-Kerr, Queen Creek  
\* President Joni Ramos, Salt River  
Pima-Maricopa Indian Community  
Mayor Mary Manross, Scottsdale  
Mayor Joan Shafer, Surprise  
\* Mayor Hugh Hallman, Tempe  
\* Mayor Adolfo Gamez, Tolleson  
+ Mayor Ron Badowski, Wickenburg  
\* Mayor Bryan Hackbarth, Youngtown  
Joe Lane, ADOT  
Felipe Zubia, ADOT  
F. Rockne Arnett, Citizens Transportation  
Oversight Committee

\* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.  
# Attended by telephone conference call. + Attended by videoconference call.

### **CONTACT PERSON:**

Paul Ward, (602) 254-6300.

**STATUS: Deferred**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
CHN03-107R	2007	Chandler	Ryan Rd: Arizona Ave to McQueen Rd	Pave dirt road	1.00	CMAQ	18,000	162,000	0	180,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
CHN06-216C1	2007	Chandler	Western Canal: Price Rd to Hamilton St (1 of 2) Price Rd to Alma School Rd	Construct multi-use path (phase 1 of 2)	1.80	CMAQ	258,400	1,033,600	0	1,292,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
CHN130-06D	2007	Chandler	Ray Rd at Alma School Rd	Design intersection improvement	1.00	RARF	150,000	0	350,000	500,000	Project status changed from Underway to Deferred from 2006 to 2007
CHN130-07RW	2008	Chandler	Ray Rd at Alma School Rd	Acquire right of way for intersection improvement	1.00	RARF	2,140,000	0	1,660,000	3,800,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from 2007 to 2008
CHN130-08C	2009	Chandler	Ray Rd at Alma School Rd	Construct intersection improvement	1.00	RARF	3,759,000	0	1,341,000	5,100,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from 2008 to 2009
CHN260-09AD	2010	Chandler	Ray Rd at Dobson Rd	Advance design, intersection improvement for repayment in 2011	1.00	Local	850,500	0	0	850,500	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from 2009 to 2010
CHN270-08AD	2009	Chandler	Ray Rd at McClintock Dr	Advance design, intersection improvement for repayment in 2011	1.00	Local	783,000	0	0	783,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from 2008 to 2009
CHN270-09ARW	2010	Chandler	Ray Rd at McClintock Dr	Advance acquire right of way for intersection improvement for repayment in 2011	1.00	Local	940,000	0	0	940,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from 2009 to 2010
GLB01-114RB	2007	Gilbert	Consolidated Canal (Heritage Trail): Galveston St to Warner Rd (Chandler Segment)	Construct multi-use path (CMAQ component)	1.50	CMAQ	11,430	188,900	0	200,330	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
GLB02-204B	2007	Gilbert	Consolidated Canal (Heritage Trail): Freestone Park to Baseline Rd (Mesa Segment)	Construct multi-use path (CMAQ component)	1.50	CMAQ	11,430	188,900	0	200,330	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
GLB05-107R	2007	Gilbert	Eastern Canal: Baseline Rd to Guadalupe Rd (Santan Vista Trail phase I)	Design and construct multi-use path	1.00	CMAQ	33,231	549,769	0	583,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
GLB06-203B	2007	Gilbert	Gilbert Town Center	Design traffic management center (phase B) and purchase further equipment	.00	CMAQ	126,599	368,401	0	495,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007

**STATUS: Deferred**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
MMA05-214	2007	Maricopa County	PM-10 roads various locations	Pave dirt roads (FY 2005)	4.44	CMAQ	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	2,000,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
MMA06-207	2007	Maricopa County	Regionwide	Construct Aztech smart corridors, Phase 3 (design-build)	43.10	CMAQ	600,000	1,350,000	0	1,950,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
MMA06-208R	2007	Maricopa County	PM-10 roads various locations	Pave dirt roads (FY 2006)	13.31	CMAQ	1,000,000	1,000,000	0	2,000,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
TMP04-102	2007	Tempe	Curry Rd: Scottsdale Rd to McClintock Dr	Design and construct pedestrian facilities	1.00	CMAQ	105,600	438,200	0	543,800	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
TMP05-105	2007	Tempe	University Dr: Perry Lane to Price Rd	Design and construct pedestrian facilities	.50	CMAQ	100,000	400,000	0	500,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007

**STATUS: Deleted**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
CHN260-10ARW		Chandler	Ray Rd at Dobson Rd	Advance acquire right of way for intersection improvement for repayment in 2011	1.00	Local	1,220,000	0	0	1,220,000	Advance acquisition project deleted and replaced by straight right of way acquisition phase in 2011 (see CHN260-10ARWR)
CHN260-10ARWX	2011	Chandler	Ray Rd at Dobson Rd	Repayment of advance right of way acquisition for intersection improvement advance acquired in 2010	1.00	RARF	0	0	661,000	661,000	Right of way repayment project deleted and replaced by straight right of way acquisition phase in 2011 (see CHN260-10ARWR)
CHN260-11C	2011	Chandler	Ray Rd at Dobson Rd	Construct intersection improvement	1.00	RARF	3,215,000	0	2,455,000	5,670,000	Construction phase deleted from TIP (deferred from FY 2011 to 2012)
CHN270-10AC		Chandler	Ray Rd at McClintock Dr	Advance construct intersection improvement for repayment in 2012	1.00	Local	5,220,000	0	0	5,220,000	Advance construction project deleted and replaced by straight construction phase in FY 2011 (see CHN270-10C)
GDY01-101C		Goodyear	Rainbow Valley Rd: Riggs Rd to Hunt Hwy	Pave dirt road (Phase I)	1.00	CMAQ	10,000	80,000	0	90,000	Project deleted at jurisdiction's request
GDY06-203		Goodyear	Rainbow Valley Rd: Riggs Rd to Hunt Hwy	Pave dirt road (Phase II)	1.00	CMAQ	20,000	180,000	0	200,000	Project deleted at jurisdiction's request
DOT07-716		ADOT	10: Loop 303 (Estrella) to Dysart Rd	Advance design HOV and general purpose lanes	5.00	RARF	0	0	4,620,000	4,620,000	Project deleted and replaced by other advanced projects

**STATUS: Deleted**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
DOT11-717	2011	ADOT	10: Loop 303 (Estrella) to Dysart Rd	Advance construct HOV and general purpose lanes	5.00	RARF	0	0	84,000,000	84,000,000	Project deleted and replaced by other advanced projects

**STATUS: New**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
CHN240-11C	2010	Chandler	Queen Creek Rd: McQueen Rd to Lindsay Rd	Construct roadway widening	3.00	RARF	15,253,000	0	7,147,000	22,400,000	Project year changed from FY 2011 to FY 2010
CHN260-10RW	2011	Chandler	Ray Rd at Dobson Rd	Acquire right of way for intersection improvement	1.00	RARF	1,220,000	0	661,000	1,881,000	New right of way acquisition project added to 2011, replaces advance acquisition and repayment phases (see CHN260-10ARW in 2010 and CHN260-10ARWX in 2011)
CHN270-10C	2011	Chandler	Ray Rd at McClintock Dr	Construct intersection improvement	1.00	RARF	5,220,000	0	2,572,000	7,792,000	New construction project added to 2011, replaces advance construction phase (see CHN270-10AC in 2010)
DOT07-744	2007	ADOT	10: Dysart Rd to Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy)	Advance design HOV and general purpose lanes (City advancement) for repayment in 2013	4.00	Local	2,805,000	0	0	2,805,000	New project added to FY 2007 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies
DOT07-745AD	2007	ADOT	10: Sarival Rd to Dysart Rd	Advance design HOV and general purpose lanes (City advancement phase 1 of 2) for repayment in 2009	4.00	Local	2,800,000	0	0	2,800,000	New project added to FY 2007 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies
DOT08-747	2008	ADOT	10: Dysart Rd to Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy)	Advance construct HOV and general purpose lanes (City advancement) for repayment in 2014	4.00	Local	51,000,000	0	0	51,000,000	New project added to FY 2008 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies
DOT08-748AD	2008	ADOT	10: Sarival Rd to Dysart Rd	Advance design HOV and general purpose lanes (City advancement phase 2 of 2) for repayment in 2009	4.00	Local	1,900,000	0	0	1,900,000	New project added to FY 2008 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies
DOT08-749C	2008	ADOT	10: Sarival Rd to Dysart Rd	Construct HOV and general purpose lanes (Pavement Preservation funds)	4.00	State	6,000,000	0	0	6,000,000	New project added to FY 2008 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies
DOT08-750AC	2008	ADOT	10: Sarival Rd to Dysart Rd	Advance construct HOV and general purpose lanes (City advancement phase 1 of 2) for repayment in 2011	4.00	Local	44,000,000	0	0	44,000,000	New project added to FY 2008 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies

**STATUS: New**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
DOT09-752AC	2009	ADOT	10: Sarival Rd to Dysart Rd	Advance construct HOV and general purpose lanes (City advancement phase 2 of 2) for repayment in 2011	4.00	Local	35,000,000	0	0	35,000,000	New project added to FY 2009 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies
DOT09-753	2009	ADOT	10: Loop 303 (Estrella) to Sarival Rd	Design HOV and general purpose lanes	1.00	RARF	0	0	1,200,000	1,200,000	New project added to FY 2009 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies
DOT11-754	2011	ADOT	10: Loop 303 (Estrella) to Sarival Rd	Advance construct HOV and general purpose lanes for repayment in	1.00	Local	22,000,000	0	0	22,000,000	New project added to FY 2011 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies
DOT07-746	2007	ADOT	17 at Dove Valley Rd TI	Advance design new traffic interchange (City advancement) for repayment in RTP phase 3	.40	Local	1,800,000	0	0	1,800,000	New project added to FY 2007 due to advancement of funds by City of Phoenix
DOT08-751	2008	ADOT	17 at Dove Valley Rd TI	Advance construct new traffic interchange (City advancement) for repayment in RTP phase 3	.40	Local	16,600,000	0	0	16,600,000	New project added to FY 2008 due to advancement of funds by City of Phoenix

**STATUS: Underway**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
CHN02-012		Chandler	Consolidated Canal: Ryan Rd to Riggs Rd	Construct multi-use path along canal bank (phase 3 of 3)	3.50	CMAQ	42,750	707,250	0	750,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
CHN03-108		Chandler	Arizona Ave: Elliot Rd to Chandler Blvd	Install fibre optic communication system (phase 2)	3.00	CMAQ	14,250	235,750	0	250,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
GLB02-204A		Gilbert	Consolidated Canal (Heritage Trail): Freestone Park to Baseline Rd (Mesa Segment)	Construct multi-use path (STP-TEA component)	1.50	STP-TEA	50,000	500,000	0	550,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
GLB03-301		Gilbert	Powerline Trail	Design and construct multi-use path along utility easement	.00	STP-TEA	92,000	500,000	0	592,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
GLB04-303		Gilbert	Various locations along the Consolidated and Eastern Canals	Design and install four pedestrian bridges	.40	STP-TEA	39,000	180,000	0	219,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway

**STATUS: Underway**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
GLN01-110		Glendale	Historic Catlin Court Alleyway	Improve 4 alleyways for multi-modal use, including enhancing pedestrian and bicycle facilities and limit vehicle parking	.00	STP-TEA	520,090	498,000	0	1,018,090	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
MES05-127C		Mesa	South Canal: McKellips Rd to McDowell Rd - Phase 1 (construction phase)	Construct multi-use path	1.50	CMAQ	94,200	1,558,000	0	1,652,200	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
MMA06-206		Maricopa County	McDowell Mountain Rd: Fountain Hills city limits to Forest Rd	Design and construct bicycle lane	8.25	CMAQ	533,314	494,870	0	1,028,184	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX04-347		Phoenix	Camelback Rd at 20th St and 24th St	Design and reconstruct intersection to improve pedestrian and bicycle enhancements	.20	STP-TEA	392,491	62,500	0	454,991	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-223		Phoenix	51st Ave at the ACDC (joint project with Glendale)	Construct multi-use underpass	.04	CMAQ	560,000	2,240,000	0	2,800,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-225C		Phoenix	Citywide	Install wireless communications link to fiber optics backbone to replace ITS and ATMS telephone connections	.00	CMAQ	211,400	845,600	0	1,057,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PVY06-201C		Paradise Valley	Lincoln Dr: 32nd St to Invergordon Rd	Construct pedestrian path on south side of Lincoln Dr	4.00	CMAQ	80,000	400,000	0	480,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
TMP01-108		Tempe	Tempe Canal: University Dr to UPRR	Construct multi-use path	.75	STP-TEA	485,000	500,000	0	985,000	
TMP06-253		Tempe	Mill Ave: Broadway Rd to Southern Ave and Southern Ave: Mill Ave to Rural Rd	Widen sidewalks and ramps	2.00	CMAQ	17,100	282,900	0	300,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
TMP06-253RX2		Tempe	Mill Ave: Broadway Rd to Southern Ave and Southern Ave: Mill Ave to Rural Rd	Repayment 2 of 2 of sidewalks and ramps advance constructed in 2004	2.00	CMAQ	-282,900	282,900	0	0	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX01-902TR3		Phoenix	Phoenix/Glendale	Construct West Valley operations facility	.00	5309	0	18,772,800	4,693,200	23,466,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX05-132T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Purchase bus: articulated - 5 expand	.00	5307	0	2,211,950	453,050	2,665,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-219T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Associated capital maintenance - operations	.00	5307	851,200	3,404,800	0	4,256,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway

**STATUS: Underway**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
PHX06-220T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Associated capital maintenance - parts and components	.00	5307	385,880	1,543,520	0	1,929,400	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-221T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Capital cost of contracting	.00	5307	166,600	666,400	0	833,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-222T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Expend project support services	.00	5307	10,000	40,000	0	50,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-223T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Purchase bus: 40 foot - lease/purchase payment	.00	5309	488,750	2,386,250	0	2,875,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-224T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Purchase bus: < 30 foot - 25 replace (dial-a-ride)	.00	5307	0	1,095,519	273,880	1,369,399	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-227T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Purchase bus: standard - 18 replace	.00	5307	0	6,038,748	1,236,852	7,275,600	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-228T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Purchase bus: standard - 4 replace	.00	STP-Flex	0	1,508,800	91,200	1,600,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-231T		Phoenix	Various locations	Design and construct upgrades - north and south	.00	5307	126,600	506,400	0	633,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-232T		Phoenix	Various locations	Install bus stop passenger improvements - 40 sites	.00	5307	390,000	310,000	0	700,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-601T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Purchase bus: < 30 foot - 5 expand (dial-a-ride)	.00	5307	56,000	224,000	0	280,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-602T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Purchase bus: standard - 5 expand	.00	5307	0	1,489,850	305,150	1,795,000	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-603T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Purchase new fareboxes - 10 expand	.00	5307	0	84,210	21,053	105,263	Project status changed from No Change to Underway
PHX06-604T		Phoenix	Regionwide	Purchase new radios - 10 expand	.00	5307	0	101,992	25,498	127,490	Project status changed from No Change to Underway

**STATUS: Deferred**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
GLB140-06D	2007	Gilbert	Warner Rd at Cooper Rd	Design intersection improvement	.40	RARF	200,000	0	460,000	660,000	Project deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
MES04-125C	2007	Mesa	Country Club Dr: 8th Ave to Baseline Rd (including US-60 Tl)	Install real-time adaptive signal system	1.50	CMAQ	581,190	788,810	0	1,370,000	Project status changed from Underway to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
DOT06-214R	2007	ADOT	17 at Greenway Rd and Thunderbird Rd	Construct drainage improvements	.00	IM	456,000	7,544,000	0	8,000,000	Project deleted (combined with DOT07-329C and replaced by DOT07-329R)
DOT06-250	2007	ADOT	85: I-8 to I-10	Design, acquire right of way & relocate utilities	34.00	State	347,000	0	0	347,000	Project status changed from Underway to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007
DOT06-252	2008	ADOT	85: MP 130.71 to MP 137.00	Reconstruct roadway	6.29	NHS	1,191,300	19,708,700	0	20,900,000	Project total cost increased from \$15,665,000 to \$20,900,000
DOT06-425	2009	ADOT	85: MP 120.54 to MP 122.99	Design, acquire right of way & relocate utilities	2.40	State	1,200,000	0	0	1,200,000	Project status changed from Underway to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2009
DOT06-612	2007	ADOT	85: MP 139.01 to MP 141.71	Widen roadway, adding 2 through lanes	2.70	NHS	1,076,046	17,801,954	0	18,878,000	Project total cost decreased from \$18,878,000 to \$17,300,000
DOT06-613	2007	ADOT	85: Southern Ave to I-10	Widen roadway, adding 2 through lanes	2.52	State	11,200,000	0	0	11,200,000	Project total cost increased from \$8,602,000 to \$11,200,000
DOT06-614	2007	ADOT	85: Southern Ave to I-10	Design, acquire right of way & relocate utilities	2.52	State	6,231,000	0	0	6,231,000	Project status changed from Underway to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007 and cost decreased from \$6,231,000 to \$3,900,000
DOT06-6C63	2007	ADOT	85: MC-85 to Southern Ave	Widen roadway, adding 2 through lanes	2.10	NHS	579,000	9,550,000	0	10,129,000	Project status changed from Underway to Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007 and cost decreased from \$10,129,000 to \$8,500,000
DOT06-256C	2007	ADOT	93: Wickenburg By-pass	Construct by-pass	3.00	NHS	1,704,300	28,195,700	0	29,900,000	Project total cost increased from \$26,800,000 to \$29,900,000
DOT07-323	2009	ADOT	101 (Agua Fria Fwy): I-10 to MC-85 (99th Ave)	Construct new roadway	1.70	STP-AZ	200,000	3,300,000	0	3,500,000	Project status changed from No Change to Deferred from FY 2008 to FY 2009

**STATUS: Deleted**

**STATUS: Deleted**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
MES07-313AD		Mesa	Main St: Mesa Dr to Mill Ave	Advance design of non intrusive detection systems project for repayment in FY 2007	6.00	Local	180,000	0	0	180,000	Advance design project deleted and replaced by regular design in FY 2007
MES07-313ADX		Mesa	Main St: Mesa Dr to Mill Ave	Repayment of advance design of non intrusive detection systems project completed in FY 2006	6.00	CMAQ	-144,000	144,000	0	0	Advance design project deleted and replaced by regular design in FY 2007
DOT07-347		ADOT	10 at 43rd Ave	Improve traffic interchange	.20	CMAQ	40,000	585,000	0	625,000	Project deleted (combined with DOT07-348 and replaced by DOT07-347R in FY 2007)
DOT07-348		ADOT	10 at 51st Ave	Improve traffic interchange	.20	CMAQ	50,000	825,000	0	875,000	Project deleted (combined with DOT07-347 and replaced by DOT07-347R in FY 2007)
DOT10-6C27		ADOT	10: SR-51 (Piestewa Fwy) to 40th St (CD Road)	Design and purchase right of way for CD roads	5.20	State	20,000,000	0	0	20,000,000	Project deleted and replaced by separate design and right of way acquisition phases (see DOT10-6C27D and DOT10-6C27RW in FY 2010)
DOT06-604		ADOT	17 at Dixileta Dr	Advance construct new traffic interchange (Phoenix funds) for repayment in 2012	.40	Local	8,200,000	0	0	8,200,000	Project deleted (combined with DOT06-606R and replaced by DOT06-606S)
DOT06-606R		ADOT	17 at Jomax Rd	Construct new traffic interchange	.40	STP-AZ	0	20,274,500	1,225,500	21,500,000	Project deleted (combined with DOT06-604 and replaced by DOT06-606S)
DOT07-329C		ADOT	17 at Peoria Ave and at Cactus Ave	Construct drainage improvements	.00	IM	513,000	8,487,000	0	9,000,000	Project deleted (combined with DOT06-214R and replaced by DOT07-329R)
DOT07-643		ADOT	60 (Grand Ave): Loop 303 (Estrella Pkwy) to Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy)	Design roadway widening	10.00	RARF	0	0	1,320,000	1,320,000	Project deleted and replaced by DOT07-643R due to reduction in eastern limit from Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy) to 99th Ave
DOT07-6C62		ADOT	60 (Superstition Fwy): Gilbert Rd to Power Rd	Construct landscape	4.50	RARF	0	0	4,100,000	4,100,000	Project deleted and replaced by DOT07-6C62R due to reduction in western limit from Gilbert Rd to Val Vista Dr
DOT10-6C34	2010	ADOT	101 (Price Fwy): Baseline Rd to Loop 202 (Santan Fwy)	Design and construct FMS	5.50	CMAQ	0	5,186,500	313,500	5,500,000	Project deleted and replaced by "FMS Preservation" and/or "FMS Rehab" projects
DOT07-654	2007	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): Happy Valley Rd to I-17	Design new interim freeway and purchase right of way (FY 2007)	12.00	RARF	0	0	40,000,000	40,000,000	Project deleted and replaced by separate design and right of way phases (DOT07-654D and DOT07-654C)

**STATUS: Deleted**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
DOT07-655	2007	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Design and purchase right of way for roadway improvements (FY 2007)	15.00	RARF	0	0	10,000,000	10,000,000	Project deleted and replaced by straight right of way acquisition phase (DOT07-655RW)
DOT07-713	2007	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Design and purchase right of way	15.00	RARF	0	0	5,000,000	5,000,000	Project deleted and replaced by straight design phase (DOT07-713D)
DOT09-6C12	2009	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Design and purchase right of way for roadway improvements (FY 2009)	15.00	RARF	0	0	10,000,000	10,000,000	Project deleted and replaced by design and acquire right of way phases (DOT09-6C12D and DOT09-6C12RW)
DOT10-6C38	2010	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Design and purchase right of way for roadway improvements (FY 2010)	15.00	RARF	0	0	10,000,000	10,000,000	Project deleted and replaced by design and acquire right of way phases (DOT10-6C38D and DOT10-6C38RW)
DOT07-714	2007	ADOT	MAG regionwide	Asphalt rubber noise mitigation (FY 2007 - reprogrammed from FY 2006)	.00	RARF	0	0	5,222,000	5,222,000	Project deleted as project will be underway in FY 2006

**STATUS: New**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
MES07-313D	2007	Mesa	Main St: Mesa Dr to Mill Ave	Design non intrusive detection systems, cameras, dynamic message signs and one mile of fiber optic cable	6.00	CMAQ	36,000	144,000	36,000	180,000	New design project added to FY 2007 with \$144,000 CMAQ (replaces MES07-313AD in FY 2006 and MES07-313ADX in FY 2007)
DOT07-347R	2007	ADOT	10 at 43rd and 51st Aves	Improve traffic interchanges	.40	CMAQ	430,000	1,410,000	0	1,840,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$1,410,000 CMAQ (combined project, replaces DOT07-347 and DOT07-348, both in FY 2007)
DOT07-745ADX	2009	ADOT	10: Sarival Rd to Dysart Rd	Repayment of advance design for HOV and general purpose lanes advance designed in 2007	4.00	RARF	-2,750,000	0	2,750,000	0	New project added to FY 2009 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies
DOT08-748ADX	2009	ADOT	10: Sarival Rd to Dysart Rd	Repayment of advance design for HOV and general purpose lanes advance designed in 2008	4.00	RARF	-1,870,000	0	1,870,000	0	New project added to FY 2009 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies
DOT08-750ACX	2011	ADOT	10: Sarival Rd to Dysart Rd	Repayment of advance construction for HOV and general purpose lanes advance constructed in 200	4.00	RARF	-84,000,000	0	84,000,000	0	New project added to FY 2011 due to advancement of funds by West Valley Agencies

**STATUS: New**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
DOT10-755	2010	ADOT	10: Sarival Rd to Dysart Rd	Design landscape	4.00	State	320,000	0	0	320,000	New project added to FY 2010
DOT10-6C27D	2010	ADOT	10: SR-51 (Piestewa Fwy) to 40th St (CD Road)	Design CD roads	5.20		10,000,000	0	0	20,000,000	New project added to FY 2010 with \$10,000,000, partially replaces DOT10-6C27 (see also DOT10-6C27RW in FY 2010)
DOT10-6C27RW	2010	ADOT	10: SR-51 (Piestewa Fwy) to 40th St (CD Road)	Purchase right of way for CD roads	5.20		10,000,000	0	0	20,000,000	New project added to FY 2010 with \$10,000,000, partially replaces DOT10-6C27 (see also DOT10-6C27D in FY 2010)
DOT06-606SA	2007	ADOT	17 at Jomax Rd and Dixileta Dr	Construct new traffic interchanges and improve Skunk Creek bridge (Federal and regional funds)	.40	STP-AZ	0	20,274,500	11,525,500	31,800,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$20,274,500 STP-AZ and \$11,525,500 RARF funds due to combination of DOT06-604 and DOT06-606R (see also DOT06-606SB)
DOT06-606SB	2007	ADOT	17 at Jomax Rd and Dixileta Dr	Construct new traffic interchanges and improve Skunk Creek bridge (Phoenix funds) for repayment in 2012	.40	Local	8,200,000	0	0	8,200,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$8,200,000 City of Phoenix funds following combination of DOT06-604 and DOT06-606R (see also DOT06-606SA)
DOT07-329R	2007	ADOT	17: Peoria Ave to Greenway Rd	Construct drainage improvements	.00	IM	969,000	16,031,000	0	17,000,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$16,031,000 IM funds due to combination of DOT06-214R and DOT07-329C
DOT07-643R	2007	ADOT	60 (Grand Ave): Loop 303 (Estrella Pkwy) to 99th Ave	Design roadway widening	10.00	RARF	0	0	1,900,000	1,900,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$1,900,000 RARF, replaces DOT07-643 due to reduction in eastern limit from Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy) to 99th Ave and funds increased from \$1,320,000
DOT09-6C00R	2009	ADOT	60 (Grand Ave): Loop 303 (Estrella Pkwy) to Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy)	Widen roadway and improve interchanges	10.00	RARF	0	0	24,000,000	24,000,000	New project added to FY 2007, replaces DOT09-6C00 due to reduction in eastern limit from Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy) to 99th Ave
DOT07-6C62R	2007	ADOT	60 (Superstition Fwy): Gilbert Rd to Power Rd	Construct landscape	4.50	RARF	0	0	5,100,000	5,100,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$5,100,000 RARF, replaces DOT07-6C62 due to reduction in western limit from Gilbert Rd to Val Vista Dr and funds increased from \$4,100,000
	2007	ADOT	85: I-8 to I-10	Design, acquire right of way & relocate utilities	34.00	State	9,700,000	0	0	9,700,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$9,700,000 State funds

**STATUS: New**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
	2008	ADOT	85: I-8 to I-10	Design, acquire right of way & relocate utilities	34.00	State	10,200,000	0	0	10,200,000	New project added to FY 2008 with \$10,200,000 State funds
	2009	ADOT	85: I-8 to I-10	Design, acquire right of way & relocate utilities	34.00	State	11,100,000	0	0	11,100,000	New project added to FY 2009 with \$11,100,000 State funds
	2007	ADOT	85: MP 139.01 to MP 141.71	Relocate utilities	2.70	State	1,100,000	0	0	1,100,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$1,100,000 State funds
	2007	ADOT	87: Forest Boundary to New Four Peaks	Acquire right of way for shoulder widenings and median crossovers	9.00	State	400,000	0	0	400,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$400,000 State funds
DOT07-705	2007	ADOT	101 (Agua Fria Fwy): I-10 to I-17	Install fibre-optic cable for FMS	.00	CMAQ	1,335,445	5,549,555	0	6,885,000	Project total cost increased from \$ 5,885,000 to \$6,885,000 by increasing State match
DOT07-706	2007	ADOT	101 (Pima Fwy): I-17 to Princess Dr	Design and construct FMS	9.30	State	6,600,000	0	0	6,600,000	Project total cost decreased from \$8,410,000 to \$6,600,000
DOT07-707	2007	ADOT	101 (Pima Fwy): Princess Dr to Loop 202 (Red Mountain Fwy)	Design and construct FMS	.00	State	8,400,000	0	0	8,400,000	Project total cost increased from \$ 6,000,000 to \$8,400,000
DOT07-654D	2007	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): Happy Valley Rd to I-17	Design new interim freeway (FY 2007)	12.00	RARF	0	0	14,000,000	14,000,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$14,000,000 RARF funds (replaces DOT07-654, see also DOT07-654C)
DOT07-654RW	2007	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): Happy Valley Rd to I-17	Acquire right of way for new interim freeway (FY 2007)	12.00	RARF	0	0	26,000,000	26,000,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$26,000,000 RARF funds (replaces DOT07-654, see also DOT07-654D)
DOT07-655RW	2007	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Purchase right of way for roadway improvements (FY 2007)	15.00	RARF	0	0	10,000,000	10,000,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$10,000,000 RARF funds (replaces DOT07-655)
DOT07-713D	2007	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Design roadway improvements (FY 2007)	15.00	RARF	0	0	5,000,000	5,000,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$5,000,000 RARF funds (replaces DOT07-713)
DOT09-6C12D	2009	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Design roadway improvements (FY 2009)	15.00	RARF	0	0	4,500,000	4,500,000	New project added to FY 2009 with \$4,500,000 RARF funds (partially replaces DOT09-6C12, see also DOT09-6C12RW)
DOT09-6C12RW	2009	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Acquire right of way for roadway improvements (FY 2009)	15.00	RARF	0	0	5,500,000	5,500,000	New project added to FY 2009 with \$5,500,000 RARF funds (partially replaces DOT09-6C12, see also DOT09-6C12D)
DOT10-6C38D	2010	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Design roadway improvements (FY 2010)	15.00	RARF	0	0	4,500,000	4,500,000	New project added to FY 2010 with \$4,500,000 RARF funds (partially replaces DOT10-6C38, see also DOT10-6C38RW)

**STATUS: New**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
DOT10-6C38RW	2010	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Acquire right of way for roadway improvements (FY 2010)	15.00	RARF	0	0	5,500,000	5,500,000	New project added to FY 2010 with \$5,500,000 RARF funds (partially replaces DOT10-6C38, see also DOT10-6C38D)
	2009	ADOT	MAG regionwide	Design FMS rehabilitation	.00	CMAQ	120,000	280,000	0	400,000	New project added to FY 2009 with \$280,000 CMAQ funds
	2007	ADOT	MAG regionwide	Preserve and maintain FMS	.00	CMAQ	220,000	500,000	0	720,000	New project added to FY 2007 with \$500,000 CMAQ funds
	2008	ADOT	MAG regionwide	Preserve and maintain FMS	.00	CMAQ	220,000	500,000	0	720,000	New project added to FY 2008 with \$500,000 CMAQ funds
	2009	ADOT	MAG regionwide	Preserve and maintain FMS	.00	CMAQ	220,000	500,000	0	720,000	New project added to FY 2009 with \$500,000 CMAQ funds
	2009	ADOT	MAG regionwide	Preserve and maintain FMS	.00	CMAQ	220,000	500,000	0	720,000	New project added to FY 2010 with \$500,000 CMAQ funds
	2009	ADOT	MAG regionwide	Preserve and maintain FMS	.00	CMAQ	220,000	500,000	0	720,000	New project added to FY 2011 with \$500,000 CMAQ funds
	2010	ADOT	MAG regionwide	Rehabilitation FMS facilities	.00	CMAQ	1,100,000	2,500,000	0	3,600,000	New project added to FY 2009 with \$3,600,000 CMAQ funds
DOT11-734	2011	ADOT	MAG regionwide	Design and construct FMS	.00	CMAQ	192,090	3,177,910	0	3,370,000	Project total cost decreased from \$3,370,000 to \$1,270,000

**STATUS: No Change**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
DOT07-641R	2007	ADOT	17: Loop 101 (Pima Fwy) to SR-74 (Carefree Hwy)	Widen freeway from 6 lanes to 8	9.00	NHS	0	66,000,000	116,000,000	182,000,000	Project cost increased from \$179,000 to \$182,000
DOT09-6C00	2009	ADOT	60 (Grand Ave): Loop 303 (Estrella Pkwy) to Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy)	Widen roadway and improve interchanges	10.00	RARF	0	0	24,000,000	24,000,000	Project deleted and replaced by DOT09-6C00R due to reduction in eastern limit from Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy) to 99th Ave
DOT09-6C01	2009	ADOT	60 (Grand Ave): Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy) to McDowell Rd	Design roadway widening	12.50	RARF	0	0	2,700,000	2,700,000	Project cost increased from \$1,375,000 to \$2,700,000
DOT08-670	2008	ADOT	60 (Superstition Fwy): I-10 to Loop 101 (Pima/Price Fwy)	Design general purpose lanes	4.50	State	700,000	0	0	700,000	Project cost increased from \$440,000 to \$700,000
DOT07-650	2008	ADOT	202 (Red Mountain Fwy): Rural Rd to Loop 101 (Pima Fwy), WB lanes	Design roadway widening	2.00	RARF	0	0	800,000	800,000	Project total cost increased from \$495,000 to \$800,000

**STATUS: No Change**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
DOT08-675	2008	ADOT	202 (Red Mountain Fwy) at I-10/SR-51 TI to Loop 101 (Pima Fwy), EB lanes	Design widening	.40	State	4,800,000	0	0	4,800,000	Project total cost increased from \$ 3,300,000 to \$4,800,000
DOT08-681	2008	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Design and purchase right of way for roadway improvements (FY 2008)	15.00	RARF	0	0	10,000,000	10,000,000	Project deleted and replaced by straight design phase (DOT08-681D)
DOT08-681D	2008	ADOT	303 (Estrella Pkwy): I-10 to US-60 (Grand Ave)	Design roadway improvements (FY 2008)	15.00	RARF	0	0	10,000,000	10,000,000	New project added to FY 2008 with \$10,000,000 RARF funds (replaces DOT08-681)
DOT07-656	2007	ADOT	MAG regionwide	Asphalt rubber noise mitigation (FY 2007)	.00	RARF	0	0	14,200,000	14,200,000	Project total cost decreased from \$18,278,000 to \$14,200,000 due to advancement of funds to FY 2006

**STATUS: Underway**

ID#	FY	Agency	Location	Type of Work	Miles	Fund Type	Local Cost	Federal Cost	Regional Cost	Total Cost	Errata Reason
TMP04-104		Tempe	Western Canal: Price Rd to Kiwanis Park - Phase 1	Design and construct multi-use path	2.50	CMAQ	300,000	1,250,000	0	1,550,000	Project status changed from "Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007" to Underway
TMP04-224		Tempe	Western Canal: Ken McDonald GC to Baseline Rd (phase 2)	Construct multi-use path	1.25	CMAQ	400,000	1,600,000	0	2,000,000	Project status changed from "Deferred from FY 2006 to FY 2007" to Underway

# **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

## **INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

Approval of the Draft MAG Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update

**SUMMARY:**

As part of the ongoing regional transportation planning process, a Draft MAG Regional Transportation Plan (RTP) - 2006 Update has been prepared. The Draft 2006 Update was approved by the Regional Council for air quality conformity analysis on April 26, 2006. The major new items in the 2006 Update are revised revenue estimates, and inclusion of the life cycle programs for freeways/highways, arterial streets, and transit. The life cycle programs are consistent with the project priorities originally identified in the RTP, and provide a detailed listing of project scheduling and funding by year. These programs would replace the project phases and costs that were originally presented in the RTP. Inclusion of the life cycle programs in the RTP will facilitate progress monitoring and establish a basis for future decision-making regarding possible program adjustments. Minor changes that are reflected in the Errata Sheets accompanying the Draft FY 2007-2011 MAG Transportation Improvement Program (see agenda item #4D), which do not affect air quality conformity analysis, also apply to the 2006 RTP Update.

The 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments require that transportation plans and programs be in conformance with applicable air quality plans. To comply with this requirement, a technical conformity analysis was performed on the RTP and TIP and demonstrated that they meet all air quality conformity requirements. On June 15, 2006, a public hearing was conducted on the Draft 2006 RTP Update, the Draft FY 2007-2011 TIP, and the Air Quality Conformity Analysis. On June 29, 2006, the MAG Transportation Review Committee recommended approval of the Draft 2006 Update, contingent upon a finding of air quality conformity.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

The results of early and mid-phase public input meetings for the Draft 2006 RTP Update and Draft FY 2007-2011 TIP were presented in the FY 2006 Early Phase Input Opportunity Report and the FY 2006 Mid-Phase Input Opportunity Report. These reports have been previously transmitted. An opportunity for input also occurred at the Final Phase/Air Quality Conformity Public Hearing held on June 15, 2006. Comments received at this hearing are provided in the FY 2006 Final Phase Input Opportunity Report, which is included with Agenda Item #5.

**PROS & CONS:**

**PROS:** The RTP is a federal requirement. Approval of this Update incorporates the latest information and helps continue the region's eligibility for federal funds.

**CONS:** None.

**TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

**TECHNICAL:** The Update ensures consistency between the TIP and Plan for purposes of conformity analysis.

**POLICY:** Inclusion of the life cycle programs in the RTP will facilitate progress monitoring and assist in the decision-making process regarding possible adjustments to project scopes and priorities.

**ACTION NEEDED:**

Recommend approval of the Draft MAG Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update, contingent upon a finding of conformity of the RTP - 2006 Update and TIP with applicable state and federal air quality implementation plans.

**PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

Transportation Review Committee: On June 29, 2006, the MAG Transportation Review Committee recommended approval of the Draft MAG Regional Transportation Plan - 2006 Update, contingent upon a finding of conformity of the RTP with the applicable state and federal air quality implementation plans.

**MEMBERS ATTENDING**

- Maricopa County: Mike Ellegood, Chair
- ADOT: Bill Hayden for Dan Lance
- Avondale: David Fitzhugh
- Buckeye: Scott Lowe
- Chandler: Patrice Kraus
- El Mirage: Mark Fooks for BJ Cornwall
- Fountain Hills: Randy Harrel
- \*Gila Bend: Lynn Farmer
- \*Gilbert: Tami Ryall
- Glendale: Terry Johnson
- Goodyear: Cato Esquivel
- Guadalupe: Jim Ricker
- \*Litchfield Park: Mike Cartsonis
- Mesa: Jim Huling
- \*Paradise Valley: Robert M. Cicarelli
- Peoria: David Moody
- Phoenix: Don Herp for Tom Callow
- Queen Creek: Mark Young
- RPTA: Bryan Jungwirth
- Scottsdale: Mary O'Connor
- Surprise: Stephanie Wilson for Randy Overmyer
- Tempe: Carlos De Leon
- +Wickenburg: Shane Dille
- Valley Metro Rail: John Farry

**EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS ATTENDING**

- \*Regional Bicycle Task Force: Randi Alcott, RPTA
- \*Street Committee: Darryl Crossman
- \*Pedestrian Working Group: Eric Iwersen
- \*ITS Committee: Alan Sanderson

- \* - Members neither present nor represented by proxy.
- + - Attended by Videoconference
- # - Attended by Audioconference

**CONTACT PERSON:**

Roger Herzog, MAG, 602-254-6300.

# **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

## **INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

Federal Fiscal Year 2006 MAG Federal Funds Final Closeout and Amendment/Adjustments to the FY 2006-2010 MAG Transportation Improvement Program

**SUMMARY:**

On April 26, 2006, the MAG Regional Council approved the deferral of 19 projects, totaling almost \$11.2 million, from FFY 2006 to 2007 and, on June 28, 2006, the Regional Council approved the deferral of two more projects for a combined total of \$12.1 million. At the same meeting, the Council approved a list of projects to utilize the funds available and two further contingency projects, totaling \$1.3 million for any additional, supplemental or redistributed Obligation Authority (OA) that may become available. Since that time, one additional project, totaling \$800,000 has requested to be deferred, which effectively reduced the list of contingency projects to only \$500,000. On June 29, 2006, the Transportation Review Committee recommended an additional list of projects for any further additional, supplemental or redistributed OA that may become available during the remaining months of the federal fiscal year.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

An opportunity for public input was provided at the MAG Transportation Review Committee meeting on June 29, 2006. No direct public comment was received.

**PROS & CONS:**

**PROS:** Approval of these recommendations will allow for additional and accelerated transportation projects to be funded in the MAG region. If all MAG federal funds are obligated on time, redistributed OA may become available.

**CONS:** If the OA is not used by September 30, 2006, the region may not receive any redistributed OA and may lose the OA that is currently available. There is no guarantee that sufficient funds will be available in the following fiscal year to cover any or all of the deferred projects.

**TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

**TECHNICAL:** Action to close out the FFY 2006 MAG federally funded program is needed to ensure that all MAG federal funds are fully used in a timely and equitable manner. These actions include any necessary amendments or administrative adjustments to the FY 2006-2010 MAG TIP to allow the projects to proceed.

**POLICY:** Previously adopted MAG policies on the allocation of uncommitted and redistributed federal funds to projects have been followed.

**ACTION NEEDED:**

Recommend approval of the final closeout of Federal FY 2006, and recommend amending/adjusting the FY 2006-2010 MAG TIP to allow the projects to proceed.

**PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

Transportation Review Committee: On June 29, 2006, the TRC recommended the deferral of one addition of one additional Mesa project, adding seven further projects to the prioritized list of contingency projects and unanimously recommended that the projects as shown in the attached Table should be allowed to utilize funds that may become available.

MEMBERS ATTENDING

- Maricopa County: Mike Ellegood, Chairman
- ADOT: Bill Hayden for Dan Lance
- Avondale: David Fitzhugh
- Buckeye: Scott Lowe
- Chandler: Patrice Kraus
- El Mirage: Mark Fooks for B.J. Cornwall
- Fountain Hills: Randy Harrel
- \* Gila Bend: Lynn Farmer
- \* Gilbert: Tami Ryall
- Glendale: Terry Johnson
- Goodyear: Cato Esquivel
- Guadalupe: Jim Ricker
- \* Litchfield Park: Mike Cartsonis
- Mesa: Jim Huling
- \* Paradise Valley: Robert M. Cicarelli
- Peoria: David Moody
- Phoenix: Don Herp for Tom Callow
- Queen Creek: Mark Young
- RPTA: Bryan Jungwirth
- Scottsdale: Mary O'Connor
- Surprise: Stephanie Wilson for Randy Overmyer
- Tempe: Carlos De Leon
- # Wickenburg: Shane Dille
- Valley Metro Rail: John Farry

EX-OFFICIO MEMBERS ATTENDING

- \* Regional Bike Task Force: Randi Alcott, RPTA
- \* Street Committee: Darryl Crossman, Litchfield Park
- \* Pedestrian Working Group: Eric Iwersen, Tempe
- \* ITS Committee: Alan Sanderson, Mesa

\* Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.  
#Attended by video-conference or by telephone conference call.

**CONTACT PERSON:**

Paul Ward, MAG, 602-254-6300

**TABLE 1 - REGIONAL COUNCIL APPROVED CONTINGENCY PROJECTS  
FOR FINAL FY 2006 CLOSEOUT FUNDS (as at 6/28/06)**

AGENCY	PROJECT	FUND TYPE	REQUESTED AMOUNT	RECOMMENDED AMOUNT
<b>Recommended Projects for Additional or Redistributed Obligation Authority (\$1.0 million+) in order of priority:</b>				
VM Rail	Regionwide: Construct light rail starter segment	CMAQ	\$3,000,000	\$1,000,000
Surprise	Bell Rd: US-60 (Grand Ave) to 114th Ave; Sidewalk design & ROW	CMAQ	\$400,000	\$400,000
<b>Total of ALL Recommended Closeout Projects for Redistributed OA</b>			<b>\$3,400,000</b>	<b>\$1,400,000</b>

**TABLE 2 - ADDITIONAL TRC CONTINGENCY PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS  
FOR FINAL FY 2006 CLOSEOUT FUNDS (as at 6/29/06)**

AGENCY	PROJECT	RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	RUNNING TOTAL
<b>Recommended Projects for Additional, Supplemental or Redistributed OA, in order of priority (ALL CMAQ):</b>			
VM Rail	Regionwide: Construct light rail starter segment	\$1,000,000	\$2,000,000
Avondale	McDowell Rd: Agua Fria River to 119th Ave (north side); Sidewalk design	\$50,000	\$50,000
Chandler	Germann Rd at Arizona Ave: Design regional Park and Ride (1 of 2)	\$150,000	\$150,000
Scottsdale	Hayden Rd at Via de Ventura: Intersection improvements - additional funds (1 of 2)	\$150,000	\$150,000

**TABLE 2 - ADDITIONAL TRC CONTINGENCY PROJECT RECOMMENDATIONS  
FOR FINAL FY 2006 CLOSEOUT FUNDS (as at 6/29/06)**

AGENCY	PROJECT	RECOMMENDED AMOUNT	RUNNING TOTAL
Mesa	South Canal: McKellips Rd to McDowell Rd; additional funds	\$100,000	\$100,000
ADOT	Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy): Camelback Rd to Northern Ave; Install FMS	\$500,000	\$789,743
Chandler	Germann Rd at Arizona Ave: Design regional Park and Ride (2 of 2)	\$150,000	\$300,000
Scottsdale	Hayden Rd at Via de Ventura: Intersection improvements - additional funds (2 of 2)	\$150,000	\$300,000
Tempe	Western Canal: Multi-use pathway - additional funds	\$250,000	\$250,000
VM Rail	Regionwide: Construct light rail starter segment	\$1,000,000	\$3,000,000
ADOT	Loop 101 (Agua Fria Fwy): Camelback Rd to Northern Ave; Install FMS	\$210,257	\$1,000,000
<b>Total of ALL Recommended Closeout Projects for Additional and Redistributed OA</b>		<b>\$3,710,257</b>	<b>\$8,089,743</b>

Note: Final recommendation is, after all of the above contingency projects are obligated (as appropriate) to allow ADOT to utilize any surplus obligation authority that may be available and return it in FFY 2006.

# **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

## **INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

Enhancement Funds Working Group Round XIV Recommendations

**SUMMARY:**

The Enhancement Funds Working Group (EFWG) was formed by the MAG Regional Council in April 1993 to review and recommend a ranked list of Enhancement Fund applications from this region to the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) Transportation Enhancement Review Committee (TERC). In April 2006, after MAG was notified by ADOT that Round XIV Enhancement Fund applications will be due on September 1, 2006, MAG member agencies were informed of the availability of the funding and received a schedule for the ranking and evaluation for transportation enhancement projects. Transportation enhancement funds can be used for many types of non-traditional transportation projects, including the design and construction of pedestrian walkways and bicycle paths, landscaping, historic preservation, billboard removal, archeological research, and other projects that are related to the surface transportation system. This year, 14 enhancement fund applications for projects on local roads were received totaling \$5,867,895 with approximately \$8.0 million available statewide. Two applications for projects on ADOT right-of-way were received totaling \$1,132,494 with approximately \$4.0 million available statewide. The Working Group recommends that the attached ranked applications be forwarded to ADOT for consideration by the TERC.

Projects are evaluated and ranked by the Working Group using criteria established by ADOT. The Working Group reviews applications and recommends changes to strengthen the applications and improve their ability to compete on a statewide basis. Applicants are then requested to revise their applications based upon Working Group input. After the changes are considered, the Working Group ranks the applications. Applicants are also present at the ranking meeting. Extensive opportunities for agency and public input are included in the review and ranking process that has been adopted by the EFWG.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

A workshop for potential enhancement fund applicants is held prior to each funding cycle to explain the transportation enhancement process. Notice of the workshop is mailed to persons interested in bicycling, the arts, landscape architecture, planning, hiking, historic preservation, and alternative mode transportation. In addition, the availability of enhancement funds is communicated to the MAG Management Committee, Transportation Review Committee, Regional Bicycle Task Force, Pedestrian Working Group, Street Committee, and planning directors of member agencies. Further, all meetings of the Enhancement Funds Working Group are held in accordance with the open meeting law. The committee co-chairs provide abundant opportunity for applicants to clarify and revise their applications before ranking by the Enhancement Funds Working Group.

Extensive opportunities for agency and public input are included in the review and ranking process that has been adopted by the EFWG. These input opportunities occur at EFWG committee meetings.

**PROS & CONS:**

PROS: Forwarding the ranked applications creates this region’s opportunity to obtain federal funds for projects which fall into the eleven enhancement fund categories.

CONS: None.

**TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

TECHNICAL: None.

POLICY: None.

**ACTION NEEDED:**

Recommend that the ranked applications from the MAG Enhancement Funds Working Group be forwarded to the Arizona Department of Transportation for consideration by the State Transportation Enhancement Review Committee.

**PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

On June 22, 2006, the Enhancement Funds Working Group unanimously recommended forwarding the ranked list of applications to the Arizona Department of Transportation (ADOT) Transportation Enhancement Review Committee (TERC).

**MEMBERS ATTENDING**

Phoenix: Councilmember Michael Johnson,  
Co-Chair

Glendale: Ed Beasley, Co-Chair, representing  
the MAG Management Committee

Tempe: Robert Yabes, representing the  
MAG Street Committee

Scottsdale: Dawn Coomer, representing  
the MAG Pedestrian Working Group

Bill Lazenby: representing the  
MAG Regional Bicycle Task Force

Doug Kupel, representing Archaeological and  
Historic Preservation (Arizona Preservation  
Foundation)

Angela Dye, representing the American  
Society of Landscape Architects, Arizona  
Chapter

Robert Shultz, representing the Arts  
Community

\* Andre Licardi, representing the Arizona  
Commission of the Arts

\* Those not attending.

**CONTACT PERSON:**

Ken Hall, (602) 254-6300

**ROUND XIV ENHANCEMENT FUND APPLICATIONS  
TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT FUND WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS  
RANKED BY FUNDING TYPE**

APPLICANT	PROJECT	MATCH (%)	FEDERAL FUNDS	CUMM. TOTAL	RANK
<b>LOCAL PROJECTS</b>					
Phoenix	<b>South Mountain Community College Pedestrian Crossing</b> - This project will provide a 40-foot long by 10' wide pedestrian bridge over the Western Canal linking the South Mountain Community College, the Legacy Village Shopping Center, and the Arizona Agribusiness Equine Science Center. Located near the intersection of 24 <sup>th</sup> St. and Baseline Rd. Also includes a crosswalk, landscaping, and ADA ramp.	\$120,940 (19.7%)	\$491,151	\$491,151	1
Scottsdale	<b>Arizona Canal Multiuse Path: 64<sup>th</sup> Street to Goldwater Boulevard</b> - Involves the construction of a 10' to 12' Multiuse path along the south bank of the Arizona Canal from 64 <sup>th</sup> Street to Goldwater Boulevard, a distance of 0.9 miles. Will include landscaping, site furnishings and locally funded artist involvement. The path is in the middle of Scottsdale's Downtown, and would connect two existing TE-funded projects. It provides a critical link in the regional loop system of pathways and trails connecting Phoenix, Scottsdale and Tempe.	\$1,107,820 (68.9%)	\$500,000	\$991,151	2
Glendale	<b>West Glendale Avenue Walkway and Connectivity Enhancements</b> - This project involves removing approximately 3,000 linear feet of existing 4' wide sidewalk and installing a multi-use path (10' to 14' wide). Includes pedestrian and bicyclist amenities, landscaping, shade trees and crosswalk improvements on the north side of Glendale Avenue from North 59 <sup>th</sup> Avenue to North 63 <sup>rd</sup> Avenue. Improves linkages between the new Grand Avenue Underpass, local businesses, residential areas, and the Glendale High School to the north of W. Glendale Ave.	\$1,188,495 (70.4%)	\$500,000	\$1,491,151	3

**ROUND XIV ENHANCEMENT FUND APPLICATIONS  
TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT FUND WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS  
RANKED BY FUNDING TYPE**

APPLICANT	PROJECT	MATCH (%)	FEDERAL FUNDS	CUMM. TOTAL	RANK
Glendale	<b>Grand Canal Pedestrian Pathway Between Loop 101 and N. 107<sup>th</sup> Avenue</b> - The Grand Avenue Canal is a 10' wide, 1.3 mile long Multiuse path to be built along the existing canal maintenance roads on the W. Bethany Home Rd. alignment, between Loop 101 and North 107 <sup>th</sup> Avenue. Includes pedestrian and bicycle amenities, lighting and landscaping. This project is the link in Western Glendale's trail system.	\$178,268 (26.3%)	\$500,000	\$1,991,151	4
Scottsdale	<b>Arizona Canal Multiuse Path: Chaparral Road to McDonald Drive</b> - Construction of a 10' to 12' Multiuse path along the south bank of the Arizona Canal from Chaparral Road to McDonald Drive, a distance of one mile. Will include landscaping, site furnishings, locally funded artist elements and connections to local neighborhoods at Vista Drive and Miller Rd./Jackrabbit Rd. It provides a critical link in the region's path system.	\$1,718,460 (70.9%)	\$500,000	\$2,491,151	5
Avondale	<b>Agua Fria River Connector Trail - Phase II</b> - Involves the construction of a 1.75 mile, 12' wide multi-use path that will connect Coldwater and Friendship parks. It provides a connection from Old Town Avondale to the Agua Fria River and many area business centers. The project incorporates Public Art at trail access points, and includes landscaping, a handrail, irrigation and pedestrian lighting.	\$988,950 (66.4%)	\$500,000	\$2,991,151	6

**ROUND XIV ENHANCEMENT FUND APPLICATIONS  
TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT FUND WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS  
RANKED BY FUNDING TYPE**

APPLICANT	PROJECT	MATCH (%)	FEDERAL FUNDS	CUMM. TOTAL	RANK
Mesa/ASU	<b>Twining Pedestrian and Bicycle Mall, ASU Polytechnic Campus</b> - This project is a 495' long, 250' wide roadway that will offer shade structures, seating areas, drinking fountains, bike racks, lighting, handicap access, and safe travel for pedestrians and bicyclists. The project will connect parking lots, housing units, classroom buildings, offices and common areas. Future pathways will branch off this main mall providing access to the farther reaches of campus and to other facilities and entities sharing this site, such as the Williams Gateway Airport, Chandler Gilbert Community College and the House of Refuge East.	\$447,475 (53.1%)	\$395,000	\$3,386,151	7
Litchfield Park	<b>The Litchfield Road and Wigwam Boulevard Pedestrian Underpass and Public Art Project</b> - Involves the construction of a pedestrian underpass across Litchfield Road (north of Wigwam Blvd.), which involves a 30' by 120' bridge over the underground pathway. It also includes the completion of a 12' wide pathway link, curbing, design, and construction of gateway features, ramps, a retaining wall, ramp landings, concrete stairs, railings, lighting, drainage and traffic control features. Public art will be integrated throughout the project. It completes a critical connective link to an extensive pathway system in the city, and provides children with a safer alternative to school.	\$1,236,000 (71.2%)	\$500,000	\$3,886,151	8
Avondale	<b>City of Avondale Pedestrian Safety Education Program</b> - Will allow the city to procure materials and equipment to implement a pedestrian safety education program.	\$684 (5.7%)	\$11,316	\$3,897,467	9

**ROUND XIV ENHANCEMENT FUND APPLICATIONS  
TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT FUND WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS  
RANKED BY FUNDING TYPE**

APPLICANT	PROJECT	MATCH (%)	FEDERAL FUNDS	CUMM. TOTAL	RANK
Queen Creek	<b>Queen Creek Wash Multi-Use Trail</b> - Involves the construction a 10' wide, one mile section of the Queen Creek Multi-Use Trail, between Hawes Road and Ellsworth Road. This section will be constructed along the bank of the Queen Creek Wash, and ties into an existing path; several locations containing bicycle lanes; Desert Mountain Park; and the municipal center at Ellsworth Road. Includes concrete construction and signage.	\$23,297 (5.7%)	\$385,428	\$4,282,895	10
Cave Creek	<b>Cave Creek Town Core Non-Motorized Transportation System Accessible to Persons with Disabilities</b> - Involves the installation of a 6' wide, 0.75 mile long multi-use path system at the south side of Cave Creek Rd., between Hidden Valley Dr. and Viola Lane. The path will be constructed of integral color, exposed aggregate concrete and will be ADA accessible. Includes landscaping, retention areas and signage. Project will use vertical curbing, benches and planters to serve as a buffer between pedestrians and vehicular traffic.	\$209,927 (29.6%)	\$500,000	\$4,782,895	11
Gilbert	<b>Gilbert Heritage District Downtown Pedestrian Project</b> - Will improve pedestrian access in Gilbert's downtown Heritage District by installing a total of 1.25 miles of 6' wide, ADA-compliant concrete sidewalks and shade trees north of Elliot Road, between Gilbert Road and North Oak Street. Provides linkages between downtown destinations, including a park-and-ride lot, the Gilbert Senior Center and the Boys and Girls Club. Existing sidewalks are inadequate, and the project will enhance safety and connectivity. Also includes benches, bike racks, trash receptacles and signage.	\$90,000 (15.3%)	\$500,000	\$5,282,895	12

**ROUND XIV ENHANCEMENT FUND APPLICATIONS  
TRANSPORTATION ENHANCEMENT FUND WORKING GROUP RECOMMENDATIONS  
RANKED BY FUNDING TYPE**

APPLICANT	PROJECT	MATCH (%)	FEDERAL FUNDS	CUMM. TOTAL	RANK
Surprise	<b>Replacement of Asphalt Sidewalks: 141<sup>st</sup> Avenue to SR 303</b> - This project involves the construction of approximately 5 miles of concrete sidewalk along Bell Road. The sidewalks will be 6' wide, and are located between State Route 303 and 141 <sup>st</sup> Avenue, on the north and south sides of Bell Road. The existing sidewalks are in poor condition and pose a safety hazard. The city will improve pedestrian usage and connectivity by replacing the old asphalt sidewalks with concrete. The project will also include ADA compliant ramps.	\$775,000 (60.8%)	\$500,000	\$5,782,895	13
Litchfield Park	<b>Landscape Enhancements for Two segments of the Litchfield Park Trails System</b> - This project will consist of enhancements to beautify two areas of the existing trail system that stand out as being notably barren. To be located at along the Old Litchfield Road alignment, between Desert Avenue and La Loma Drive. Improvements will include trees, shrubs, and cactus. Decomposed granite, a drip irrigation system, 10 benches, and 10 trash receptacles will also be installed.	\$42,000 (33.1%)	\$85,000	\$5,867,895	14
<b>STATE PROJECTS</b>					
Wickenburg ADOT	<b>Downtown Street Scape Project (US60 &amp; US93)</b> - Conversion of the 1962 US 60/US 93 bridge across the Hassayampa River into a pedestrian walkway, and the restoration of the 1946 railroad underpass on US 60. Includes landscaping and site furnishings.	\$16,730 (5.7%)	\$276,786	\$276,786	1
Wickenburg ADOT	<b>US 60 Multi-Use Path</b> - Involves the construction of a 10' wide, multi-use path within the right-of-way of US 60 within the Town of Wickenburg, from the Vulture Mine Road crossing to Los Altos Drive, a distance of 1.4 miles. Phase II of the original master plan for pedestrian access from the Town Core to Sunset Park. Includes landscaping (seeding) and signage.	\$51,724 (5.7%)	\$855,708	\$1,132,494	2

# **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

## **INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility

**SUMMARY:**

Maricopa County has requested that MAG amend the 208 Water Quality Management Plan to include the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility with an ultimate capacity of 15 million gallons per day. The facility would be located in unincorporated Maricopa County in the northeast quadrant of 403<sup>rd</sup> Avenue and Indian School Road within Section 19 of Township 2 North, Range 6 West and Section 24 of Township 2 North, Range 7 West. Reclaimed water would be disposed of through reuse, recharge, and an Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit discharge to the adjacent wash (Section 30 of Township 2 North, Range 6 West, as identified in the Palo Verde Watershed Zone A Flood Delineation Study). The discharge point would be located along the northeast edge of the facility site, near the confluence of the adjacent wash and Winters Wash. Written comments, the public hearing transcript, and the response by Maricopa County to public comments will be transmitted under a separate cover memorandum.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

On June 27, 2006, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee conducted a public hearing on the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility. At the public hearing, three testimonies were submitted on the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment. In addition, MAG received seven letters with written comments on the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment.

Written comments were received from Sierra Negra Ranch opposing the inclusion of any part of their 2757 acres as part of the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility. The comments provided were: the Draft Balterra 208 Plan Amendment should be held for simultaneous consideration with any and all other competing applications including the pending filing by Global Water Resources; the Balterra 208 Plan Amendment was submitted without consent; Balterra has been nonresponsive to requests for information; the proposed developer owned utility cannot truly act as a nonbiased provider; service has been requested from Hassayampa Utilities Company (HUC), owned by Global Water Resources; and, support was given for the HUC 208 Plan Amendment filed on May 8, 2006 as a regional solution that would allow communities north and south of I-10 to be served, preventing one property owner from having two or more utility providers of the same service.

Advanced Commercial Real Estate Services & Development (ACRES) provided written comments opposing the inclusion of their 67 acres in the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility. Support was expressed for the Hassayampa Utilities Company 208 Plan Amendment and their service as been requested.

Written comments from Triyar Tonopah Investments opposing the inclusion of their 1400 acres in the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility were received. The

comments provided were: service needs of the greater Tonopah area; concern of having two separate service providers for their property since the Draft Balterra 208 Plan Amendment only addresses the portion north of I-10; concern that Balterra will use its position as a utility provider to gain unearned competitive advantage in the marketplace; and, support was given for the HUC 208 Plan Amendment.

New World Properties provided written comments opposing the inclusion of their 1200 acres in the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility. The comments provided were: service has been requested from Hassayampa Utilities Company; support has been given for the HUC 208 Plan Amendment; concern of having two separate service providers for their property since the Draft Balterra 208 Plan Amendment only addresses the portion north of I-10; and, concern that Balterra will use its position as a utility provider to gain unearned competitive advantage in the marketplace.

Written comments were provided on the Draft 208 Plan Amendment by Christopher Benjamin regarding a 18.257 acre parcel and a 58.315 acre parcel. He objected to the inclusion of these parcels in the Draft Balterra 208 Plan Amendment. He also expressed concern that the draft amendment would not fully service the needs of the entire Tonopah Valley.

Written comments were received from Hassayampa Utilities Company/Global Water Resources. The comments included the following: the concept of regionalization; the filing of the HUC 208 Plan Amendment for 175 sections that maximizes the regionalization approach; the ability for the Hassayampa Utility Company to serve the Balterra development; and, the ability for HUC to serve the Saddle Mountain Unified School District No. 90. It was requested that if the Draft Balterra 208 Plan Amendment continues to move forward, that it be limited to the two section Balterra development.

A representative from Global Water Resources/Hassayampa Utilities Company provided public testimony opposing the service area proposed in the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility. She requested that the service area be limited to the two section area that is the Balterra development. Her comments also included the following: background on Global Water Resources/Hassayampa Utility Company; the HUC 208 Plan Amendment filed on May 8, 2006 for 175 sections; and, the possibility of slowing down the Balterra 208 amendment so that the HUC 208 amendment can catch up and the applications could be compared.

Public testimony was received from a representative from the law offices of Gallagher & Kennedy on behalf of New World Properties. He expressed concern of having two separate service providers for his client's property since the Draft Balterra 208 Plan Amendment only addresses the portion north of I-10. He indicated that service has been requested from Hassayampa Utility Company. His comments also included the following: the policy of the Arizona Corporation Commission to have consolidated water and wastewater utilities, which could be provided by Global Water Resources; the reputation of Global Water Resources; and, the current Certificate of Convenience and Necessity for the Balterra project.

A representative from Sierra Negra Ranch provided public testimony opposing the Draft Balterra 208 Plan Amendment. He indicated that their property was included without permission. Additional comments included the following: a request for a fully integrated solution; Sierra Negra Ranch has been working with Global Water Resources; the request from the Saddle Mountain Unified School District No. 90 for a regional solution; concern of having two separate service providers for their property since the Draft Balterra 208 Plan Amendment only addresses the portion north of I-10; and, attempt to work with Balterra. He indicated that Sierra Negra Ranch did not comment on the Balterra plan because they were working with Global Water Resources.

## **PROS & CONS:**

PROS: Approval of the 208 Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility would make the facility consistent with the MAG 208 Plan. The MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan is the key guiding document used by Maricopa County and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality in granting permits for wastewater treatment systems in the MAG region.

CONS: Currently, there are concerns about the service area for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility included in the 208 Plan Amendment.

## **TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

TECHNICAL: The Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility is needed to accommodate growth in the Maricopa County unincorporated area.

POLICY: The MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan is the key guiding document used by Maricopa County and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality in granting permits for wastewater treatment systems in the MAG region. Approval of the 208 Plan Amendment would enable the facility to be deemed consistent with the MAG 208 Plan. Consistency is necessary for permit approvals.

## **ACTION NEEDED:**

Recommend approval of the Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility.

## **PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

Water Quality Advisory Committee: On June 27, 2006, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee conducted a public hearing on the Draft 208 Plan Amendment for the Balterra Wastewater Treatment Facility. Following the hearing, the Committee recommended approval of the Draft 208 Plan Amendment to the MAG Management Committee, with one member voting no (*italics*) and one member abstaining (**shaded**).

## MEMBERS ATTENDING

Roger Klingler, Scottsdale, Chair  
\*Avondale: Greg Stack  
Buckeye: Lucky Roberts  
#Chandler: Jacqueline Strong  
\*El Mirage: Michael Salisbury  
\*Gilbert: Lonnie Frost  
Glendale: Chris Ochs  
Goodyear: David Iwanski  
#Mesa: *Bill Haney*  
\*Peoria: Stephen Bontrager

Phoenix: Robert Hollander  
Surprise: Rich Williams Sr.  
Tempe: David McNeil  
Maricopa County: Dale Bodiya for John Power  
**Pinnacle West Capital: Maria Mahar for John Boyer**  
\*Salt River Project: Ray Hedrick  
\*U of A Cooperative Extension: Patrick Clay  
Citizen Representative: Eugene Jensen

\*Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.  
#Attended by telephone conference call.

## **CONTACT PERSON:**

Julie Hoffman, MAG, 602-254-6300

# **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

## **INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 4 Regional Water Reclamation Facility

**SUMMARY:**

The City of Surprise has requested that MAG amend the 208 Water Quality Management Plan to include the Special Planning Area 4 Regional Water Reclamation Facility with an ultimate capacity of eight million gallons per day. The facility would be located in the northwest quarter of Section 28 of Township 5 North, Range 2 West. Reclaimed water would be disposed of through reuse, recharge, and potential future Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit discharge points to the Agua Fria River or unnamed washes located south of the facility and west of the Agua Fria River (northwest quarter of Section 28 of Township 5 North, Range 2 West). The towns of Buckeye and Wickenburg, City of Peoria, and unincorporated Maricopa County are within three miles of the project, and all have indicated no objections.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

On June 27, 2006, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee conducted a public hearing on the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment. No public comments were received on the Draft 208 Amendment.

**PROS & CONS:**

**PROS:** Approval of the 208 Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 4 Regional Water Reclamation Facility would make the facility consistent with the MAG 208 Plan. The MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan is the key guiding document used by Maricopa County and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality in granting permits for wastewater treatment systems in the MAG region.

**CONS:** Currently, there do not appear to be any negative impacts associated with the approval of the 208 Plan Amendment.

**TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

**TECHNICAL:** The Special Planning Area 4 Regional Water Reclamation Facility is needed to accommodate growth in the City of Surprise Wastewater Planning Area.

**POLICY:** The MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan is the key guiding document used by Maricopa County and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality in granting permits for wastewater treatment systems in the MAG region. Approval of the 208 Plan Amendment would enable the facility to be deemed consistent with the MAG 208 Plan. Consistency is necessary for permit approvals.

**ACTION NEEDED:**

Recommend approval of the Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 4 Regional Water Reclamation Facility.

**PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

Water Quality Advisory Committee: On June 27, 2006, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee conducted a public hearing on the Draft 208 Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 4 Regional Water Reclamation Facility. Following the hearing, the Committee unanimously recommended approval of the Draft 208 Plan Amendment to the MAG Management Committee.

**MEMBERS ATTENDING**

Roger Klingler, Scottsdale, Chair  
\*Avondale: Greg Stack  
Buckeye: Lucky Roberts  
#Chandler: Jacqueline Strong  
\*El Mirage: Michael Salisbury  
\*Gilbert: Lonnie Frost  
Glendale: Chris Ochs  
Goodyear: David Iwanski  
#Mesa: Bill Haney  
\*Peoria: Stephen Bontrager

Phoenix: Robert Hollander  
Surprise: Rich Williams Sr.  
Tempe: David McNeil  
Maricopa County: Dale Bodiya for John Power  
Pinnacle West Capital: Maria Mahar for John Boyer  
\*Salt River Project: Ray Hedrick  
\*U of A Cooperative Extension: Patrick Clay  
Citizen Representative: Eugene Jensen

\*Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.  
#Attended by telephone conference call.

**CONTACT PERSON:**

Julie Hoffman, MAG, 602-254-6300

# **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

## **INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 5 Regional Water Reclamation Facility

**SUMMARY:**

The City of Surprise has requested that MAG amend the 208 Water Quality Management Plan to include the Special Planning Area 5 Regional Water Reclamation Facility with an ultimate capacity of eight million gallons per day. The facility would be located in the southwest quarter of Section 36 of Township 5 North, Range 3 West. Reclaimed water would be disposed of through reuse, recharge, and potential future Arizona Pollutant Discharge Elimination System Permit discharge points to the Hassayampa River, Trilby Wash, or an unnamed wash east of the facility (southwest quarter of Section 36 of Township 5 North, Range 3 West). The towns of Buckeye and Wickenburg and unincorporated Maricopa County are within three miles of the project, and all have indicated no objections.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

On June 27, 2006, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee conducted a public hearing on the Draft MAG 208 Plan Amendment. No public comments were received on the Draft 208 Amendment.

**PROS & CONS:**

PROS: Approval of the 208 Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 5 Regional Water Reclamation Facility would make the facility consistent with the MAG 208 Plan. The MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan is the key guiding document used by Maricopa County and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality in granting permits for wastewater treatment systems in the MAG region.

CONS: Currently, there do not appear to be any negative impacts associated with the approval of the 208 Plan Amendment.

**TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

TECHNICAL: The Special Planning Area 5 Regional Water Reclamation Facility is needed to accommodate growth in the City of Surprise Wastewater Planning Area.

POLICY: The MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan is the key guiding document used by Maricopa County and the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality in granting permits for wastewater treatment systems in the MAG region. Approval of the 208 Plan Amendment would enable the facility to be deemed consistent with the MAG 208 Plan. Consistency is necessary for permit approvals.

**ACTION NEEDED:**

Recommend approval of the Draft MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 5 Regional Water Reclamation Facility.

**PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

Water Quality Advisory Committee: On June 27, 2006, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee conducted a public hearing on the Draft 208 Plan Amendment for the City of Surprise Special Planning Area 5 Regional Water Reclamation Facility. Following the hearing, the Committee unanimously recommended approval of the Draft 208 Plan Amendment to the MAG Management Committee.

**MEMBERS ATTENDING**

Roger Klingler, Scottsdale, Chair

\*Avondale: Greg Stack

Buckeye: Lucky Roberts

#Chandler: Jacqueline Strong

\*El Mirage: Michael Salisbury

\*Gilbert: Lonnie Frost

Glendale: Chris Ochs

Goodyear: David Iwanski

#Mesa: Bill Haney

\*Peoria: Stephen Bontrager

Phoenix: Robert Hollander

Surprise: Rich Williams Sr.

Tempe: David McNeil

Maricopa County: Dale Bodiya for John Power

Pinnacle West Capital: Maria Mahar for John Boyer

\*Salt River Project: Ray Hedrick

\*U of A Cooperative Extension: Patrick Clay  
Citizen Representative: Eugene Jensen

\*Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

#Attended by telephone conference call.

**CONTACT PERSON:**

Julie Hoffman, MAG, 602-254-6300

**2005 Census Survey of Maricopa County, Arizona - Final Survey Results**  
 September 1, 2005 Estimates of Population and Housing Units  
 for Maricopa County, Places in Maricopa County, and Unincorporated Areas

	Total Resident Population	Total Resident Housing Unit Population	Total Resident non-Housing Unit Population	Total Housing Units	Total Occupied Housing Units
<b>Maricopa County Total*</b>	<b>3,700,516</b>	<b>3,636,197</b>	<b>64,319</b>	<b>1,461,506</b>	<b>1,360,089</b>
Avondale	69,356	69,182	174	22,510	21,468
Buckeye	25,406	20,557	4,849	7,262	6,506
Carefree	3,684	3,684	0	2,180	1,724
Cave Creek	4,766	4,761	5	2,228	2,021
Chandler	230,845	229,288	1,557	86,927	83,640
El Mirage	32,061	31,988	73	10,192	9,478
Fountain Hills	24,492	24,321	171	12,243	10,777
Gila Bend	1,808	1,808	0	702	593
Gilbert	173,072	172,836	236	58,856	56,984
Glendale	242,369	238,406	3,963	87,491	84,407
Goodyear	46,213	43,152	3,061	16,631	15,334
Guadalupe	5,555	5,552	3	1,208	1,174
Litchfield Park	4,528	4,461	67	2,132	1,869
<b>Mesa Total</b>	<b>448,096</b>	<b>442,269</b>	<b>5,827</b>	<b>192,516</b>	<b>165,502</b>
Sub-area A	235,552	232,489	3,063	88,873	83,657
Sub-area B	212,544	209,780	2,764	103,643	81,845
Paradise Valley	13,863	13,816	47	5,640	5,069
<b>Peoria Total</b>	<b>138,143</b>	<b>135,281</b>	<b>2,862</b>	<b>51,690</b>	<b>49,206</b>
Maricopa County Part	138,109	135,247	2,862	51,674	49,190
Yavapai County Part	34	34	0	16	16
<b>Phoenix Total</b>	<b>1,475,834</b>	<b>1,446,542</b>	<b>29,292</b>	<b>544,804</b>	<b>522,180</b>
Sub-area A	151,942	150,957	985	55,434	53,822
Sub-area B	231,747	215,385	16,362	70,394	66,992
Sub-area C	418,944	415,591	3,353	135,565	130,906
Sub-area D	225,916	223,033	2,883	100,347	96,822
Sub-area E	447,285	441,576	5,709	183,064	173,638
<b>Queen Creek Total</b>	<b>16,414</b>	<b>16,174</b>	<b>240</b>	<b>5,060</b>	<b>4,794</b>
Maricopa County Part	15,916	15,676	240	4,895	4,635
Pinal County Part	498	498	0	165	159
Scottsdale	234,752	232,456	2,296	115,943	105,226
Surprise	88,265	87,775	490	39,606	33,707
Tempe	165,796	159,112	6,684	70,290	67,889
Tolleson	6,498	6,491	7	1,982	1,919
Wickenburg	6,077	5,887	190	3,170	2,763
Youngtown	6,163	5,822	341	2,719	2,574
Balance of Maricopa County	236,992	235,108	1,884	117,705	103,460

\* Maricopa County Total excludes Pinal County and Yavapai County



# **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

## **INFORMATION SUMMARY...for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

FY 2006 MAG Final Phase Public Input Opportunity

**SUMMARY:**

The Maricopa Association of Governments conducts a four phase public involvement process: Early Phase, Mid-Phase, Final Phase and Continuous Involvement. The Early Phase was completed in October 2005. The Mid-Phase concluded in April 2006. During the Final Phase Public Input Opportunity, MAG participated in and cosponsored events which took place from early May 2006 through June 15, 2006, with the Arizona Department of Transportation, Regional Public Transportation Authority (Valley Metro), and Valley Metro Rail (METRO). Various forums for input were used during the FY 2006 Final Phase Input Opportunity. MAG received public comment at all MAG policy committees during the phase. In addition, MAG also received comment via telephone and online correspondence. MAG also hosted an information booth at the Santan Freeway Opening on Wednesday, June 7, 2006, where staff was available to answer questions and respond to citizen comments. On Thursday, June 15, 2006, MAG, ADOT, Valley Metro and METRO co-hosted the Final Phase Transportation Open House and Public Hearing. Staff from all of the agencies provided information, responded to comments and answered questions.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

Input was received throughout the Final Phase Input Opportunity and is included in the attached Draft FY 2006 Final Phase Input Opportunity Report.

**PROS & CONS:**

**PROS:** The FY 2006 Final Phase Public Input Opportunity provides an opportunity for the public to provide comment on transportation plans and programs prior to approval by MAG policy committees, in accordance with federal law. The input process also provides information regarding the meeting process, content, and results to participants, staff, decision makers, federal agencies and other interested parties.

**CONS:** None.

**TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

**TECHNICAL:** This input will be considered in the development of the Draft FY 2007-2011 Transportation Improvement Program.

**POLICY:** MAG adopted an expanded public involvement process for the annual update of MAG transportation plans and programs, in accordance with the Transportation Equity Act for the 21<sup>st</sup> Century (TEA-21). The public involvement process is divided into four phases: early input, mid-phase, final phase and continuous involvement. The Final Phase process fulfills both the federal requirements and MAG policy, while the report conveys these results to policymakers.

**ACTION NEEDED:**

Recommend acceptance of the Draft FY 2006 Final Phase Input Opportunity Report.

**PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

None.

**CONTACT PERSON:**

Jason Stephens, MAG Public Involvement Planner, (602) 254-6300.



# **MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS**

## **INFORMATION SUMMARY... for your review**

**DATE:**

July 5, 2006

**SUBJECT:**

Heat Relief Planning

**SUMMARY:**

In the summer of 2005, more than 30 people died of heat-related causes in the MAG region. A number of those people were homeless. Over the past year, many heat-relief efforts have been put in place to prevent people from dying this summer from heat-related illnesses. Maricopa County, the City of Phoenix and the Department of Health Services have developed heat emergency plans and the community has come together to provide services and resources. In order to help coordinate communication and raise awareness, the MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness is developing two maps that show all available resources and services. The maps will be provided to the Management Committee at the meeting. This item is presented to inform the Committee of the plans in place and to gather information about any other efforts.

**PUBLIC INPUT:**

No public comments were received at the May meeting of the MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness nor at the June meeting of the MAG Human Services Coordination Committee.

**PROS & CONS:**

**PROS:** Planning and prevention efforts will reduce the number of deaths from heat-related illnesses. Joint planning efforts ensure collaboration throughout the community and will help to raise awareness about the issue. Such coordination also uses resources more efficiently.

**CONS:** It can be difficult and labor intensive to provide information and preventative services to homeless people. It is anticipated that this will be an ongoing priority for the outreach teams, social services agencies and municipalities.

**TECHNICAL & POLICY IMPLICATIONS:**

**TECHNICAL:** The City and County plans are initiated by National Weather Service messages. Four determining factors are considered to initiate a message: temperature, humidity, amount of cloudiness, and expected duration of heat. There are three types of heat-related messages; heat advisory, excessive heat watch, and excessive heat warning. Each message will trigger action steps ranging from public awareness campaigns to temporary emergency shelter. Two maps are being created to aid in the prevention efforts. One map will list water collection sites where donations of water and other appropriate items can be dropped off. The second map will list water hydration sites where homeless people can go to get hydrated, respite locations where homeless people can go to get out of the heat and receive water, and the low-demand shelters that have opened this summer.

**POLICY:** The coordination effort for water collection, distribution and respite locations is extensive and requires additional outreach and volunteer efforts. However, prevention efforts and planning are much more cost effective and proactive than responding to medical emergencies. Careful planning in advance will reduce the number of deaths, the cost of facing a crisis unprepared, and bad publicity received when a crisis occurs.

**ACTION NEEDED:**

Information and discussion.

**PRIOR COMMITTEE ACTIONS:**

MAG Human Services Coordinating Committee: A presentation to the MAG Human Services Coordinating Committee was provided on June 15, 2006.

**COMMITTEE MEMBERS ATTENDING**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>+ Councilmember Rob Antoniak, City of Goodyear</li> <li>+ Councilmember Dave Crozier, Town of Gilbert</li> <li>+ Councilmember Roy Delgado, City of El Mirage</li> <li>Charlene Moran Flaherty, DES/CSA</li> <li>* Councilmember Hut Hutson, City of Tempe</li> <li>* Councilmember Kyle Jones, City of Mesa</li> <li>Mayor Marie Lopez-Rogers, City of Avondale, Vice Chair</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Councilmember Manuel Martinez, City of Glendale</li> <li>+ Jim McCabe, Area Agency on Aging</li> <li>Judy Bowden for Carol McCormack, Mesa United Way</li> <li>Jayson Matthews for Janet Regner, Representative for Tempe Community Council</li> <li>* Supervisor Mary Rose Wilcox, Maricopa County, Chair</li> </ul> |
|--|--|

\*Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

+Those members present by audio/videoconferencing.

MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness: A presentation was provided to the MAG Continuum of Care Regional Committee on Homelessness on May 22, 2006.

**MEMBERS ATTENDING:**

- |  |  |
|--|--|
| <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Greg Stanton, Councilmember of Phoenix, Chair</li> <li>Roberto Armijo, Community Information &amp; Referral Services</li> <li>Karen Zienta for Maryann Beerling Thomas, New Arizona Family</li> <li>Allison Blanchard, Governor's Office</li> <li>Heather Ellis for Allie Bones, DES/CPM</li> <li>* Judy Bowden, Mesa United Way</li> <li>Brad Bridwell, US Vets</li> <li>Kathryn Brown, AZ Dept of Corrections</li> <li>* Tom Canasi, City of Tempe, Co-Vice Chair</li> <li>Kendra Cea, APS</li> <li>* Kelly Dalton, City of Goodyear</li> <li>Trinity Donovan, Valley of the Sun United Way</li> <li>Steve Frate, City of Glendale, Councilmember</li> <li>Theresa James, City of Tempe</li> <li>Don Keuth, Phoenix Community Alliance, Co-Vice Chair</li> <li>* Dan Lundberg, City of Surprise</li> <li>Mike McQuaid, HSC</li> <li>Carrie Mascaro, Catholic Charities</li> <li>Terra Masias for Chicanos Por La Causa</li> </ul> | <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>Meggan Medina, AZ Dept of Housing</li> <li>* Guy Mikkelsen, Foundation for Senior Living</li> <li>Carolyn Mitchell, Wells Fargo</li> <li>Darlene Newsom, United Methodist Outreach Ministries</li> <li>* Brenda Robbins, Department of Health Services</li> <li>Laura Skotnicki, Save the Family</li> <li>Annette Stein, Maricopa County HS</li> <li>* Jeff Taylor, Phoenix Rescue Mission</li> <li>Jacki Taylor, ACEH</li> <li>* Margaret Trujillo, Maricopa County Courts</li> <li>* Judie Welch, Phoenix Police Department</li> <li>* Mike Whalen, Councilmember of Mesa</li> <li>* Mary Rose Wilcox, Maricopa County, Supervisor</li> <li>Ted Williams, AZ Behavioral Health Corporation</li> <li>Diana Yazzie Devine, Native American Connections</li> </ul> |
|--|--|

\*Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

+Those members present by audio or video-conference.

**CONTACT PERSON:**

Amy St. Peter, Human Services Manager, 602-254-6300



**MARICOPA  
ASSOCIATION of  
GOVERNMENTS**

302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300 ▲ Phoenix, Arizona 85003  
Phone (602) 254-6300 ▲ FAX (602) 254-6490  
E-mail: mag@mag.maricopa.gov ▲ Web site: www.mag.maricopa.gov

July 5, 2006

TO: Members of the MAG Management Committee

FROM: Matthew Clark, MAG Senior Policy Planner

SUBJECT: RIVER OF TRADE CORRIDOR COALITION

On June 16, 2006, the Chairman of the River of Trade Corridor Coalition (ROTCC), Dallas City Councilman Bill Blyades, met with Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) staff and the incoming Regional Council Chairman to discuss the organization's mission and history and invite the MAG to become a member of the ROTCC. This would involve supporting activities of the coalition and hosting an event.

The ROTCC was created in 2004 to unite cities, counties, businesses and other transportation stakeholders along heavily traveled freeways and highways. The "River of Trade" freeway starts at Interstate 10 in Los Angeles continues through Arizona and New Mexico before heading north at Dallas, Texas and ending in Ontario, Canada.

This "River of Trade" transportation corridor is the second highest use corridor in the United States. Although the I-10 section of the River of Trade is one of the most highly used freight transportation corridors, it has not been designated as a Congressional High Priority Transportation Corridor in Arizona, New Mexico, and most of Texas.

The organization's goal is to examine ways to alleviate congestion while protecting and expanding the economic vitality of current roadways. Currently, the ROTCC includes more than 200 members spanning across nine states. A copy of the goals and objectives of the ROTCC has been provided for your review.

At the June 16, 2006 meeting, the ROTCC discussed the recently unveiled U.S. Department of Transportation's (DOT) "National Strategy to Reduce Congestion on America's Transportation Networks." According to Transportation Secretary Norman Y. Mineta, the National Strategy will focus the DOT's resources, funding, staff and technology to cut traffic jams and relieve freight bottlenecks along the nation's freeways and highways. The ROTCC believes the National Strategy provides a reason for MAG to join the organization and become actively involved in the Coalition.

The Coalition plans to work with the Federal Government to have the "River of Trade Corridor" identified as one of the 3-5 major growth corridors that will be establish as part of the National Strategy

A Voluntary Association of Local Governments in Maricopa County

and have the trade corridor designated as a Congressional High Priority Transportation Corridor. A presentation by the ROTCC will be given at the meeting.

A representative from this region will be requested to attend the ROTCC's quarterly meetings. The next meeting is scheduled to take place on August 11, 2006, in Irving, Texas. It will follow the 9<sup>th</sup> Annual Transportation Summit. In addition to attending and participating in the quarterly meetings, MAG will be requested to seek support from Arizona's state and federal legislators in support of the ROTCC and encourage them to join the coalition's legislative caucus.

While there are no dues to become a member of the ROTCC, MAG will be asked to host a future quarterly meeting. This task will involve setting up a day and a half event for 150 members starting with an evening reception followed by an all day policy meeting. The ROTCC will assist MAG in formulating an agenda and bringing out-of-state members to the event.

The estimated cost of food for the event would be \$7,800 excluding tax or any additional service charges. MAG may also be responsible for transportation to and from the event as well as any tours to local transportation facility and infrastructure highlights.

If you have any further questions, please contact Matthew Clark at (602) 254-6300.

enclosure



# **RIVER OF TRADE CORRIDOR COALITION**

BILL BLAYDES, CHAIR  
Councilmember, City of Dallas, Texas

EDDIE FRANCIS  
CO-CHAIR  
Mayor  
City of Windsor, Ontario

ELIZABETH G. FLORES  
CO-CHAIR  
Mayor  
City of Laredo, Texas

WILL LOWRANCE  
CO-CHAIR  
Mayor  
City of Hillsboro, Texas

MARC MAXWELL  
CO-CHAIR  
City Manager  
City of Sulphur Springs,  
Texas

F.G. "BUDDY" VILLINES  
CO-CHAIR  
County Judge/Chief Executive  
Officer  
Pulaski County, Arkansas

## **River of Trade Corridor Coalition**

The River of Trade Corridor Coalition was created in the fall of 2004 to unite cities, counties, transportation authorities, freight movement entities, and businesses along the traditional NAFTA trade route to protect, maximize, and expand commerce and the economic vitality of the NAFTA corridor while, at the same time mitigating congestion and facilitating a cleaner environment. Today, the Coalition has expanded to include significant gateways for international trade including Los Angeles, California, Laredo, Texas, Detroit, Michigan, and Windsor, Ontario. Thus, the Coalition encompasses multiple goods movement corridors, including the rail and interstate corridor from the west coast ports of Los Angeles and Long Beach through Phoenix and Tucson, Arizona, Las Cruces, New Mexico, and El Paso and Dallas, Texas. In addition, the Coalition includes many, many members along the historic NAFTA corridor, which follows existing interstates from Laredo, Texas to Detroit, Michigan via Dallas and Texarkana, Texas, Little Rock and North Little Rock, Arkansas, Memphis and Nashville, Tennessee, Louisville, Kentucky, and Cincinnati, Dayton and Toledo, Ohio.

Currently, the River of Trade Corridor Coalition includes over 200 members. The Coalition also enjoys the support of growing Congressional and Legislative Caucuses.

As international trade to and from the U.S. continues to increase significantly, it is important for cities, counties, businesses, states, and international entities to work together to improve and ensure the efficient and safe movement of trade to and through the U.S. This trade provides valuable economic development opportunities to our collective communities.

### **Vision, Goals & Objectives:**

- To protect, expand, and maximize the economic vitality of the above described goods movement and trade corridors.
- To mitigate congestion and facilitate environmental compliance.
- To identify common goals and interests of the municipal governments, transportation authorities and businesses along the corridor and take the appropriate, collective action at the local, regional, state, national, and international levels.
- To mobilize representatives from cities, counties, and organizations along goods movement corridors to speak with one voice about issues impacting the corridor.
- To facilitate a positive and timely exchange of information among members along the corridor.

For further information, please contact:  
Jean E. Sides, Dean International, Inc.  
8080 Park Lane, Suite 600, Dallas, Texas 75231  
Phone: 214.750.0123 Fax: 214.750.0124  
E-Mail: [jsides@dean.net](mailto:jsides@dean.net)

- To engage in and to facilitate dialogue with Metropolitan Planning Organizations, Departments of Transportation, appropriate federal agencies involved in goods movement, trade and commerce, and security issues, and other appropriate entities along the corridor to identify and collectively secure any available funding to enhance the corridor.
- To further develop the River of Trade Corridor Congressional and Legislative Caucuses, which are in place to provide support for the Coalition's goals and objectives at the federal and state levels.
- To facilitate the efficient movement of freight to and from land ports and seaports. As the volume of imported and exported goods continues to grow, it becomes even more important to efficiently and quickly move freight to inland distribution destinations.
- To coordinate the just-in-time delivery of containers from inland locations traveling outbound, and vice versa.
- To insist that the existing congestion, safety, and pollution issues along the corridor be addressed and provide appropriate avenues, such as collaboration with the Environmental Protection Agency regarding "green and clean" technologies, to address these issues.