

MINUTES OF THE
MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
POPULATION TECHNICAL ADVISORY COMMITTEE

June 17, 2003
MAG Office, Suite 200, Saguaro Room
302 North 1st Avenue
Phoenix, Arizona

MEMBERS IN ATTENDANCE

George Pettit, Gilbert, Chairman	*Duncan Miller, Paradise Valley
*Bryant Powell, Apache Junction	*Horatio Skeete, Litchfield Park
Adrian Williamson, Avondale	*Tom Ellsworth, Mesa
**Liz Zeller, Buckeye	Matt Holm, Maricopa County
*Usama Abujbarah, Cave Creek	Prisila Ferreira, Peoria
David de la Torre, Chandler	Tim Tilton, Phoenix
Mark Smith, El Mirage	**John Kross, Queen Creek
Denise Ruhling, Fountain Hills	Harry Higgins, Scottsdale
*Terry Yergan, Gila River Indian Community	*Scott Phillips, Surprise
Ron Short, Glendale	Ryan Levesque, Tempe
**Janeen Hollomon, Goodyear	*Mark Fooks, Youngtown
Gary Smith, Guadalupe	Anne MacCracken, RPTA

*Those members neither present nor represented by proxy.

**Participated via audioconference

OTHERS IN ATTENDANCE

John Zupon, Chandler	John, O'Hare, Maricopa County
Tim Bolton, Peoria	Anubhav Bagley, MAG
Ray Quay, Phoenix	Harry Wolfe, MAG
	**Samuel Colon, DES

1. Call to Order

The meeting was called to order at 10:05 a .m. by Chairman George Pettit.

2. Call to the Audience

There were no requests from the audience to address the MAG POPTAC.

3. Approval of Minutes of April 29, 2003

It was moved by David de la Torre, seconded by Prisila Ferreira and unanimously recommended to approve the meeting minutes of April 29, 2003.

4. Status of MAG Interim Projections of Population, Housing and Employment by Municipal Planning Area (MPA) and Regional Analysis Zone for July 1, 2010, 2020, 2025 2030 and 2040

Harry Wolfe reported that at the April 29, 2003 meeting of the MAG POPTAC MAG projections of population, housing and employment by MPA and RAZ for July 1, 2010, 2020, 2025, 2030 and 2040 were recommended for acceptance as interim projections. He added that at the May 14, 2003 meeting of the MAG Management Committee, a concern was raised whether there was adequate water to support the interim projections; and that based on this concern, the projections were recommended for acceptance to 2030.

Mr. Wolfe explained that because of the question raised about water availability, on May 10, 2003, the Chair of the Management Committee transmitted a memo to committee members asking for a final review of the 2030 resident population and employment projections and input on whether water would impose a constraint on the 2030 projections. He said that in response to the memo, input was received from Peoria which resulted in a reduction in its MPA population projection and an equivalent reduction in the Maricopa County projection. He also stated that a number of jurisdictions provided comments that would be incorporated into the official set of projections.

Mr. Wolfe mentioned that on June 11, 2003 the revised interim projections were recommended for acceptance by MAG Management Committee and forwarded to the Regional Council for the June 25, 2003 meeting.

George Pettit commended MAG staff for their diligence in preparing the interim population projections.

Prisila Ferreira mentioned that although Peoria staff had recommended the population projections be based on land use, subsequent direction from the Manager called for the projections to be adjusted to take into account water availability.

George Pettit commented that we need to ensure that we involve the Manager's office in the projections process.

5. Preparation of DES County Projections

DES is currently awaiting the arrival of county to county migration data from Census 2000 to proceed with the preparation of draft resident population projections for counties in Arizona. At the June 6, 2003 meeting of the DES POPTAC, MAG staff expressed concern about the delay in the preparation of the projections and urged DES to move forward in their development as expeditiously as possible. DES staff indicated that a tentative schedule would be developed to indicate the length

of time it would take for the county projections to be developed and approved from the receipt of the Census data. DES staff also indicated that they would solicit input from committee members on how much time would be required to review the draft projections, and based on this input prepare a time line for discussion at the next meeting of the State POPTAC at the end of July.

6. Census 2000

Harry Wolfe commented that since the last meeting of the MAG POPTAC, the Census Bureau had released the 1% Public Use Microsample (PUMS) data for Arizona, and Summary File 4. He asked Tim Tilton to provide an overview of the content of Summary File 4. Mr. Tilton responded that Summary File 4 has hundreds of tables of Census sample data, cross tabulated by population groupings.

7. Population Options for 2005

George Pettit explained that the MAG Management Subcommittee on 2005 Population Options had met over the past six months to explore a more cost effective option than conducting a full Special Census for preparing 2005 population figures to be used for distributing state-shared revenue. He noted that the Subcommittee agreed that a Census Survey be conducted at a confidence interval of 95 percent plus/minus 2 percent, unless a jurisdiction wanted to pay the incremental cost of a higher confidence interval. Mr. Pettit stated that there is currently some discussion taking place regarding the method for allocating costs for a Census Survey: whether it should be done based on share of sample size, or share of population.

8. Building Permit Completion Status Report

Harry Wolfe reported that residential permit completions for the first quarter of Calendar Year 2003, were due on May 1, 2003; and that members that had not provided the completions or those from the previous two quarters, submit them to MAG as soon as possible.

Mr. Wolfe indicated that completions for the second quarter of 2003 ending June 30th are due to MAG as expeditiously as possible, but no later than July 15, 2003; and that reason for this expedited schedule is to be able to provide DES with the residential completion data in accordance with its deadlines. Mr. Wolfe emphasized that any completions not provided to MAG by this time, would not be credited to the July 1, 2003 resident population. He asked POPTAC members to make arrangements with the appropriate officials to have the completions for the second quarter of 2003 ready in an expedited manner.

9. Preparation of July 1, 2003 Maricopa County Resident Population Update

Harry Wolfe said that this summer DES would begin collecting the data that would be used to determine the July 1, 2003 resident population for counties throughout the state. He said that in July member agencies would be receiving surveys requesting updates of population in group quarters, and annexations; and MAG would be required to supply DES with residential permit completions. He

asked if anyone had any questions regarding the surveys. No one had any questions.

Mr Wolfe explained that the July 1, 2003 updates would not be used for distributing state-shared revenues. He indicated that instead these updates are used to distribute \$23 million annually in lottery funds, to prepare financial plans, to determine municipal per capita water use targets and to set expenditure limitations where necessary. He also mentioned that the DES Maricopa County update estimates would become the control total from which MAG prepares July 1, 2003 municipality population updates.

Harry Wolfe asked Samuel Colon when a first draft of the County updates would be ready for review. Mr. Colon estimated that it would occur about three weeks after the submittal of the data.

10. Preparation of Maricopa County Hazard Mitigation Plan

Harry Wolfe asked Matt Holm to give a briefing on the Maricopa County Hazard Mitigation Plan. Mr. Wolfe said that the reason he was asking for the briefing was to make sure that MAG POPTAC members knew about the study and their jurisdiction's contact on the study advisory committee.

Matt Holm said that the consulting firm URS had been retained by the Maricopa County Department of Emergency Management to assist in the preparation of a Maricopa County Hazard Mitigation Plan for all jurisdictions within Maricopa County. He said that the plan would address both natural and human caused hazards in accordance with Federal legislation.

Matt Holm said that the consultant had forwarded maps to cities and towns requesting comment and that the maps would be updated based upon the comments received. He said a report on the results of the initial work would be developed at the end of July.

Tim Tilton asked if the report would become a matter of public record. Matt Holm responded that it was not entirely for public consumption, but that it would be released in some format to local jurisdictions.

11. Regional Update

Members of the MAG POPTAC provided a status report on activities in their jurisdiction.

12. Next Meeting of the MAG POPTAC

Harry Wolfe indicated that the next meeting of the MAG POPTAC is scheduled for Tuesday July 15, 2003, but that he wasn't sure that there was an adequate number of agenda items to warrant the meeting. George Pettit requested that Mr. Wolfe notify members whether there would be a meeting or not.

The meeting adjourned at 11:05 a.m.

**Comparison of
Net Survey Cost at 95% Confidence Interval +/- 2%
Net Survey Cost at 95% Confidence Interval +/- 1%
and
Net Special Census Cost**

Jurisdiction	Net survey cost (after FHWA contribution)		Net 2005 Special Census cost based on share of 2005 population (after FHWA contribution)
	95% +/- 2%	95% +/- 1%	
Avondale	\$138,800	\$430,500	\$469,800
Buckeye	\$128,100	\$128,300	\$128,300
Carefree	\$4,500	\$12,600	\$23,000
Cave Creek	\$5,800	\$16,200	\$29,500
Chandler	\$213,400	\$717,900	\$1,464,800
El Mirage	\$136,000	\$136,000	\$136,000
Fountain Hills	\$157,600	\$158,200	\$158,200
Gila Bend	\$2,700	\$7,500	\$13,600
Gilbert	\$146,700	\$535,600	\$1,165,800
Glendale	\$215,400	\$731,500	\$1,578,400
Goodyear	\$140,800	\$288,600	\$288,600
Guadalupe	\$7,000	\$19,700	\$35,900
Litchfield Park	\$5,000	\$14,100	\$25,600
Mesa	\$628,400	\$1,298,900	\$3,128,300
Paradise Valley	\$96,600	\$96,600	\$96,600
Peoria	\$205,200	\$684,500	\$970,900
Phoenix	\$1,260,900	\$4,437,200	\$9,397,600
Queen Creek	\$54,800	\$54,800	\$54,800
Scottsdale	\$272,500	\$988,400	\$1,519,500
Surprise	\$277,600	\$512,700	\$512,700
Tempe	\$206,300	\$712,300	\$1,053,300
Tolleson	\$6,600	\$18,600	\$33,900
Wickenburg	\$40,400	\$40,400	\$40,400
Youngtown	\$24,600	\$24,600	\$24,600
Balance of County	\$324,300	\$1,134,200	\$1,650,000
Total	\$4,700,000	\$13,199,900	\$24,000,000

Balance of County = Unincorporated areas, Gila River Indian Community and Salt River Pima-Maricopa Indian Community

July 31, 2003

**MARICOPA ASSOCIATION OF GOVERNMENTS
RESIDENTIAL COMPLETION SUMMARY
Quarter 3, 2002 - Quarter 2, 2003**

Jurisdiction	Quarter 3, 2002					Quarter 4, 2002					Quarter 1, 2003					Quarter 2, 2003					Total Comps	Total Demos
	SF Comps	MF Comps	MH Comps	Demos	Total	SF Comps	MF Comps	MH Comps	Demos	Total	SF Comps	MF Comps	MH Comps	Demos	Total	SF Comps	MF Comps	MH Comps	Demos	Total		
Avondale	316	0	0	0	316	373	0	0	0	373	321	320	0	0	641	360	0	0	0	360	1,690	0
Buckeye	5	0	3	0	8	63	0	0	0	63	36	0	0	0	36	101	0	0	0	101	208	0
Carefree	11	0	0	0	11	17	0	0	0	17	7	0	0	0	7	1	0	0	0	1	36	0
Cave Creek	19	0	0	1	18	12	0	0	0	12	19	0	0	1	18	20	0	0	0	20	70	2
Chandler	820	328	0	0	1,148	932	166	0	0	1,098	769	18	0	0	787	776	40	0	0	816	3,849	0
County Areas	1,258	0	157	0	1,415	1,311	0	176	0	1,487	1,085	0	111	0	1,196	1,037	0	159	0	1,196	5,294	0
El Mirage	612	0	0	0	612	379	0	0	0	379	366	3	0	0	369	222	4	42	0	268	1,628	0
Fountain Hills	52	6	0	0	58	50	0	0	0	50	21	4	0	0	25	30	4	0	0	34	167	0
Gila Bend	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	2	0	0	0	2	1	0	0	0	1	4	0
Gila River	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0
Gilbert	881	0	0	0	881	968	0	0	0	968	807	0	0	0	807	815	272	0	4	1,083	3,743	4
Glendale	152	0	0	2	150	186	168	0	0	354	203	254	0	1	456	237	204	0	2	439	1,404	5
Goodyear	347	0	0	0	347	474	0	0	0	474	434	8	0	0	442	290	0	0	0	290	1,553	0
Guadalupe	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	1	0
Litchfield Park	0	0	0	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	9	0	0	0	9	0	0	0	0	0	10	0
Mesa	703	116	0	8	811	769	278	0	4	1,043	538	47	0	5	580	545	38	0	2	581	3,034	19
Paradise Valley	17	0	0	0	17	23	0	0	0	23	11	0	0	0	11	11	0	0	0	11	62	0
Peoria	268	12	0	0	280	420	6	0	1	425	526	54	2	2	580	339	96	0	0	435	1,723	3
Phoenix	1,441	713	0	0	2,154	1,771	502	0	0	2,273	1,675	382	1	6	2,052	1,816	742	2	9	2,551	9,045	15
Queen Creek	90	0	0	0	90	167	0	0	0	167	195	0	0	0	195	193	0	0	0	193	645	0
Salt R Pima-Mar	4	0	0	0	4	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	4	0
Scottsdale	284	178	0	0	462	276	168	0	0	444	283	172	0	0	455	343	84	0	0	427	1,788	0
Surprise	751	0	2	3	750	912	192	9	0	1,113	736	0	4	4	736	841	256	3	2	1,098	3,706	9
Tempe	41	0	0	0	41	25	0	0	0	25	9	0	0	19	-10	20	0	0	0	20	95	19
Tolleson	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	228	0	0	228	228	0
Wickenburg	8	0	0	0	8	7	0	0	0	7	6	0	0	0	6	9	0	0	0	9	30	0
Youngtown	0	0	0	1	-1	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	2	-2	0	0	0	0	0	0	3
TOTAL	8,081	1,353	162	15	9,581	9,137	1,480	185	5	10,797	8,058	1,262	118	40	9,398	8,007	1,968	206	19	10,162	40,017	79

Source: Maricopa Association of Governments database
of Residential Completion data collected from MAG member agencies.

Prepared by the Maricopa Association of Governments

g:\dev\projects\rescomps\ResCompSum.xls