

## **SPECIAL NOTICE REGARDING PUBLIC MEETINGS**

Due to the risks to public health caused by the possible spread of the COVID-19 virus at public gatherings, the Maricopa Association of Governments has determined that public meetings will be indefinitely held through technological means. Meetings will be open to the public through technological means. In reliance on, and compliance with, the March 13, 2020, Opinion issued by Attorney General Mark Brnovich, the Maricopa Association of Governments provides this special advance notice of the technological means through which public meetings may be accessed. While this special notice is in effect, public comment at meetings will only be accepted through written submissions, which may or may not be read aloud during meetings.

**To attend the meeting noticed below by technological means, members the public may follow the steps below:**

1. Please access the link to watch a live video stream on YouTube by clicking [https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC1spon0RV2ibMmrk\\_gndhbA](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC1spon0RV2ibMmrk_gndhbA)
2. Members of the public may submit written comments relating to this meeting to [azmag.gov/comment](http://azmag.gov/comment) within one hour of the posted start time for the meeting.

If any member of the public has difficulty connecting to the meeting, please contact MAG at (602) 254-6300 for support.

August 10, 2020

TO: Members of the Maricopa Regional Continuum of Care Committee

FROM: Michelle Jameson, U.S. VETS, Co-Chair  
Stefanie Smith, Native American Connections, Co-Chair

SUBJECT: MEETING NOTIFICATION AND TRANSMITTAL OF TENTATIVE AGENDA

**Meeting**—9:30 a.m.  
Wednesday, August 12, 2020  
**VIRTUAL MEETING**

The next Maricopa Regional Continuum of Care (CoC) Committee meeting will be held at the time noted above. The meeting will be **held as a virtual meeting only**, with no in-person attendance options available at this time. Instructions on how to participate will be provided via email to members of the committee. Members of the public will be able to view and listen to the meeting via a live video stream on YouTube by clicking

[https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC1spon0RV2ibMmrk\\_gndhbA](https://www.youtube.com/channel/UC1spon0RV2ibMmrk_gndhbA)

Public comments can be provided in written format through the MAG website at [azmag.gov/comment](http://azmag.gov/comment). If you have questions, please contact the MAG office at (602) 254-6300.

The meeting agenda and resource materials are also available on the MAG website at [www.azmag.gov](http://www.azmag.gov). This location is publicly accessible and does not require a password.

In 1996, the Regional Council approved a simple majority quorum for all MAG advisory committees. If the Continuum of Care Committee does not meet the quorum requirement, members who have arrived at the meeting will be instructed a legal meeting cannot occur and subsequently be dismissed. Your attendance at the meeting is strongly encouraged.

Pursuant to Title II of the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA), MAG does not discriminate on the basis of disability in admissions to or participation in its public meetings. Persons with a disability may request a reasonable accommodation, such as a sign language interpreter, by contacting the MAG office. Requests should be made as early as possible to allow time to arrange the accommodation.



# Maricopa Regional Continuum of Care Committee

## TENTATIVE AGENDA

August 12, 2020

### 1. Call to Order

---

### 2. Call to the Audience

An opportunity will be provided to members of the public to address the Continuum of Care (CoC) Committee on items that are not on the agenda that are within the jurisdiction of MAG, or on items on the agenda for discussion but not for action. Citizens will be requested not to exceed a three minute time period for their comments. A total of 15 minutes will be provided for the Call to the Audience agenda item, unless the Committee requests an exception to this limit. Please note that those wishing to comment on agenda items posted for action will be provided the opportunity at the time the item is heard.

#### **Action Requested:**

Information.

---

### 3. Approval of the June CoC Committee Minutes (5 Minutes)

Approval of the June CoC Committee meeting minutes. The draft minutes from the June 10, 2020 CoC Committee meeting were distributed with the meeting materials.

#### **Action Requested:**

Approval of the June 10, 2020 CoC Committee meeting minutes.

---

**4. City of Phoenix Strategies to Address Homelessness Plan (20 Minutes)**

MAG staff will facilitate a discussion for comment/feedback on the proposed City of Phoenix Homeless Plan

**Action Requested**

Information and discussion.

---

**5. Update from the County (15 Minutes)**

A representative from Maricopa County will update the Committee on the COVID-19 response.

**Action Requested:**

Information and discussion.

---

**6. Data Discussion- HMIS COVID-19 Assessment Reports (15 Minutes)**

Hillary Evans, Crisis Response Network will present.

**Action Requested:**

Information and discussion.

---

**7. NOFA Scorecard**

MAG Staff will present an overview of the approved 2020 NOFA Scorecard. The Scorecard was distributed with the meeting materials.

**Action Requested:**

Information and discussion.

---

**8. Racial Equity Work Update**

MAG staff will update the Committee on the Racial Equity Work with Jeff Olivet Consulting.

**Action Requested:**  
Information and discussion

---

**9. Between PSH and Long Tern Care**

Co-Chair Stefanie Smith, NAC, will update the Committee on the older adult Workgroup.

**Action Requested:**  
For information and discussion

---

**10. Request for Future Agenda Items**

Topics or issues of interest that the Maricopa Regional Continuum of Care Committee would like to have considered for discussion at a future meeting will be requested.

**Action Requested:**  
For information.

---

**11. Adjournment**

**Action Requested:**  
Motion to adjourn the meeting.

MINUTES OF THE  
MARICOPA REGIONAL  
CONTINUUM OF CARE COMMITTEE  
June 10, 2020  
ZOOM Meeting

MEMBERS ATTENDING

\*Adriane Clarke, City of Surprise  
^Stephanie Crum, Human Services  
Campus [Amy Schwabenlender]  
Kathy Di Nolfi, A New Leaf  
\*Alfred Edwards, DES  
^D.C. Ernst, Phoenix Fire Department  
[Stephanie Smith]  
Tricia Gipson, ABC  
Irma Hollamby, Housing Authority of  
Maricopa County  
Michelle Jameson, U.S. VETS-Phoenix, Co-  
Chair  
Wendy Johnson, Justa Center  
^Anne Marie Johnston, CBI [Maria  
Robinson]  
Laura Magruder, Maggie's Place

Jennifer Page, Mercy Care  
Det. Aaron Raine, Mesa Police  
Department  
Nikki Ramirez, Chicanos Por La Causa  
Tama Reilly, Arizona State University  
Tyler Rosensteel, Crisis Response Network  
Chela Schuster, Community Solutions  
Sara Sims, Phoenix Elementary School  
District  
Laura Skotnicki, Save the Family  
Stefanie Smith, Native American  
Connections, Co-Chair  
Steven Stivers, UMOM  
Jowan Thornton, Salvation Army  
Craig Tribken, CASS

\*Did not attend

^Attended by proxy

MAG STAFF

Anne Scott  
Steve Dudasik  
Julie Montoya  
Brande Meade  
Tina Lopez  
Sarah Kent

OTHERS

Bruce Ligget, Human Service Director  
Maricopa County  
Richard Cruz, Human Services Campus  
Jennifer Dangremond, Native American  
Connections

1. Welcome and Introductions

Michelle Jameson, Co-Chair of the Continuum of Care (CoC) Committee, called the meeting to order at 9:30am. Introductions ensued.

## 2. Call to the Audience

There were no public comments posted to the MAG website for this Open meeting.

## 3. Approval of the May 13, 2020 CoC Committee Meeting Minutes

Tyler Rosensteel, Crisis Response Network (CRN), moved to approve the May 13, 2020 CoC Committee minutes. Laura Magruder, Maggie's Place, seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

## 4. Approval of the NOFA Timeline and Scorecard

Julie Montoya, MAG Staff, presented the 2020 NOFA Scorecard and Timeline that was not revised from the previous year due to COVID-19 priorities and HUD's delay for this year's NOFA. Discussion revolved around whether this was going to simplify the process for providers this year or if changes needed to be made to the scoring elements and evaluation question language. Stefanie Smith, Native American Connections (NAC), Co-Chair, made a recommendation to modify the scorecard for this year. Post discussion, the item went to vote.

Craig Tribken, CASS, motioned to approve NOFA 2020 timeline and scorecard without revision. There was a second motion from Laura Magruder, Maggie's Place. The Motion passed 20-1 and will be sent to the CoC Board of Directors for review.

## 5. Update from the County

Bruce Liggett, Director Maricopa County Human Service Department gave an update on the County's response to the COVID 19 pandemic. The Maricopa County Board of Supervisors has approved the County Cares plan, which included approximately 400 Million dollars in Federal Cares Act funds. 93million dollars, in health response funding which included increase testing, contact tracing, increase of PPE for staff, and to address Covid-19 positive cases in Long Term Care facilities. Governor Doug Ducey has allocated 300 million dollars to cities and towns. The County has 37.5million dollars to support individuals and families. 77.5million dollars for the physical safety of client and employees. The County has 13,000 employees who serve jails, courts and the Sheriff's department. Funding is allocated for the changes that will be needed for physical barriers due to Covid-19. 23million dollars will be allocated to support small business, which excludes City of Phoenix and Mesa, which are funded separately. 175million dollars is being held in reserved for the growing needs. Human Services was allocated 45.5million dollars. 10million dollars are being placed in the working budget for homeless work. This covers established facilities due to Covid-19 such as the volunteer tent relocation of 330 individuals on the county lot. Funding for PPE related items such as hand washing stations, water stations and a hotline for shelters were allocated. There is 30million dollars allocated for rental and eviction prevention program. Focus is to blend the local community action programs with the rental eviction prevention for a streamline

service to the public. The 10million dollars and 30million dollars are out of Covid-19 funding and not ESG funding.

## 5. System Performance Measures

Mr. Ty Rosensteel, Crisis Response Network (CRN), presented an update on the 2019 System Performance Measures (SPMs). Performance Measures reviewed on a quarterly basis and sent to HUD on a yearly basis. There are over 29 various data measures but only eight measures are considered important and prevalent for monthly review. Length in time Shelter, Housing exits, Housing Retention and Return to Homelessness were discussed. Slight percentage increases were noted from 2018 to 2019.

Craig Tribken, CASS, questioned median and mean in the SPMs. The averages are in the HUD NOFA cycle. Success rate and return to homeless percentages fluctuate due to self-reporting exiting to permanent housing. Wendy Johnson, Justa Center, asked about how those who are transient from state to state are tracked and/or included in the measures. Ty Rosensteel, CRN, stated that it depends on how exit data is entered.

Mr. Rosensteel, CRN, offered the comparison tool for future meetings. There will be a deeper dive on median length of stay. Stefanie Smith, NAC, Co-Chair, noted that a stark jump of 8% to 31% in exits. It was noted that limited data from HUD-VASH may have skewed this finding.

## 6. Between Permanent Supportive Housing (PSH) and Long-Term Care

Stefanie Smith, NAC, Co-Chair, updated members on the strategy of home health care in place of Long-term care placement. Data shows that 28% of coordinated entry were over 55 years of age. This makes up ¼ of adult coordinated entry.

## 7. Housing Inventory Count

Anne Scott, MAG, illustrated data of inventory and service pre-Covid-19 and post Covid-19 to the members. In emergency shelters, there were 223 safe outdoor spaces, 145 hotel rooms, and 22 high-risk shelter rooms. In Traditional Housing, there was a significant decrease in US VETS GPD bridge programs. In Safe Haven, GPD beds are classified as Safe Haven. Rapid Housing, there are additions which include 60 senior vouchers(CASS), 200 women vouchers, 200 men vouchers, 100 family (UMOM)vouchers and 25 family vouchers (Save the Family).

## 8. Racial Equity Work Update

Julie Montoya, MAG, updated the members on the consultant firm's interview process and focus group plans. There will be three main focal groups which include youth, family, single adults. Consultants are seeking a diverse groupings of individuals which as male, female, transgender. An online survey was sent out asking everyone to forward it to diverse groups in their agencies who want to participate and provide diversity.

#### 9. Request for Future Agenda Items

Time to discuss individual updates. There were congratulations of retirement for Anne Scott, MAG. Members expressed their admiration of her dedication to ending the experience of homelessness for all and her leadership of the CoC. Anne Scott thanked everyone for their kind words and wishes.

#### 10. Adjournment

Stephanie Crum, Human Services Campus, moved to adjourn the meeting. Tama Reilly, Arizona State University seconded the motion. The motion passed unanimously.

**Maricopa Regional Continuum of Care  
Program Performance Report<sup>1</sup>  
FINAL**

Criteria	Performance Standard	Data	Point Breakdown	Total Points Available
<p><i>IA. Project serves “harder to serve” homeless population.</i></p> <p><b>PSH Only</b></p>	<p><b>A1</b> - Percentage of persons (or households) served by the program who meet locally defined “harder to serve” conditions at entry, listed on the APR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Mental Illness</li> <li>- Alcohol Abuse</li> <li>- Drug Abuse</li> <li>- Chronic Health Conditions</li> <li>- HIV/AIDS</li> <li>- Developmental Disabilities</li> <li>- Physical Disabilities</li> </ul>	<p>APR Qs: 13a2, 5a</p> <p><b>Calculations:</b> (Q13a2 Two Conditions + Q13a2 Three or More Conditions) ÷ Q5a Total Number of Persons</p> <p>Q13a2 Three or More Conditions ÷ Q5a Total Number of Persons</p> <p>If using households, please submit the Detail Report and spreadsheets used to calculate. Please do not include client names or other identifying information in your submission.</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 3 pts.</b></p> <p><u>2 conditions</u> 1 pt = 37% of persons</p> <p><u>3+ conditions</u> 3 pts = 20% of persons</p> <p><b>PSH System Performance for 5/1/17 - 5/1/18:</b> 37% 2 conditions 20% 3+ conditions</p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 1 point</b></p>	<p><b>3</b></p>
<p><i>IA. Project serves “harder to serve” homeless population.</i></p> <p><b>RRH Only</b></p>	<p><b>A2</b> - Percentage of persons (or households) served by program that meet locally defined “harder to serve” conditions at entry, listed on the APR:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> <li>- Mental Illness</li> <li>- Alcohol Abuse</li> <li>- Drug Abuse</li> <li>- Chronic Health Conditions</li> <li>- HIV/AIDS</li> <li>- Developmental Disabilities</li> <li>- Physical Disabilities</li> </ul>	<p>APR Qs: 13a2, 5a</p> <p><b>Calculations:</b> (Q13a2 One Condition + Q13a2 Two Conditions + Q13a2 Three or More Conditions) ÷ Q5a Total Number of Persons</p> <p>(Q13a2 Two Conditions + Q13a2 Three or More Conditions) ÷ Q5a Total Number of Persons</p> <p>If using households, please submit the Detail Report and spreadsheets used to calculate. Please do not include client names or other identifying information in your submission.</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 3 pts.</b></p> <p><u>1 condition</u> 1 pt = 10% of persons</p> <p><u>2+ conditions</u> 3 pts = 4% of persons</p> <p><b>RRH System Performance for 5/1/17 - 5/1/18:</b> 10% 1 condition 4% 2+ conditions</p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 1 point</b></p>	<p><b>3</b></p>
<p><i>2A: HUD Objective: Increase Housing Stability.</i></p> <p><b>PSH Only</b></p>	<p><b>PSH Programs:</b> Percentage of persons in PH program who remained in the PSH program or exited to a permanent destination during the year, excluding those persons exiting to a foster care home or foster care group home, hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility and long-term care facility or nursing home, and any participants who passed away. – As reported in the APR.</p>	<p>APR Qs: 23a, 23b, 5a</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> (Q23a Permanent Destinations Subtotal + Q23b Permanent Destinations Subtotal + Q5a Number of Stayers) ÷ (Q5a Total Number of Persons – Q23a Deceased – Q23b Deceased)</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 15 pts.</b></p> <p>15 pts = 95% or more 14 pts = 90%-94.9% 13 pts = 85%-89.9% 12 pts = 80%-84.9% 11 pts = 75%-79.9% 10 pts = 70%-74.9% 8 pts = 65%-69.9% 6 pts = 60%-64.9% 4 pts = 55%-59.9% 2 pts = 50%-54.9% 0 = &lt; 50%</p> <p><b>PSH System Performance for 5/1/17 - 5/1/18: 94%</b></p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 3 points</b></p>	<p><b>15</b></p>

Revision Date: July 23, 2020

<p><b>2B: HUD Objective: Increase Housing Stability.</b></p> <p><b>RRH Only</b></p>	<p><b>RRH Programs:</b> Percentage of persons in RRH program who exited the program during the year who exited to a permanent destination, excluding those persons exiting to a foster care home or foster care group home, hospital or other residential non-psychiatric medical facility and long-term care facility or nursing home, and any participants who passed away. – As reported in the APR.</p>	<p>APR Qs: 23a, 23b, 5a</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> (Q23a Permanent Destinations Subtotal + Q23b Permanent Destinations Subtotal) ÷ (Q5a Number of Leavers – Q23a Deceased – Q23b Deceased)</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 15 pts.</b></p> <p>15 pts = 80% or more 14 pts = 75%-79.9% 13 pts = 70%-74.9% 12 pts = 65%-69.9% 11 pts = 60%-64.9% 10 pts = 55%-59.9% 8 pts = 50%-54.9% 6 pts = 45%-49.9% 4 pts = 40%-44.9% 2 pts = 35%-39.9% 0 = &lt; 35%</p> <p><b>RRH System Performance for 5/1/17 - 5/1/18: 76%</b></p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 3 points</b></p>	<p><b>15</b></p>
<p><b>3A: HUD Objective: Increase project participant's total income.</b></p> <p><b>PSH only</b></p>	<p><b>A1 -</b> The percentage of persons age 18 and older who increased total income at the end of the operating year or program exit, either by gaining a source of income or by increasing or maintaining non-zero income.</p> <p><b>PSH only</b></p>	<p>APR Qs: 19a3, 5a, 18</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> (19a3 Row 5 Column 4 + 19a3 Row 5 Column 5) ÷ (Q5a Total Number of Persons – Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment)</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 5 pts.</b></p> <p>5 pts = 55% or more 4 pts = 50-54.9% 3 pts = 45-49.9% 2 pts = 40-44.9% 1 pt = 35-39.9% 0 pts = &lt; 35%</p> <p><b>PSH System Performance for 5/1/17 - 5/1/18: 51%</b></p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 1 point</b></p>	<p><b>5</b></p>
<p><b>3A: HUD Objective: Increase project participant's total income.</b></p> <p><b>RRH only</b></p>	<p><b>A2 -</b> The percentage of persons age 18 and older who increased total income at the end of the operating year or program exit, either by gaining a source of income or by increasing the amount of their total income.</p> <p><b>RRH only</b></p>	<p>APR Qs: 19a3, 5a, 18</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> (19a3 Row 5 Column 4 + 19a3 Row 5 Column 5) ÷ (Q5a Number of Adults – Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment)</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 5 pts.</b></p> <p>5 pts = 40% or more 4 pts = 35-39.9% 3 pts = 30-34.9% 2 pts = 20-29.9% 1 pt = 10-19.9% 0 pts = &lt; 10%</p> <p><b>RRH System Performance for 5/1/17 - 5/1/18: 25%</b></p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 1 point</b></p>	<p><b>5</b></p>
<p><b>3B: HUD Objective: Increase project participant's earned income.</b></p> <p><b>PSH only</b></p>	<p><b>B1 -</b> The percentage of persons age 18 and older who increased earned income at the end of the operating year or program exit, either by gaining employment or by increasing the amount of their earned income.</p> <p><b>PSH only</b></p>	<p>APR Qs: 19a3, 5a, 18</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> (19a3 Row 1 Column 4 + 19a3 Row 1 Column 5) ÷ (Q5a Number of Adults – Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment)</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 5 pts.</b></p> <p>5 pts = 12% or more 4 pts = 9-11.9% 3 pts = 6-8.9% 2 pts = 3-5.9% 1 pt = &gt; 0-2.9% 0 pts = 0%</p> <p><b>PSH System Performance for 5/1/17 - 5/1/18: 6%</b></p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 1 point</b></p>	<p><b>5</b></p>
<p><b>3B: HUD Objective: Increase project</b></p>	<p><b>B2 -</b> The percentage of persons age 18 and older who increased earned income at the end of the operating year or program exit, either by</p>	<p>APR Qs: 19a3, 5a, 18</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b></p>	<p><b>TOTAL 5 pts.</b></p> <p>5 pts = 34% or more 4 pts = 28-33.9%</p>	<p><b>5</b></p>

Revision Date: July 23, 2020

<p><i>participant's earned income.</i></p> <p><b>RRH only</b></p>	<p>gaining employment or by increasing the amount of their earned income.</p> <p><b>RRH only</b></p>	<p>(19a3 Row 1 Column 4 + 19a3 Row 1 Column 5) ÷ (Q5a Number of Adults – Q18 Number of Adult Stayers Not Yet Required to Have an Annual Assessment)</p>	<p>3 pts = 22-27.9% 2 pts = 16-21.9% 0 pts = &lt; 16%</p> <p><b>RRH System Performance for 5/1/17 - 5/1/18: 22%</b></p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 1 point</b></p>	
<p><b>4: Effective use of federal funding.</b></p>	<p>Percentage of disbursed HUD funding for the most recent operating year.</p>	<p>APR Q 28, HUD Award List</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> APR Q 28 Total Expenditures ÷ Grant Award Amount</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 5 pts.</b></p> <p>5 pts = 98-100% 4 pts = 95-97.9% 3 pts = 90-94.9% 2 pts = 85-89.9% 1 pts = 80-84.9% 0 pts = &lt; 80%</p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 1 point</b></p>	<p><b>5</b></p>
<p><b>5: HMIS; Data Quality and Training.</b></p>	<p><b>5A</b> – Percentage of total HMIS fields, across all persons served, that are missing or in error based on the APR: Q6a, Q6b, Q6c, Q6d</p>	<p>APR Qs: 5a, 6a, 6b, 6c, 6d</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> (Q6a Sum of “Information Missing” + Q6a Sum of “Data Issues” + “Q6b Sum of “Error Count” + Q6c Sum of “Error Count” + Q6d Sum of “Missing Time in Institution” + Q6d Sum of “Missing Time in Housing” + Q6d Sum of “Approx Date DKR/Missing” + Q6d Sum of “Num Times DKR/Missing” + Q6d Sum of “Num Months DKR/Missing”) ÷ (20 * Q5a Total Number of Persons Served)</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 10 pts.</b></p> <p>10 pts = 0 -.05% 9 pts = .05-1.4% 8 pts = 1.5-1.9% 6 pts = 2-2.9% 4 pts = 3-3.9% 2 pts = 4-4.9% 0 pts = 5% or more</p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 2 points</b></p>	<p><b>10</b></p>
<p><b>6: Community Priorities and Standards</b></p>	<p><b>6A - Participation in Coordinated Entry</b> By agency, at least 95% of persons enrolled were referred through the Family Coordinated Entry System and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System.</p>	<p>HMIS Report</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> Number of accepted referrals from the Family Coordinated Entry System and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System June 1, 2019 to May 20, 2020 ÷ Total number of persons who entered the program June 1, 2019 to May 20, 2020</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 8 pts.</b></p> <p>8 pts = 98 or more 6 pts = 95-97.9% 4 pts = 90-94.9% 2 pts = 85-89.9% 1 pts = 80-84.9% 0 pts = Less than 80%</p>	
	<p><b>6B - Participation in Coordinated Entry</b> By agency, housing providers accept 85% of eligible referrals from the Family Coordinated Entry System and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System.</p>	<p>Report from Coordinated Entry Leads</p> <p><b>Calculation:</b> Number of eligible referrals from the Family Coordinated Entry System and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System accepted by the agency June 1, 2019 to May 20, 2020 ÷ Number of eligible referrals made to the agency by the Family Coordinated Entry System and/or the Singles Coordinated Entry System June 1, 2019 to May 20, 2020</p>	<p><b>TOTAL 6 pts.</b></p> <p>6 pts = 95% or more 5 pts = 90-95.9% 4 pts = 85-89.9% 3 pts = 80-84.9% 2 pts = 75-79.9% 1 pts = 70-74.9% 0 pts = Less than 70%</p> <p><b>Subcommittee discretion: 2 points</b></p>	<p><b>14</b></p>

Revision Date: July 23, 2020

<b>7: CoC Engagement and Participation</b>	<b>2 points</b> for agency having a representative as a current member of the CoC Committee who attended at least 75% of meetings from June 1, 2019 to May 20, 2020.	Self-report in PRESTO/Meeting Minutes	<b>TOTAL 2 pts.</b>	<b>6</b>
	<b>2 points</b> for participation in one of the subcommittees or workgroups (refer to instructions below) from June 1, 2019 to May 20, 2020.	Self-report in PRESTO/Confirmation with workgroup leader	<b>TOTAL 2 pts.</b>	
	<b>2 points</b> for participation in the 2020 unsheltered PIT count	Self-report in PRESTO	<b>TOTAL 2 pts.</b>	
<b>8. HUD Grant Agreement Submitted</b>	<b>2 pts:</b> Submit HUD Grant Agreement signed by both agency and HUD showing amount awarded and contract dates.	Signed HUD Grant Agreement	<b>TOTAL 2 pts.</b>	<b>2</b>
<b>9. Housing First Alignment</b>	<b>9A - Housing First</b> Project commits to operating according to a Housing First model.	Self-report: USICH Housing First Checklist Core Elements of Housing First at the Program/Project Level	<b>TOTAL 11 pts.</b>  Project receives one point for each box checked in the “Core Elements of Housing First at the Program/Project Level” section of the USICH checklist, indicating that the project meets that criteria.  <b>Subcommittee discretion: 2 points</b>	<b>16</b>
	<b>9B - Housing First</b> Project takes proactive steps to minimize barriers to entry and retention.	Self-report: Narrative response in PRESTO (500-word limit)	<b>TOTAL 5 pts.</b>  Project receives 5 points if they describe <b>two ways</b> in which they proactively take a housing first approach in their project model.  This narrative may include detailed explanations of how the project implements any of the 11 boxes they checked on the USICH checklist, or other examples of alignment with the Housing First philosophy.	
<b>10. Commitment to Policy Priorities</b>	<b>Cost effectiveness</b> Project is cost effective as compared to other projects funded by CoC funds.  <b>PSH</b> Measured by average HUD CoC investment per person who stayed in the program or exited to a permanent destination.  <b>RRH</b> Measured by average HUD CoC investment per person who exited to a permanent destination.	<b>APR Qs:</b> 28, 23a, 23b, 5a  <b>Calculations:</b> <b>PSH</b> Q28 Total Expenditures ÷ (Q23a Permanent Destinations Subtotal + Q23b Permanent Destinations Subtotal + Q5a Number of Stayers)  <b>RRH</b> Q28 Total Expenditures ÷ (Q23a Permanent Destinations Subtotal + Q23b Permanent Destinations Subtotal)	<b>TOTAL 5 pts.</b>  Top 25% = 5 pts  Middle 50% = 3 pts  Bottom 25% = 0 pts  <b>Subcommittee discretion: 1 point</b>	<b>5</b>

<b>11. HUD Monitoring Findings</b>	Applicant does not have any open monitoring HUD findings.	<b>Self-report:</b> Response in PRESTO; Any HUD Monitoring Letters related to any of the applicant's projects since January 1, 2017; Correspondence with HUD about any findings.	<b>TOTAL 6 pts.</b>  6 pts = Applicant does not have any HUD monitoring findings prior to November 1 2019, or all HUD monitoring findings have been closed  4 pts = Applicant has open monitoring findings prior to November 1 2019 but has taken steps to resolve the findings  0 pts = Applicant has open monitoring findings prior to November 1 2019 and has taken no steps to resolve the findings  <b>Subcommittee discretion: 2 points</b>	<b>6</b>
<b>Total Points Available</b>				<b>92</b>

---

<sup>i</sup> Projects operated by victim service providers will be evaluated based on APR and other aggregate data reported out of each agency's comparable database.