



Janet Napolitano
Governor

Victor M. Mendez
Director

Intermodal Transportation Division
206 South Seventeenth Avenue Phoenix, Arizona 85007-3213

January 13, 2005

Michael J. Ortega
State Engineer

Mr. Dennis Smith
Executive Director
Maricopa Association of Governments
302 North First Avenue, Suite 300
Phoenix, Arizona 85003

RE: Report of Red Letter Notifications from July 1 to December 31, 2004

Dear Mr. Smith:

This letter is submitted to inform you of "Red-Letter" notifications received by this office for the period July 1, 2004 – December 31, 2004. During this period, the following number of notifications was received from various local agencies, as well as directly from various individual developers and attorneys, in the metropolitan area:

<u>LOCAL AGENCIES</u>	<u>NOTICES</u>
City of Avondale	15
Town of Buckeye	5
City of Chandler	28
City of El Mirage	2
Town of Gilbert	22
City of Glendale	7
City of Goodyear	12
City of Peoria	33
City of Phoenix	62
City of Mesa	25
City of Scottsdale	14
City of Surprise	31
City of Tempe	13
Maricopa County	48
Various Entities	<u>2</u>
<u>TOTAL NOTICES RECEIVED</u>	315



ADOT expends both time and resources to ensure that encroachments, traffic movements, access, and our engineering staff review drainage issues. Some of these issues are easily resolved, while others take specific design requirements. Communication is the key and the Red Letter Process is an excellent tool.

In addition to the 108 separate examples attached, ADOT has requested plans review on an additional 71 notifications and on additional 55 notifications, a complete set of plans for the development were requested. The 71 additional notices included zoning changes and/or general plan amendments that would put future developments adjacent or very close to ADOT right of way, that would cause concerns. The 55 additional notices were close enough to ADOT R/W that a set of plans was necessary to determine any impact to ADOT facilities. The Department appreciates the opportunity to communicate with both local agencies and developers as early as possible in the planning/design process. The "Red Letter" coordinator also received 19 telephone mail, and/or e-mail notifications of possible impact to the State Highway System.

Overall the "Red Letter" program is working well. We have responded to all notifications received during this reporting period. The Department appreciates the cooperation of MAG members so that we may continue to improve the lines of communication. An ADOT Right of Way Project Management staff member, Louis Malloque (602-712-8755), is available to answer questions and continues to meet with local agency planning and zoning staff to review the Red Letter process. My office can also provide current information on planned highway corridors such as the South Mountain and the 303 Loop.

Should you have any questions, you may contact me at 602-712-8758 or by fax at 602-712-3051, or in writing at 205 S. 17th Avenue, Right of Way Project Management Section. Suite 349 MD 612E, Phoenix, Arizona 85007.

Sincerely,

Paula Gibson, Manager
Right of Way Project Management
PG: lm

cc Victor Mendez, ADOT Director
Bill Hayden, Special Assistant to Regional Freeway System

Maricopa Association of Governments Report of Red Letters

Of the 315 notices received, 108 had an impact on the Regional Freeway System. These 108 notices are summarized as follows:

Avondale:

- 1) I-10/101 Avenue and Roosevelt Street, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial site plan. ADOT asked to be kept apprised of the development.
- 2) I-10 and McDowell Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site development. ADOT requested a copy of the final plans and to be kept in contact with the progress of the development.
- 3) I-10 and 99th Avenue, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial development. ADOT informed the City that the development was in the planned South Mountain Corridor and could impact the highway. ADOT also asked to be kept in contact on the progress of the development.
- 4) I-10 and Van Buren Street, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site development. ADOT requested a copy of the plans and to be kept in contact with the progress of the development.
- 5) I-10 and El Mirage Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site development. ADOT requested a copy of the plans and to be kept in contact with the progress of the development.
- 6) I-10/102nd Avenue and Roosevelt, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site development. ADOT informed the City there would be no access to the highway. ADOT requested a copy of the plans and to be kept in contact with the progress of the development.
- 7) I-10 and 119th Avenue, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial development. ADOT informed the City that the development was in the planned South Mountain Corridor and could impact the highway. ADOT also asked to be kept in contact on the progress of the development.
- 8) I-10 and Van Buren Street, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a final plat on a residential development. ADOT reminded the City that all noise mitigation was the responsibility of the developer. ADOT requested a copy of the plans and to be kept in contact with the progress of the development.

Buckeye:

- 1) I-10 and Broadway, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed site development. ADOT informed the City there would be no access to the highway. ADOT requested a copy of the plans and to be kept in contact with the progress of the development.

Chandler:

- 1) 202 Loop-Santan/Alma School Road and Pecos Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a residential preliminary plat. ADOT recommended the developer contact ADOT’s plan technician to help prevent encroachments and make sure all boundary lines are correct. There seemed to be issues of proximity with the Alma School Road TI.
- 2) Dobson/Santan and Pecos Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial site plat. ADOT requested a copy of the plat to review and comment on. ADOT reminded the City the development was very close to the highway.
- 3) 101 Loop and Santan, a ”Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site plat. ADOT had several areas of concern, access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also requested a set of full plans and to be kept in contact on this development.
- 4) 101 Loop and Elliot Road, a ”Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site plat. ADOT reminded the City the development abutted the frontage road and there could be issues with access, drainage and encroachments.

El Mirage:

- 1) 115th Avenue and Grand Avenue, a “Red Letter” was received concerning commercial site development. ADOT reminded the City the development was close to ADOT right of way, access was obtained by using ADOT right of way, and the developer should provide safe legal access. ADOT also recommended getting permits and submit development plans.

Town of Gilbert:

- 1) Higley Road/Santan and Ray Road there were 4 separate “Red Letters” received concerning a commercial site development. These “Red Letters” were received in different months. ADOT requested that the developer get in contact with ADOT’s plan technician. There were issues with drainage, access, encroachment, and noise mitigation.
- 2) Santan and Val Vista Road, there were 2 separate “Red Letters” received concerning a commercial site development. ADOT requested that the developer keep in contact with ADOT’s plan technician. ADOT also asked the Town to keep in mind the safety of the driving public throughout the designing and development of this project.
- 3) 202 Loop/Santan and Power Road, a ”Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site plat. ADOT had several comments on the submitted plat. There were no dimensions given from Power Road or the Santan centerline, therefore boundary lines could not be verified, however, all their bearings and lengths given were not consistent with ADOT. There is reference to an ”existing freeway wall, which there is none and there are no plans for one. There will be no access to ADOT right of way. The plan does not show any utility connections and once the pavement is down, none will be allowed.
- 4) Recker Road/Santan and Warner Road, a ”Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site plat. ADOT had several areas of concern, access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments and permits to use ADOT right of way.
- 5) Recker Road/Santan and Warner Road, a ”Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT had several areas of concern, access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments and permits to use ADOT right of way.
- 6) Santan and Ray Road (different than # 1), a ”Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT had several areas of concern, access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also requested that the developer keep in contact with ADOT’s plan technician.
- 7) Santan/Val Vista Road and Pecos road, a ”Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site plat. ADOT had several areas of concern, access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also requested that the developer keep in contact with ADOT’s plan technician.

City of Glendale:

- 1) 101 Loop/Agua Fria and 51st Avenue, there were 2 separate "Red Letters" received concerning a commercial site development. ADOT had several areas of concern, access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also reminded the City there would be no noise mitigation from ADOT and the developer was responsible for it.

City of Goodyear:

- 1) 303 Loop and McDowell Road, 2 "Red Letters" were received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT had several areas of concern, access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments, noise mitigation and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also told the City that this area of the 303 Loop was being built by MCDOT, and they needed to contact Mr. Bill Hahn.

Maricopa County:

- 1) I-17 and Anthem Way, 9 separate "Red Letters" were received concerning the development of different areas of Anthem Way. ADOT reminded the County that there would be no noise mitigation, drainage facilities must not be disturbed or impeded. ADOT also told the County if the developer needed to enter or use ADOT right of way a permit would be necessary.
- 2) I-17 and Circle Mountain Road, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT had several areas of concern; access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments, noise mitigation and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also told the County the developer should submit a traffic study for review and ADOT would like to be kept apprised of the progress on this development.
- 3) Grand Avenue and 111th Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed commercial site plat. ADOT asked for a copy of the development plans and/or the final plans to review and comment on since it abuts Grand Avenue.
- 4) Grand Avenue and 227th Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT told the County that the developer had called and said that ADOT had signed off on the plat. We asked for a copy of the sign off. ADOT still has concerns over drainage and any impediment of the drainage system.
- 5) 59th Avenue/South Mountain Corridor and Buckeye Road, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed commercial site plat. ADOT informed the County this development would be in the South Mountain Corridor. ADOT asked to be kept apprised of all progress on this development.

- 6) 63rd Avenue/South Mountain Corridor and Buckeye Road, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed monopole site plat. ADOT informed the County this development would be in the South Mountain Corridor. ADOT asked to be kept apprised of all progress on this development.
- 7) Sarival Road/303 Loop Corridor and Peoria Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT had several areas of concern; access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments, noise mitigation and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also told the City that this area of the 303 Loop was being built by MCDOT, and they needed to contact Mr. Bill Hahn.
- 8) 107th Avenue/303 Loop Corridor and Pinnacle Peak road, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT had several areas of concern; access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments, noise mitigation and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also told the City that this area of the 303 Loop was being built by MCDOT, and they needed to contact Mr. Bill Hahn.
- 9) 91st Street/101 Loop North and Elliot Road, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT asked for a copy of the development plans and/or the final plans to review and comment on it.

City of Phoenix:

- 1) 44th Street/SR 143 and Van Buren Street, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed commercial site. ADOT asked for a copy of the development plans prior to any development.
- 2) 91st Avenue/I-10 and McDowell Road, 2 separate "Red Letters" were received concerning proposed commercial sites. ADOT asked for a copy of the development plans prior to any development.
- 3) 12th Avenue-14th Avenue and I-10, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed commercial site. ADOT asked for a copy of the development plans prior to any development.
- 4) I-10 and 71st Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed commercial site. ADOT had several areas of concern; access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also reminded the City we would want to review and comment on the final plans. The developer called and said all items were being reviewed by ADOT, this could not be verified.
- 5) I-10 and 51st Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed commercial site. ADOT had several areas of concern; safe access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also reminded the City we would want to review and comment on the final plans.

- 6) Rainbow Road/SR 85 and Durango Street, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT asked for a copy of the development plans prior to any development.
- 7) 35th Street/SR 51 and Betty Elyse Lane, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT asked for a copy of the development plans prior to any development.
- 8) I-17 and Carefree Highway, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site. ADOT had several areas of concern, safe access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments, noise mitigation and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also reminded the City we would want to review and comment on the final plans. This would affect the I-17 widening project.
- 9) I-17 and Happy Valley Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site. ADOT asked that the developer continue to work with ADOT and the project must be built as approved by ADOT.
- 10) I-17 and Bethany Home Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site. ADOT had several areas of concern; safe access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments, and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also reminded the City we would want to review and comment on the final plans. This would affect the I-17 widening project.
- 11) 101 Loop/Pima Freeway and 20th Street, a ”Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed residential plat. ADOT had several areas of concern, access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also asked to review and comment on the final development plan.
- 12) 101 Loop/Pima Freeway and Beardsley Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site. ADOT had several areas of concern, safe access, drainage, and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also reminded the City we would want to review and comment on the final plans.
- 13) 101 Loop/Pima Freeway and Cave Creek Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site plat. ADOT asked for a copy of the development plans prior to any development.
- 14) 48th Street/202 Loop Santan and Pecos Road, a ”Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed residential plat. This request was for a variance in a sound wall height. ADOT told the City the developer must build the sound wall to ADOT standards.
- 15) 48th Street/Red Mountain Freeway/202 Loop and Roosevelt Street, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site plat. ADOT asked for a copy of the development plans prior to any development.
- 16) 202 Loop/Red Mountain and Fillmore Street, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a proposed commercial site. ADOT had several areas of concern; safe access, drainage, traffic flow and control, encroachments, and permits to use ADOT right of way. ADOT also reminded the City we would want to review and comment on the final plans.

- 17) 99th Avenue/South Mountain Corridor and Lower buckeye Road, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed commercial site plat. ADOT informed the County this development would be in the South Mountain Corridor. ADOT also asked to be kept informed of the progress of this project.

City of Peoria:

- 1) Grand Avenue and Peoria Avenue, 3 separate "Red Letters" were received concerning proposed commercial site plats. ADOT had concerns over access, drainage and possible traffic flow. ADOT also requested copies of the development plans and to be kept informed on the progress of the projects.
- 2) 101 Loop and Northern Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a proposed/residential commercial site. ADOT had several areas of concern, there will be no access, drainage issues, noise mitigation, encroachments and permits to use ADOT right of way.
- 3) 88th Avenue and Thunderbird, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a PAD site. ADOT asked for a copy of the development plan in order to assess the site. ADOT also requested to be kept informed of the progress of this development and notified of any changes.
- 4) 101 Loop and Bell Road-Grand Avenue, 2 separate "Red Letters" were received concerning a commercial site. ADOT had several concerns over drainage, access, and encroachments depending on the sites. ADOT asked to be kept informed of all the developments.
- 5) 101 Loop and Olive Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a commercial site. ADOT had several concerns over drainage, permits, access, and encroachments. ADOT reminded the City this project would have a direct view of the Highway. ADOT requested a full set of development plans.
- 6) 101 Loop and Northern Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received concerning a commercial site. ADOT had several concerns over drainage, permits, access, and encroachments. ADOT requested a full set of development plans.
- 7) 83rd Avenue and Thunderbird Road, A "Red Letter" was received concerning a commercial site. ADOT had concerns over boundary lines and requested a set of development plans.
- 8) 91st Avenue/101 Loop and Cactus Road, 2 separate "Red Letters" were received concerning a PAD site. ADOT had concerns over drainage and encroachments. ADOT requested a full set of development plans.
- 9) 101 Loop and Olive Avenue, 2 separate "Red Letters" were received concerning a commercial site. ADOT had concerns over drainage, access, permits, and encroachments. ADOT reminded the City there would be a view of the Highway from the site. ADOT also requested a full set of plans.

- 10) 91st Avenue and Butler Avenue, a “Red Letter” was received concerning commercial site. ADOT had concerns over drainage, access, permits, and encroachments. ADOT reminded the City there would be a view of the Highway from the site. ADOT also requested a full set of plans.
- 11) 101 Loop and South of Bell Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning commercial sites. ADOT requested sets of plans for the areas and expressed general concerns over the developments.
- 12) 83rd Avenue and Lake Pleasant Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning residential site. ADOT told the City this development is in the 303 Loop Corridor. ADOT also told them this area is still in the design process.
- 13) 303 Loop and Lone Mountain Road, 2 separate “Red Letters” were received concerning a residential site. ADOT told the City this development is in the 303 Loop Corridor. ADOT also advised the City there will be no noise mitigation, drainage facilities must not be impeded, watch encroachments, the developer will need permits to enter ADOT R/W and there will be no access to the Highway.

City of Mesa:

- 1) 202 Loop/Red Mountain Freeway and Brown Street, 2 separate “Red Letters” were received concerning a traffic signal site. ADOT requested the City to keep in contact with the project coordinator and the ADOT design group of any changes, additions, and/or deletions concerning this project.
- 2) Ellsworth Road and Southern Avenue/202 Loop, 2 separate “Red Letters” were received concerning a residential site. ADOT requested a set of development plans to further review the development.
- 3) Superstition Freeway/U S 60 and Sossaman Road, 2 separate “Red Letters” were received concerning an oversize sign site. ADOT had concerns over the safety of the driving public, if access over ADOT R/W is needed permits will be required, a copy of the sign plan are needed in order to further review site.
- 4) Superstition Freeway/U S 60 and Greenfield Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial development site. ADOT requested a copy of the development plans in order to review the site. The City responded by saying it was only zoning review. ADOT reaffirmed plans were necessary when available.
- 5) Superstition Freeway/U S 60 and Stapley Drive, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial development site layout. ADOT requested a copy of the development plans in order to review the site. The City responded by saying it was only zoning review. ADOT reaffirmed plans were necessary when available.

- 6) Superstition Freeway/U S 60 and Baseline Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial development site layout. ADOT requested a copy of the development plans in order to review the site. The City responded by saying it was only zoning review. ADOT reaffirmed plans were necessary when available.
- 7) Superstition Freeway/U S 60 and Alma School Road-Grove Avenue, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial development site layout. ADOT requested a copy of the development plans in order to review the site. The City responded by saying it was only zoning review. ADOT reaffirmed plans were necessary when available.

City of Scottsdale:

- 1) 101 Loop/Pima Freeway and Raintree Drive, 2 separate “Red Letters” were received concerning a commercial development site. ADOT recommended the City have the developer contact the ADOT plans and construction departments concerning, safe access, drainage issues, encroachments and permits.

City of Surprise:

- 1) 303 Loop and Bell Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial site. ADOT told the City this development is in the 303 Loop Corridor. ADOT also advised the City there will be drainage facilities must not be impeded, watch encroachments, the developer will need permits to enter ADOT R/W and the mall entrances look to be too close to the Bell/303Loop TI. ADOT also told the City to contact Maricopa County, as this is part of their system.
- 2) Sarival Road and Peoria Avenue/303 Loop, 2 separate “Red Letters” were received concerning a residential site. ADOT told the City this development could be in the 303 Loop Corridor. The plat shows the west boundary close to the Proposed 303 Loop R/W. ADOT requested a set of development plans to further review this development.
- 3) Grand Avenue/U S 60 and 171st Avenue-163rd Avenue, 4 separate “Red Letters” were received concerning a residential site. ADOT asked for a set of development plans to review this project. It looks as though southwest boundary abuts Grand Avenue. ADOT had concerns over boundary lines, access, and drainage.

- 4) Grand Avenue/ U S 60 and 183rd Avenue-163rd Avenue, 2 “Red Letters” were received concerning a residential site. ADOT recommended that the developer contact ADOT Phoenix District Office and coordinate their development so there will be no encroachments, drainage issues and traffic safety. ADOT also told them there would be no noise mitigation and they would need permits to enter ADOT R/W. ADOT requested a traffic study to ensure public safety.
- 5) Grand Avenue/ U S 60 and Mountain View Drive, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial site. ADOT recommended that the developer contact ADOT Phoenix District Office and coordinate their development so there will be no encroachments, drainage issues and access issues.

City of Tempe:

- 1) 101 Loop/Pima Freeway and Broadway Road, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial sign site. ADOT had concerns over the placement of signs, how they are erected, and any visual distractions to the driving public. ADOT also requested a set of development plans.
- 2) 101 Loop/Pima Freeway and U S 60, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial monopole and dish antennas site. ADOT informed the City the developer would need a permit to access ADOT R/W.
- 3) 202 Loop/Santan and the Tempe Town Lake, a “Red Letter” was received concerning a commercial site and a variance concerning lowering the number of required bicycle spaces. The variance was ok, however, the development looked to be encroaching on ADOT R/W. ADOT requested a set of development plans.

Greystone Environmental Consultants, Inc.

A “Red Letter” was received concerning a Certificate of Environmental Compatibility for a transmission line over and through many ADOT R/W across the counties of Maricopa and Pinal. ADOT informed the company that ADOT requires a permit to enter, cross or access ADOT R/W.

The 19 e-mail, telephone, or regular mail notifications that could impact the Regional Freeway System are summarized as follows:

- 1) 101 Loop/Pima Freeway and 20th Street, a “Red Letter” was received from City of Phoenix Planning Department, by e-mail concerning a residential site plan. This was an information only request on behalf of a developer. ADOT informed the City that the developer would need a permit to access the highway; noise mitigation was the responsibility of the developer if the development was on the south side of the 101 Loop and there could be drainage issues.
- 2) 101 Loop/Agua Fria and Cactus, a “Red Letter” was received from an attorney by e-mail concerning access to a residential site plan from the Freeway. ADOT informed the attorney that there would be no access and ADOT has access control 600 feet from the TI. ADOT also told the attorney it was better to go through the City than directly to ADOT.
- 3) US 60 and just south of the Town of Wickenburg, a “Red Letter” was received from an individual by e-mail concerning an ADOT vacant parcel and the construction upon it. ADOT told them it was a leftover super fund site and they would need to talk to the Prescott District Office. This is the authority for that area.
- 4) 202 Loop/Santan and Willis Road, a “Red Letter” was received from an engineering firm by e-mail concerning a PDF of a commercial site plan. ADOT informed the company at first review it looked like there was going to be concerns over access, drainage, and encroachments. ADOT also told the company it would be better if they went through the Town of Gilbert, as a “Red Letter”. That way the Town would be aware of their plan.
- 5) 303 Loop and Northern Avenue-Olive Avenue, a “Red Letter” was received from a developer by e-mail concerning noise mitigation on a residential site plan. ADOT informed the developer there would be no noise mitigation from ADOT or MCDOT. ADOT also told the developer the best place to get his answers would be to talk to Maricopa County Planning and Zoning Department.
- 6) An architecture firm sent an e-mail concerning building designs and elevations for ADOT approval. ADOT informed the firm that ADOT does not comment on building design or elevations unless they affect R/W. ADOT also requested the location of this development. ADOT did not get a response.
- 7) 303 Loop and Bell Road, a “Red Letter” was received from a developer by e-mail concerning commercial site plan. The developer sent a PDF of the development, ADOT told him the development looks to encroach onto ADOT R/W. ADOT also told him to contact MCDOT. This is the same request as in number 1 under City of Surprise.
- 8) 101 Loop/Pima Freeway and Elliot Road, a “Red Letter” was received from an architecture firm by e-mail concerning commercial site plan. The architect sent a PDF of the development. ADOT told the architect there looks to be concerns of access, drainage, and encroachments. This is same as number 4 under the City of Chandler.
- 9) 303 Loop and West of Lake Pleasant Road, a “Red Letter” was received from a developer by e-mail concerning a residential site plan. ADOT told the developer this site was ½ mile East of the 303 Loop.

- 10) 101 Loop/Agua Fria and North of Peoria Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received from a developer by e-mail concerning a commercial site plan. ADOT told the developer this site would not impact the 101 Loop and actually will help shield future residential sites.
- 11) Grand Avenue and 88th Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received from a city engineer by e-mail concerning a residential site plan. The engineer had received a request from a developer to use the city R/W. The engineer asked if the R/W was ADOT. ADOT informed him that we have not been advised of any project there and the City's planning department needs to be involved. The engineer agreed and said it was just now in the pre-application stage. ADOT has still not received a "Red Letter" on this project.
- 12) 96th Street and Apache Trail, a "Red Letter" was received from an attorney by e-mail concerning access to a mortuary chapel on Apache Trail. This portion of Apache Trail falls within a 5-mile stretch that is still owned and maintained by ADOT. The attorney asked if it was ok to use approximately 18 feet of ADOT R/W to park cars. The chapel was planning on expanding it's building and needed the R/W to use as a parking lot. They said it had been used for over 40 years. ADOT responded by telling the attorney ADOT owns and maintains the R/W and does not want signs, parking or any unauthorized use of ADOT R/W. The attorney appealed the decision to the ADOT Phoenix District Office (PDO). The ADOT PDO reaffirmed the decision.
- 13) Mesa Drive and Holmes Avenue, a "Red Letter" was received from an architecture firm by telephone concerning commercial site plan. They needed to use ADOT R/W to access their development. ADOT advised that an encroachment permit would be necessary and gave them the name and phone of the ADOT Phoenix District Office. The ADOT PDO approved the request and issued a permit.
- 14) 7th Street and McDowell Road, a "Red Letter" was received from a real estate firm by letter concerning commercial site sale. The realtor asked ADOT for permission to sell the commercial site. ADOT responded by telling the realtor ADOT has no interest in property. Realtor said it was a case of mistaken identity.
- 15) SR 95B, a "Red Letter" was received from a R/W agent for La Paz County by telephone concerning some ADOT R/W. ADOT informed the agent that the property in question was abandoned to La Paz County May 23 2002.
- 16) 48th Street and Frye Road/Santan, a "Red Letter" was received from a church representative by telephone, concerning a commercial site. They inquired whether or not their new development would impact ADOT facilities. ADOT informed them the development would not impact any State Highway Facilities.
- 17) I-17 and SR 74, a "Red Letter" was received from the Western Area Power Administration (WAPA) by letter concerning placement of power lines across ADOT R/W. WAPA asked to use ADOT R/W to access their existing transmission line easement. ADOT affirmed it was ok to use ADOT R/W.

January 13, 2005

- 18) Ellis Street and Pecos Road/Santan, a “Red Letter” was received from a City of Chandler Land department by telephone concerning abandoning portions of Ellis and Pecos and the effect it would have on ADOT R/W. ADOT informed the City that there must be an easement remaining for utility companies to enter and service their utilities. ADOT also reminded them a portion of each of the roads must be given to ADOT since ADOT is the owner of property that abuts their road.
- 19) 303 Loop to Reems Road and Northern Avenue to Olive Avenue, a “Red Letter” was received from a Realtor representing a development company, concerning a residential site. The realtor said property was in escrow and they needed to know if any impact to the 303 Loop. ADOT told them that there could be possible access, drainage issues, encroachments, and traffic impact to the highway. ADOT also told them that section of the 303 Loop was being handled by MCDOT.