

December 14, 2007

TO: Members of the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee

FROM: Roger Klingler, City of Scottsdale, Chair

SUBJECT: MEETING NOTICE AND TRANSMITTAL OF TENTATIVE AGENDA

Friday, December 21, 2007 - 8:30 a.m.*
MAG Office, Suite 200 - Saguaro Room
302 North 1st Avenue, Phoenix

Please park in the garage underneath the building. Bring your ticket to the meeting; parking will be validated. For those using transit, the Regional Public Transportation Authority will provide transit tickets for your trip. For those using bicycles, please lock your bicycle in the bike rack in the garage.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting Jason Stephens at the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.

Members of the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee may attend in person, by videoconference or by telephone conference call. Those attending by videoconference must notify the MAG site three business days prior to the meeting.

Please be advised that under procedures approved by the MAG Regional Council, all MAG committees need to have a quorum to conduct the meeting. A quorum is a simple majority of the membership. If you are unable to attend the meeting, please make arrangements for a proxy from your entity to represent you.

*Please note the earlier start time for this meeting.

TENTATIVE AGENDA

COMMITTEE ACTION REQUESTED

1. Call to Order

2. Agenda Announcements

3. Call to the Audience

An opportunity will be provided to members of the public to address the Water Quality Advisory Committee on items not scheduled on the agenda that fall under the jurisdiction of MAG, or on items on the agenda for discussion but not for action. Members of the public will be requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for the Call to the Audience agenda item, unless the Water Quality Advisory Committee requests an exception to this limit. Please note that those wishing to comment on action agenda items will be given an opportunity at the time the item is heard.

4. Draft Small Plant Review and Approval for the Preserve at Goldfield Ranch Water Reclamation Facility

On October 2, 2007, Maricopa County sent a letter to MAG requesting that MAG review the proposed Preserve at Goldfield Ranch Water Reclamation Facility through the Small Plant Review and Approval Process of the MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan. The proposed facility would have an ultimate capacity of 400,000 gallons per day and reclaimed water would be disposed of through recharge. The Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation (Nation) and Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community (Community) are within three miles of the project. The Nation expressed concern about the Draft Small Plant Review and Approval for the proposed facility in September 24, 2007 and October 2, 2007 letters and at the October 22, 2007 MAG

2. For information.

3. For information.

4. For information, discussion, and possible action.

Water Quality Advisory Committee meeting. On October 5, 2007, CMX provided a response to the October 2, 2007 letter. The Community also expressed concerns at the meeting. On October 22, 2007, the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee tabled the Draft Small Plant Review and Approval for 60 days to allow the applicant to work cooperatively with the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation to address the concerns raised by the Nation in its October 2, 2007 letter and to also work cooperatively with the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community. In addition, the Committee requested additional detail on the Preserve at Goldfield Ranch Water Reclamation Facility.

Following the October 22, 2007 meeting, additional letters have been received. On October 30, 2007, The Henderson Law Firm sent a letter to the Community requesting a meeting. On December 4, 2007, CMX sent a letter to MAG providing the additional detail requested by the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee. Also on December 4, 2007, two letters were received from the Community expressing concerns, indicating that additional information is needed, and requesting that the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee not approve/support or disapprove the proposed facility until all relevant and required information is submitted and reviewed. The Nation provided a letter on December 5, 2007 requesting that the Committee not rehear the item until the concerns of the Nation and Community are adequately addressed. On December 13, 2007, CMX provided a letter responding to the concerns raised by the Community in its December 4, 2007 letter. Also on December 13, 2007, the Community sent a letter to The Henderson Law Firm formally requesting additional information in relation to the Draft Small Plant Review and Approval for the Preserve at Goldfield Ranch Water Reclamation Facility. The letter also requested

a meeting for December 17, 2007. Please refer to the enclosed material.

5. Call for Future Agenda Items

The Chairman will invite the Committee members to suggest future agenda items.

5. For information and discussion.

October 30, 2007

VIA EMAIL: Vivian.saunders@SRPMIC-nsn.gov

Ms. Vivian Saunders
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
10005 E. Osborn Road
Scottsdale, Arizona 85256

Re: Goldfield Preserve 208 Amendment

Dear Ms. Saunders:

Last week, I spoke with Pat from your office regarding the Goldfield Preserve 208 amendment. She advised me that you discussed the matter with your council on October 24, 2007. She also told me that council wanted a technical committee set up to review the amendment. Pat was unsure of the committee makeup as well as the timeframe for the committee to meet. She did advise me that you would not be meeting with us until such time as the committee had a chance to review the amendment. Do you know if the committee has been formed and if so, when it might review our document?

As I have previously stated to you, members of the Goldfield Preserve team are available to meet with you, and/or the technical committee, at any time to answer any questions and go over, in detail, the 208 amendment. Please call me at your earliest convenience so that we can set up a meeting. You can call me at the number listed above or on my cell phone, which is (480)329-6143.

Very truly yours,

THE HENDERSON LAW FIRM, PLC



Garry D. Hays

GDH/dmg

cc: Mr. Larry Landry
Mr. Don Kile
Ms. Julie Hoffman



December 4, 2007

Ms. Julie Hoffman
Maricopa Association of Governments
302 North 1st Avenue
Suite 300
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Re: MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for
Preserve at Goldfield Ranch Water Reclamation Facility

Dear Ms. Hoffman:

During the Water Quality Advisory Committee meeting on October 22, 2006, committee members requested additional information to supplement the Maricopa Association of Governments (MAG) 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Preserve at Goldfield Ranch Water Reclamation Facility. Three figures are attached to this letter, which responds to this request, including the following:

- Figure 1 – Water Reclamation Facility Conceptual Site Plan
 - Identifies the preliminary configuration of the treatment unit processes
- Figure 2 – WRF Proximity to Waterways
 - Depicts distances:
 - From the proposed Goldfield WRF to the Verde River and the upgradient Sycamore Creek
 - From the Fountain Hills Sanitary District WRF to the Verde River
 - From the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation WRF to the Verde River, and
 - From the Rio Verde WRF to the Verde River
- Figure 3 – Proximate WRFs
 - Identifies the distances from the proposed Goldfield WRF to the most proximate, existing WRFs. Given the distances and topographic constraints to existing WRFs, it is not feasible for existing facilities to provide service to the Preserve at Goldfield Ranch.

The Water Quality Advisory Committee also approved a motion to continue this matter for a period of 60 days. Please confirm the meeting on December 21, 2007, which represents the conclusion of the continuance period. Alternatively and at the discretion of the Committee Chair, we would be agreeable to reconvening on this topic at the meeting already scheduled for December 13, 2007.

We look forward to presenting this supplemental information to you and the members of the Committee and gaining a recommendation for approval of this project



Respectfully,
CMX

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Sheila A. Logan', with a long, sweeping flourish extending to the right.

Sheila A. Logan, P.E.
Environmental Planning Manager


SL:ajg

cc: Kevin Chadwick, MCESD
Don Kile, Goldfield Preserve Development, LLC




The Preserve at Goldfield Ranch

Maricopa County, Arizona
Water Reclamation Facility
Conceptual Site Plan



- Notes**
1. This exhibit is conceptual and subject to change through the design and development process.
 2. The Treatment Process attached is preliminary.

CMX Project Number: 7147 October 9, 2007
 Project Manager: T. Bonar
 Designed By: A. Pangus
 Graphics By: N/A
 Drawn By: C. Klock
W:\710\7147\planning\IP-Exhibits\Water Resources\Exhibits\Conceptual Site Plans\7147-WRF-Conceptual-Site-Plan-01-WR.dwg
 Nov 19, 2007 11:21am
 ? Copyright, CMX 2007 - This plan document set is the sole property of CMX. No alterations to these plans, other than adding "as-built" information, are allowed by anyone other than authorized CMX employees.



VICINITY MAP
N.T.S.




FIGURE 2	CMX PROJ: 7147	The Preserve at Goldfield Ranch Maricopa County, Arizona	PHOENIX OFFICE 7740 N. 16TH ST. STE. 100 PHOENIX, AZ 85020 PHONE: (602) 567-1900 FAX: (602) 567-1901 www.cmengineering.com	
	DATE: 03/28/07			
	SCALE: NTS			
	DRAWN: MEN			
APPROVED: SAL	WRF Proximity to Waterways			




FIGURE 3

CMX PROJ: 7147
DATE: 11/02/07
SCALE: NTS
DRAWN: MEN
APPROVED: SAL

The Preserve at Goldfield Ranch
 Maricopa County, Arizona
Proximate WRFs

PHOENIX OFFICE
 7740 N. 16TH ST. STE. 100
 PHOENIX, AZ 85020
 PHONE: (602) 567-1900
 FAX: (602) 567-1901
 www.cmengineering.com



W:\7100\7147\W-Ree\W-GIS\Exhibits\MXD\Submittals\Fig 3 Wastewater\CN Mesa-SRPMIC.mxd



Salt River
PIMA-MARICOPA INDIAN COMMUNITY
10005 E. OSBORN RD. / SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 85256-9722 / PHONE (480) 850-8000

December 4, 2007

Chairman Roger Klinger
Water Quality Planning Committee
Maricopa Association of Governments
302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Dear Chairman Klinger

Attached is a copy of a letter regarding the Goldfield Preserve and the 208 Amendment, which Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community President Diane Enos, signed and we mailed to Julie Hoffman, Environmental Planner for your Committee. The letter outlines the areas of concern and request for additional information.

We respectfully request the MAG Water Quality Planning Committee not approve/support or disapprove the proposed Facility until all relevant and required information pursuant to MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan is submitted by the applicant and reviewed by the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee, the Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community, and other tribal governments making the same request.

For the record on October 22, 2007 your Committee formally made a motion to delay this item for 60 days.

Also, for your information, immediately following the October 22, 2007 MAG Water Quality Planning Committee meeting and before we had an opportunity to inform our tribal council, our technical staff, and to analyze the documents, Mr. Garry D, Hays representing the Goldfield Preserve Development made several calls to my office requesting a meeting. I verbally requested he respectfully give us the time necessary to carefully review the Development Master Plan amendment ("DMP") for Goldfield Preserve and the 208 Amendment.

Upon making contact with our elected leaders, technical staff, and processing documents, on November 19, 2007 our water quality staff contacted Mr. Garry Hays of the Henderson Law Firm to request copies of all relevant documents. Mr. Hays agreed to deliver the documents himself and showed up with an entire team of people including Attorneys.

The Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community water quality team agreed to meet with members of the Goldfield Preserve development team but made it clear this was not a consultation meeting. They discussed the pending Development Master Plan amendment ("DMP") for Goldfield Preserve and the 208 Amendment. In this same meeting, the Goldfield representatives repeatedly requested a timeline for our response. Since we did not request for this meeting our staff was not in a position to comment, question, or make decisions. Had we requested a formal meeting, decision makers would have been included in this discussion.

On November 28, 2007 I received a letter dated November 20, 2007 from Mr. Garry Hays (Attached) confirming the above mentioned meeting did occur with our staff and the Goldfield Preserve development team. In his letter he referenced the meeting took place on November 20, 2007, however, according to our records the meeting occurred on November 19, 2007.

Immediately following this meeting and upon further review of the documents and internal technical staff discussions, we've come to the conclusion that we require additional information. Should the Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community Council direct staff to schedule a formal meeting with the Goldfield development team, we will contact Mr. Garry Hays to make the request. If you have any questions, I can be reached at (480) 362-7528.

Sincerely,



Vivian Saunders
Special Assistant
Office of Congressional &
Legislative Affairs

Cc: President Raphael Bear, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
Dennis Smith, MAG Executive Director
Julie Hoffman, Environmental Planner, MAG Water Quality Committee
Garry D. Hays, Henderson Law Firm



SALT RIVER

PIMA-MARICOPA INDIAN COMMUNITY

Office of the President and Vice President

10005 East Osborn Road / Scottsdale, Arizona 85256-9722 / Phone (480) 362-7400 / Fax (480) 362-7593

December 4, 2007

VIA CERTIFIED MAIL

Julie Hoffman, Environmental Planner
Water Quality Advisory Committee
Maricopa Association of Governments
302 North 1st Avenue, Suite 300
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Dear Ms. Hoffman:

This letter is in reference to the MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan for The Preserve at Goldfield Ranch dated October 12, 2007. The Small Plant Review process dictates that municipalities within a 3-mile radius of the proposed water treatment facility must be given an opportunity to review and comment on the proposal. Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation (FMYN), an immediate neighbor to this development, was notified on May 14, 2007 of this proposed facility. In contrast, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community (Community) was not notified until October 9, 2007. The MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee scheduled this as an agenda item to be heard at its meeting on October 22, 2007, effectively allowing only eight (8) business days for the Community to formulate a response. This was duly noted in the October 22nd meeting, and a 60-day reprieve was therefore granted to allow for more time and information to be shared prior to the December meeting.

It is the understanding of the Community that the Goldfield Ranch Water Reclamation Facility (Facility) will serve the largest of four development areas, with other parcels being on individual septic systems, and that the wastewater generation rate from the development is expected to be approximately 0.40 mgd. It is also the understanding of the Community that the aquifer, a confined aquifer considered by the applicant, is to be "the" source of drinking water for the development and also will be designated as the "target aquifer" where the treated effluent from the proposed Facility will be recharged to.

There are several areas where the Community feels it must have more information in order to have a complete and accurate assessment of the impacts on various relevant components within the Community as affected by the proposed development/Facility.

Firstly, the Community is concerned that, once fully built, the septic systems associated with the other parcels of the development may impact the underneath two (2) unconfined aquifers identified by the applicant in one of his reports especially, during the wet season when such impacts may affect the surface water quality at the Verde River. The Community would therefore need to know if there is any hydrological connection between the two unconfined aquifers above the "target aquifer" and the Verde River.

Secondly, with regard to the "target aquifer" from which drinking water for the proposed development is to be extracted and recharge with treated effluent from the proposed Facility is to take place, proof of the claim that this aquifer is confined and not connected to the Verde River and the aquifer underneath the Community is critical in determining whether the Facility will impact the water resources of the Community. The hydrological report contained in the MAG 208 report failed to confirm or prove this issue without a doubt.

Thirdly, the Community would like to be provided with relevant information on the hydraulic conductivity and storativity of the confined aquifer for assessing its recharge capability.

Fourthly, although the proposed recharged effluent quality is reported to meet A+ quality standards set forth by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality (ADEQ), the Community still would like to have more information/clarification pertaining to the following parameters:

- Will the proposed effluent meet "all" of the Aquifer Water Quality Standards of ADEQ?
- What is the direction of the groundwater flow at the point of compliance (to be determined by ADEQ) and the general direction of the groundwater flow at the entire development and adjacent area?

Last but not least, the final concern for the Community is the operation/management of the Facility itself. Appendix E in the MAG 208 report outlines the agreement between "A Quality Water Company" and the development. Based upon the relevant information available to the Community, apparently the company of concern does not currently provide similar services to any other developments in the Metropolitan Phoenix area and it is not a well-known company in the area where the development/Facility is being proposed.

Based upon the various issues discussed above, it is quite apparent that in order to determine whether this proposed development/Facility may impact various components of the Community, including its water resources, more accurate, adequate, and complete information is needed. In the meantime, the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community respectfully requests that MAG not approve/support or disapprove the proposed Facility until all relevant and required information pursuant to MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan is submitted by the applicant and reviewed by the MAG Water Quality Advisory Committee, the Community, and FMYN.

At the same time, the Community and FMYN shall also actively participate in the application process (including review and approval) administered by the permitting agency (ADEQ) pertaining to the Arizona's Aquifer Protection Permit (APP) for this development/Facility prior to the public hearing.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script, appearing to read "Diane Enos".

Diane Enos

President

Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community

THE
HENDERSON
LAW FIRM

PLAZA CENTER III
2415 EAST CAMELBACK ROAD, SUITE 1050 PHOENIX, ARIZONA 85016
main 602.308.1000 fax 602.308.1010
www.thehendersonlawfirm.com

November 20, 2007

RECEIVED
NOV 28 2007
CONGRESSIONAL &
LEGISLATIVE AFFAIRS

Vivian Saunders
Special Assistant on
Congressional & Legislative Affairs
Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
10005 E. Osborn Road
Scottsdale, AZ 85256

Re: Goldfield Ranch 208 Amendment


Dear Ms. Saunders:

I am writing to discuss a meeting that took place today with members of the Goldfield Preserve development team and members of the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community's water quality team. Specifically, we met with Wang Yu, Gina Leverette and Kari Morehouse to discuss the pending Development Master Plan amendment ("DMP") for Goldfield Preserve and the 208 Amendment. I wanted to let you know that they received copies of the DMP and the 208 amendment. If more copies are needed, please advise me and I will have them sent over.

I appreciate the time that you and the members of the Community Development Office are dedicating to reviewing our project. I also would like to reiterate my availability to you or members of your staff to discuss the project. Please do not hesitate to call if you have any questions. The easiest way to reach me is by cell phone (480)-329-6143.

Sincerely,

THE HENDERSON LAW FIRM, PLC



Garry D. Hays

GDH/djs

cc: Don Kile



Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation

PO Box 17779, Fountain Hills, AZ 85269

Phone (480) 837-5121

Administration
(480) 816-7224

Accounts Payable
(480) 816-7134

Purchasing
(480) 816-7116

December 5, 2007

Chairman Roger Klinger
Water Quality Advisory Committee
Maricopa County Association of governments
302 N. 1st. Ave., Suite 300
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Dear Chairman Klinger:

This letter is in reference to the MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment ("WQMPA" or the Plan) for the Goldfield Ranch Water Reclamation Facility ("GRWRF") on behalf of Goldfield Preserve Development LLC (herein the Applicant).

By way of background, the MAG Water Advisory Committee (herein Committee) met on October 22, 2007 to discuss this application. At that meeting, in addition to providing brief testimony, the Nation provided copies of letters submitted to the County dated September 25 and October 2 listing numerous concerns within the proposed application. At the conclusion of the meeting, the committee adopted a motion to postpone their vote for 60 days. The overriding purpose of the delay was to provide the applicant an opportunity to collaboratively work and resolve outstanding issues with the two Indian Nations, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation and Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community.


The Nation's Tribal Council, General Manager, and related staff met with Mr. Ellman and his staff on November 28, 2007, thus providing an opportunity for the applicant to make available and/or respond to the requested information mentioned within the aforementioned letters. The applicant's presentation was remarkably similar to what was previously offered at other county government and public meetings. There was one additional slide presented, demonstrating the distance the proposed GRWFR is from the current water flow of the Verde River (note: given the graphic, this distance would vary based on flow peaks). However, it is with great disappointment, that we were **not** provided with new information nor was the conversation directed towards the questions and concerns that we have raised. This was dually noted by members of the Tribal Council and later recapitulated by President Bear in his closing statement.

Thus, we respectfully request that the committee not re-hear this proposed amendment until the Nation's and the Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community's concerns are


adequately addressed. This deferment would allow for the 208 process, including the directives of the committee, to be respected. We will provide an additional opportunity for the applicant to respond to the issues we and others have raised.

Sincerely,

In concurrence:



Dr. Carole Coe Klopatek
Director Of Government Relations



Raphael R. Bear
President

Cc:

Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community:
President Diane Enos

MAG:
Dennis Smith, MAG Executive Director
Ms. Julie Hoffman, MAG Environmental Planner

Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation:
Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation Tribal Council
Phil Dorchester, General Manager
Drew Ryce, General Counsel, FMYN



December 13, 2007

Ms. Julie Hoffman
Maricopa Association of Governments
302 North 1st Avenue
Suite 300
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

Re: MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Amendment for the Preserve at Goldfield Ranch Water Reclamation Facility/ Response to Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community Letter dated December 4, 2007


Dear Ms. Hoffman:

Regarding the letter you received from the Salt River Pima Maricopa Indian Community (SRPMIC) dated December 4, 2007, we offer the following responses:

1. **Septic systems associated with other parcels of the development:**
Our Amendment requests approval of the location and size of the water reclamation facility (WRF) and is not related to septic systems in the vicinity of the project.
2. **Connectivity of the target aquifer:**
Please see the hydrogeologic data provided in Appendix G and item 6 of the Developer's Response to the Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation's (FMYN) letter in Appendix F.
3. **Hydraulic conductivity and storativity in the aquifer:**
The hydraulic conductivity, as calculated from the parameters listed in Appendix G, Hydrogeologic Study, is estimated to be 16 ft²/day. The storativity also is reported on page 9 of this study.
4. **Water quality: and groundwater flow:**
Effluent will meet aquifer water quality standards in accordance with an Aquifer Protection Permit. Please see item 2 in the Developer's Response to the FMYN's letter in Appendix F. The direction of groundwater flow is generally to the west or west-southwest within the project vicinity. The point of compliance will be determined by the Arizona Department of Environmental Quality during the APP.
5. **Operation and management of the WRF:**
Operation and management of the WRF will be overseen by the Goldfield Preserve Improvement District. The Maricopa County Board of Supervisor's sits as the Board of Directors for the Improvement District.

Thank you for the opportunity to respond to these concerns.

Respectfully,
CMX


Sheila A. Logan, P.E.
Environmental Planning Manager

SL:ajg

cc: Honorable Diane Enos, Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community
Kevin Chadwick, MCESD
Don Kile, Goldfield Preserve Development, LLC



Salt River
PIMA-MARICOPA INDIAN COMMUNITY

10005 E. OSBORN RD. / SCOTTSDALE, ARIZONA 85256-9722 / PHONE (480) 850-8000

Garry D. Hays, Esq.
The Henderson Law Firm
Esplanade Center
2415 East Camelback Road
Suite 1050
Phoenix, Arizona 85016

Re: The Preserve at Goldfield Ranch / MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan
Amendment

Dear Mr. Hays:

The Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community ("Community") is formally requesting additional information in relation to the MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan Small Plant Review and Approval for the Preserve at Goldfield Ranch Water Reclamation Facility ("MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan").

On November 19, 2007 our water quality staff verbally requested all "water documents." Instead, the only document you delivered was the Development Master Plan.

At this time, the Community is requesting a hard copy of the following documents, in addition to any other documents available, which contain information about water and the Goldfield Ranch development.

- The Master Waste Water Report
- The Master Potable Water Report
- Any and all related Arizona Department of Water Resources Information
- The Assured Water Supply Analysis
- Prior draft Development Master Plans

These are necessary to assess the impact of the proposed MAG 208 Water Quality Management Plan; and until the Community receives these documents and has been provided adequate time to review the information, a determination on the impact of this development on the Community land and resources is not possible.

In addition, the SRPMIC is requesting a meeting with you on the following date of December 17, 2007.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Vivian Saunders", with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Vivian Saunders
Special Assistant
Office of Congressional &
Legislative Affairs

Cc: Chairman Roger Klinger, MAG Water Quality Committee
Dennis Smith, MAG Executive Director
President Raphael Bear, Fort McDowell Yavapai Nation
Julie Hoffman, Environmental Planner, MAG Water Quality Committee